Warsaw Remarks – Berriasian Progress

William A.P. WIMBLEDON¹ Chairman of Berriasian WG, ISCS

As it meets for its Warsaw 2013 (9-12 October) workshop, the ISCS's Berriasian Working Group, though busy with all aspects of the stage, is preoccupied with the documentation and selection of a GSSP for the Berriasian. And we have discussed this much in our workshop in Poland. That GSSP datum needs to be readily correlatable, and traceable as much as possible around the World. It is always a matter of finding markers with the widest utility, but for this particular interval it is an impossibility to settle on a single global or even remotely global indicator. For this is the stratigraphic interval that is most fraught with difficulties, because of issues of contrasting facies, geographical barriers and the endemism of its biotas. With this interval we are forced to work with a core group of markers in the largest geographical unit, Tethys, and use proxies (including magnetozones) to achieve correlations to other, sometimes more problematic, regions. It is probably fair to say that the problems are exaggerated, for if we use multidisciplinary methods the J/K boundary is seen as far less problematic than some other boundaries. For instance, some Upper Cretaceous stage boundaries where the biotas are less diverse and the available biotic markers are far less plentiful.

The reasons why this is the very last system base to be tackled by the ICS and its subcommissions have already been much discussed (Wimbledon, 2008; Wimbledon *et al.*, 2011). Removal by mid-Cretaceous erosion over large regions, faunal separation into tethyan, austral, boreal marine regions, isolation of individual basins within these, and the prevalence in wide regions of non-marine sequences across the boundary have combined to afford a correlative enigma for geologists since the start of stratigraphical study. However, at least there has never been any doubt that Berriasian

is the obvious name, and the name with priority, for the first stage/age of the Cretaceous.

Ironically, work on fixing a J/K boundary was held back for decades by concentration on single fossil groups with limited correlation potential (Remane, 1991), and by rather nationalistic and sterile arguments over the priority of stage names for the final Jurassic stage. This was ended when the name Tithonian was selected by the International Jurassic Subcommission of ISC as the only global term, and all other stage names (and even d'Orbigny's senior name of Portlandian) were suppressed (Sarjeant, Wimbledon, 2000; Cope, 2013). Now the focus is not on stage nomenclature, but on detailed correlation and calibration of useful fossil and other markers.

The focus on the definition of a base for the Berriasian is still on the interval between the base of the Jacobi Zone and the base of the Grandis Zone, but nowadays with ever increasing precision. Defining a boundary for the base of the Berriasian is more straightforward in the biotic core area of western Tethys (Morocco, Tunisia, Iberia, France, Italy, Central Europe, Turkey, Bulgaria, Ukraine, Iran) to north Atlantic 'Tethys' and the Caribbean (Cuba, Mexico), and on to California. This becomes less straightforward beyond Iran into eastern Tethys (Tibet, Australasia, Russian Far East, and Japan), with parts of the Upper Tithonian and Lower Berriasian represented, but no continuity and no complete sequences.

The idea that mythical ammonites could afford a global scale or markers and provide correlation at about the J/K boundary has over the years undergone some rigorous examination, and now has rather faded. No ammonite species, or any other alternative single fossil, provides a marker that

¹ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Queens Road, Bristol BS8 1RJ, United Kingdom; e-mail: mishenka1@yahoo.co.uk

has anything remotely approaching a global distribution. Even in Tethys it has never proved possible to define and apply a single biozonal scheme. Thus it is clear why for at least three decades a multiplicity of complementary or alternative fossils have been applied: calpionellids, nannofossils, palynomorphs, belemnites, radiolaria, forams, bivalves *etc etc*. For some years an integrated approach has been seen as the best, the only, way forward in the definition of a J/K boundary.

Workers on marine sequences sometimes overlook the fact that in J/K boundary times across wide regions no marine sedimentation took place. Extensive non-marine deposits typify large areas globally (*e.g.* USA, UK-Poland, Mongolia, and China). Here, of course, marine fossils are a rarity and we must rely for stratigraphic purposes on spores and pollen and limited dinoflagellates and ostracods, though magnetostratigraphy has, so far, rarely been applied. Palaeomagnetism and ostracod stratigraphy are currently the subject of new studies on the non-marine Tithonian-Lower Valanginian of southern England.

In non-Mediterraean Gondwana and, loosely, the Austral/Pacific (Argentina, Chile, Yemen, Madagascar, and Iraq) mostly endemic macrofaunas require separate zonal definition (e.g. Zeiss, Leanza, 2011; Vennari et al., 2013; Howarth, 1992; Howarth, Morris, 1998). Elements of the distinct J/K ammonite assemblage of Argentina have been infrequently identified in Tethys, and Argentine "Berriasella" are not Tethyan species: however, well-defined nannofossils are becoming important correlative tools. Argentina and Iraq have a few ammonites (Groebericeras, Chigaroceras...) in common, but it is the calpionellids and nannofossils newly discovered in Iraq that promise much more precise correlations with western Tethys and North America. Of all the recent discoveries made, the potential revealed by the discovery of calpionellids in the Neuquen basin must be one of the most important, and one deserving of strenuous effort in further investigation.

Western Canada and California have boreal *Buchia*, but also share some non-boreal ammonites close to the J/K boundary, supposedly "late Tithonian"(*Paradontoceras, Substeueroceras*) or "early Berriasian" (*Spiticeras*) (Imlay, Jones, 1970; Jeletsky, 1984). They are ammonites that are less well known in Tethys. Whereas belemnites, tethyan calcareous nannofossil species and palynomorphs in California offer better correlative possibilities: and integration of these with *Buchia* faunas in Asia may prove to be very useful. Much more problematic is the more isolated Siberia where there are no calpionellids, and though nannofossils are present (Zanin *et al.*, 2012), they have not really been exploited thus far. There is no ammonite in Siberia (or other boreal areas – the Russian Platform, UK or Greenland) that makes possible a correlation with any part of the traditional J/K boundary interval in any section in Tethys, though, again, *Buchia* and belemnites can help the situation.

Of course, in fixing a boundary, we are constrained by the history of research, by international consensus and conventions, by the decisions of two international symposia which voted on the issue, innumerable publications (some cited above and below), and by the decisions made in the last five years by the Berriasian Working Group of the ISCS. For several generations, apart from occasional aberrations, definitions of a J/K boundary have focussed on the Berriasella jacobi (Jacobi) Zone: but in the last forty years the focus, more and more, has been on calpionellids, on the widespread and more precisely and consistently recognised turnover from Crassicollaria assemblages to small Calpionella (e.g. Remane, 1963, 1986; Le Hégarat, Remane, 1968; Pop, 1976; Altiner, Özkan, 1991; Lakova, 1993; Benzaggagh, Atrops, 1997; Reháková, Michalik, 1997; Skourtsis-Coroneou, Solakius, 1999; Houša et al., 1999, 2004; Pszczółkowski et al., 2005; Boughdiri et al., 2006; Michalik et al., 2009; Grabowski et al., 2010a; Benzaggagh et al., 2012; Lakova, Petrova, 2013; López-Martínez et al., 2013a, b). Latterly this has been widely reinforced by the use of calcareous nannofossil FADs (references in Casellato, 2010). Much more work is in progress and is still needed on the detailed calibration of nannofossils with calpionellids, ammonites and magnetostratigraphy, so as to complete a more perfect matrix. Notably more work to realise their potential in those areas where nannofossils have already been identified, such as Argentina, Tibet (Liu et al., 2013) and in Mexico and California (Bralower et al., 1990). In the last, the existing results for radiolarians (Pessagno et al., 2009) could usefully be integrated with datums of other fossil groups. The specific identifications and correlative contradictions in the account of Liu et al. (2013) are greatly in need of attention. And all these regions, thus far, have been without palaeomagnetic study. Recent years have seen the expansion of the area with calpionellids, to Mexico westwards and to Australasia in the east; with, since the formation of the Berriasian group, new finds extend their range to Iraq and to Argentina.

Magnetostratigraphy has advanced greatly in the last thirty years, and become an essential tool in Tithonian-Berriasian stratigraphy, in both marine and non-marine facies (*e.g.* Lowrie, Channell, 1983; Ogg *et al.*, 1984, 1991, 1994; Galbrun, 1985; Ogg, Lowrie, 1986; Houša *et al.*, 1999, 2004, 2007; Grabowski, Pszczółkowski, 2006; Grabowski *et al.*, 2010b; Pruner *et al.*, 2010; Channell *et al.*, 2010; Wimbledon *et al.*, 2011, 2013; Guzhikov *et al.*, 2012; Bragin *et al.*, 2013; Bakhmutov *et al.*, 2014 – in press). The numerous J/K sections studied for palaeomagnetism in western Tethys are noteworthy in that they constitute a larger data set than exists for most previously selected GSSPs and

boundary intervals. Thus we are indeed fortunate to have the constraints imposed on biostratigraphy that magnetozones can afford.

And this tool has made a great difference with our ability to derive better correlations with the more problematic and, biotically, more impoverished regions. Nordvik is in one of these, in the Siberian embayment, that part of the boreal furthest removed from Tethys. Siberia has its own ammonite scale, as its ammonites around the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary level are different to the other boreal regions, for instance, Greenland, UK or Canada. Matching of ammonite zones in Siberia to zones in Tethys, lacking ammonite in common, has been, at best, approximate – straddling as much as 2.5 my and three local ammonite zones (Schnabl *et al.*, 2014 – in press). Intensive studies of magnetostratigraphy at Nordvik (Houša *et al.*, 2007; Bragin *et al.*, 2013) have greatly improved this situation.

There is much potential for work in Siberia. At numerous sites in Tethys the Tithonian/Berriasian (J/K) boundary interval has been identified in magnetozone M19n, and at Nordvik M19 is placed within the Craspedites taimvrensis (Taimyrensis) Zone. No doubt more finds will be made, but currently at Nordvik the bases of the magnetozones M19r, M19n, M18r, M17r and M16r all lie in intervals with no ammonites. This suggests the need to find accurate and repeatable biostratigraphic markers here and perhaps in alternative Siberian sites, sites that might then be considered for sampling for palaeomagnetism. It is excellent to have the same magnetozones identified as at the Puerto Escano, Le Chouet and St Bertrands Spring (Les Combes) etc, but it is critical to have biotic markers also. New belemnite studies (Dzyuba, 2012) have afforded wider correlations and exciting possibilities. Importantly, the first appearance of the Californian species Arctoteuthis tehamaensis in Siberia (in the middle of M19n.2n) provides a proxy for the base of the Calpionella alpina (Alpina) Zone, and the short-ranging Lagonibelus gustomesovi marking the top of M19r. The biotic connections between California and Siberia appear now to have considerable significance in the wider correlative context. And the urgent need for more magnetostratigraphic studies in Siberia is clear.

It is possible to suggest a magnetostratigraphic primary marker for the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary, but any such level would need to be tightly 'sandwiched' between consistent and widespread fossil markers. There is no possibility of ignoring numerous and widely used biostratigraphic datums, and simply choosing a magnetozone far removed from accepted traditional levels. Past suggestions that the base of M18r was a suitable contender for a J/K boundary (Ogg, Lowrie, 1986) were in our minds when we had the first Berriasian WG meetings. In earlier times, the suggestion was based on the belief that the boundary lay "in the middle of various biostratigraphic definitions" of the boundary in Tethys. But the idea has not subsequently been supported by biostratigraphic data. The decision of a new Berriasian WG, at its first meeting, to concentrate study on the base of the Jacobi Subzone as a primary boundary contender was strongly endorsed by various distinguished workers. At its third workshop in Milan, the group considered the potential of all possible biotic markers and levels for a GSSP, always combined with magnetostratigraphy, in the Jacobi Subzone. This interval, the upward sequence in M19n.2n, M19n.1r and M19.1n, in particular, provides several closely spaced markers (Wimbledon et al., 2011; though it was recognised that M19n.1r was too short an interval to be a recognisable and repeatable marker, especially in shallow marine and non-marine facies). Study in the last few vears has suggested that the bases of the Alpina and Jacobi biozones are not coincident (as has been stated in the past), and neither of them is now seen to lie close to the base of M18r (e.g. Wimbledon et al., 2013). Similarly, no FAD of a nannofossil species coincides with the base of M18r. Considering ammonites, calpionellids and nannofossils, the particular focus has shifted: to documentation of useful markers within M19n.2n.

The definition of the magnetozones that straddle the Tithonian/Berriasian interval and their essential calibration with fossil markers (calpionellids, calcareous nannofossils, ammonites, palynomorphs, radiolaria, belemnites, forams, buchiids etc) is a task that many colleagues, including the Berriasian WG, have valuably addressed in recent times. A string of papers has been published on French, Italian, Polish, Czech, Slovak, Hungarian, Bulgarian, and Ukrainian sections. Some key 'old' localities are under re-investigation, including of calpionellid studies at Fonte del Giordano and Fiume Bosso. Publication of new integrated ammonite and nannofossil data is anticipated from Berende in Bulgaria, as well as magnetostratigraphy, ammonites, calpionellid and nannofossils from Barlya. The first nannofossils from Sidi Khalif, in mid Tunisia, offer excellent prospects, and are some of the best preserved for this group. New palaeomagnetic results from Beni Kleb in Tunisia, the first in North Africa, and for Crimea (Theodosia), for the last combined with new nannofossil data, are in the pipeline. As stated, new and unique results for calpionellids have been recorded recently in Iraq and Argentina, as well as being anticipated in new projects under way in California (on nannofossils, palynomorphs, belemnites, Buchia, and ammonites). A new project is being started on the marine palynology of Tibet, and a fresh search has been initiated for calpionellid-bearing units in northern Australia.

The consensus amongst researchers for more than a generation has been that the final selection of a GSSP for the Berriasian Stage will be at a locality in Tethys (Remane, 1991; Wimbledon *et al.*, 2011: Michalik, Rehakova, 2011; Schnabl *et al.*, 2014 – in press). Tethys was the largest geographical unit in Tithonian and Berriasian times, with the clearest consistency in its biotas. Our discussions in Warsaw were very useful in canvassing opinion on prospective levels for a J/K boundary. After a free and wide-ranging discussion, there was a clear consensus, in fact with no dissent at all, that, on current knowledge, the base of the *Calpionella alpina* (Alpina) Subzone provides the most widespread and consistent candidate for a primary boundary marker. Therefore our work in coming months is to test this suggestion, and to continue efforts on calibrating *all* biotic and other markers around the levels of the bases of the subzones of *Berriasella jacobi* (Jacobi), *Calpionella alpina* (Alpina) and *Pseudosubplanites grandis* (Grandis).

REFERENCES

- ALTINER D., ÖZKAN S., 1991 Calpionellid zonation in northwestern Anatolia (Turkey) and calibration of the stratigraphic ranges of some benthic foraminifers at the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. *Geologica Romana*, 27: 215–235.
- BAGAEVA M.I., ARKADIEV V.V., BARABOSHKIN E.Y., GOR-BENKO E.Y., GUZHIKOV A.Y., MANIKIN A.G., PERMI-NOV V.A., 2011 — New data on bio- and magnetostratigraphy of the Berriasian-Valanginian boundary sediments in eastern Crimea: 23–26. *In:* Palaeontology, stratigraphy and palaeogeography of the Boreal Mesozoic and Cenozoic, Vol. I: Mesozoic (eds B.N. Shurygin *et al.*). INGG SO RAN, Novosibirsk.
- BAKHMUTOV V.G., CASELLATO C.E., HALÁSOVÁ E, IVANOVA D., REHÁKOVÁ D., WIMBLEDON W.A.P., 2014 — Bio- and magnetostratigraphy of the Upper Tithonian–Lower Berriasian in southern Ukraine [in press].
- BENZAGGAGH M., ATROPS F., 1997 Stratigraphie et associations de faune d'ammonites des zones du Kimméridgien, Tithonien et Berriasien basal dans le Prérif interne (Rif, Maroc). Newsletters on Stratigraphy, 35: 127–163.
- BENZAGGAGH M., CECCA F., SCHNYDER J., SEYED-EMA-MI K., REZA MAJIDIFARDE M., 2012 — Calpionelles et microfaunes pélagiques du Jurassique supérieur–Crétacé inférieur dans les Formations Shal et Kolur (Montagnes du Talesh, chaîne de l'Elbourz, Nord-Ouest Iran). Répartition stratigraphique, espèces nouvelles, revision systématique et comparaisons regionals. *Annales de Paléontologie*, **98**: 253– 301.
- BOUGHDIRI M., SALLOUHI H., MAALAOUI K., SOUSSI M., CORDEY F., 2006 — Calpionellid zonation of the Jurassic-Cretaceous transition in north Atlasic Tunisia. Updated Upper Jurassic stratigraphy of the "Tunisian Trough" and regional correlations. C.R. Geoscience, 338: 1250–1259.
- BRAGIN V.Y., DZYUBA O.S., KAZANSKY A.Y., SHURYGIN B.N., 2013 — New data on the magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary interval, Nordvik Peninsula

(northern East Siberia). *Russian Geology and Geophysics*, **54**: 335–348.

- BRALOWER T.J., LUDVIG K.R., OBRADOVICH J.D., JONES D.L., 1990 — Berriasian (Early Cretaceous) radiometric dates from the Grindstone Creek section, Sacramento Valley, California. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **98**: 62–73.
- CASELLATO C.E., 2010 Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of Upper Callovian-Lower Berriasian successions from the Southern Alps, North Italy. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, **116**: 357–404.
- CHANNELL J.E.T., CASELLATO C.E., MUTTONI G., ERBA E., 2010 — Magnetostratigraphy, nannofossil stratigraphy and apparent polar wander for Adria-Africa in the Jurrasic-Cretaceous boundary interval. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 293: 51–75.
- COLLOQUE sur la Crétacé inferieur, Lyon, 1963. 1965. Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières Memoires, 34: pp. 840.
- COLLOQUE sur la limite Jurassique-Crétacé, Lyon-Neuchatel, 1973. 1975. Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières Memoires, 86: pp 393.
- COPE J.C.W., 2013 Stage nomenclature in the uppermost Jurassic rocks of Britain. *Geoscience in South-West England*, 13: 216–221.
- DZYUBA O.S., 2012 Belemnites and biostratigraphy of the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary deposits of northern east Siberia: new data on the Nordvik Peninsula. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **20**: 53–72.
- GALBRUN B., 1985 Magnetostratigraphy of the Berriasian stratotype section (Berrias, France). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 74: 130–136.
- GRABOWSKI J., 2011 Magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic/ Cretaceous boundary interval in the Western Tethys and its correlations with other regions: a review. *Volumina Jurassica*, 9: 105–128.
- GRABOWSKI J., PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., 2006 Magneto- and biostratigraphy of the Tithonian–Berriasian pelagic sediments in the Tatra Mountains (central western Carpathians, Poland): sedimentary and rock magnetic changes at the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. Cretaceous Research, 27: 398–417.
- GRABOWSKI J., HAAS J., MARTON E., PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., 2010a — Magneto- and biostratigraphy of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Lokút section (Transdanubian Range, Hungary). Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica, 54: 1–26.
- GRABOWSKI J., MICHALIK J., PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., LINT-NEROVA O., 2010b — Magneto-, and isotope stratigraphy around the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Vysoka Unit (Male Karpaty Mountains, Slovakia): correlations and tectonic implications. *Geologica Carpathica*, 61: 309–326.
- GUZHIKOV A.Y., ARKADIEV V.V., BARABOSHKIN E.Y., BAGAEVA M.I., PISKUNOV V.K., RUD'KO S.V., PERMI-NOV V.A., MANIKIN A.G., 2012 — New sedimentological, bio-, and magnetostratigraphic data on the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary interval of Eastern Crimea (Feodosiya). *Stratigraphy* and Geological Correlation, 20: 261–294.
- Le HÉGARAT G., REMANE J. 1968 Tithonique supérieur et Berriasien de l'Ardèche et de l'Hérault. Correlation des ammonites et des calpionelles. *Geobios*, 1: 7–70.

- HOUŠA V., KRS M., KRSOVÁ M., MAN O., PRUNER P., VEN-HODOVÁ D., 1999 — High-resolution magnetostratigraphy and micropalaeontology across the J/K boundary strata at Brodno near Zilina, western Slovakia: summary of results. *Cretaceous Research*, 20: 699–717.
- HOUŠA V., KRS M., MAN O., PRUNER P., VENHODOVÁ D., CECCA F., NARD G., PISCITELLO M., 2004 — Combined magnetostratigraphic, palaeomagnetic and calpionellid investigations across Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary strata in the Bosso Valley, Umbria, Central Italy. *Cretaceous Research*, 25: 771–785.
- HOUŠA V., PRUNER P., ZAKHAROV V.A., KOSTAK M., CHADIMA M., ROGOV M.A., ŠLECHTA S., MAZUCH M., 2007 — Boreal-Tethyan correlation of the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary interval by magneto- and biostratigraphy. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **15**: 297–309.
- HOWARTH M.K., 1992 Tithonian and Berriasian ammonites from the Chia Gara Formation in northern Iraq. *Palaeontology*, 35: 597–655.
- HOWARTH M.J., MORRIS N.J., 1998 Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous of Wadi Hajar, southern Yemen. *Bulletin of the Natural History Museum London, Geology*, 54: 1–32.
- IMLAY R.W., JONES D.L., 1970 Ammonites from the Buchia zones in northwestern California and southwestern Oregon. USGS Professional Paper, 647-B: 96 pp.
- JELETSKY J.A., 1984 Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary beds of western and Arctic Canada and the problem of the Tithonian-Berriasian stages in the Boreal realm: 175–255. *In*: Jurassic-Cretaceous biochronology and biogeography of North America (Ed. G.E.G. Westermann). *Geological Association of Canada Special Paper*, 27.
- LAKOVA I., 1993 Middle Tithonian to Berriasian praecalpionellid and calpionellid zonation of the Western Balkanides, Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica*, 23: 3–24.
- LAKOVA I., PETROVA S., 2013 Towards a standard Tithonian to Valanginian calpionellid zonation of the Tethyan Realm. *Geologica Polonica*, 63: 201–221
- LIU Y.-Q., JI Q., JIANG X.-J., KUANG H.-W., JI S., GAO L.-F., ZHANG Z.-G., PENG N., YUAN C.X., WANG X.-R., XU W., 2013 — U-Pb zircon ages of Early Cretaceous volcanic rocks in the Tethyan Himalaya at Yangzuoyong Co Lake, Nagarze, southern Tibet, and implications for the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. *Cretaceous Research*, **40**: 90–101.
- LOWRIE W., CHANNELL J.E.T., 1983 Magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the Maiolica limestone (Umbria, Italy). *Geology*, 12: 44–47.
- LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., BARRAGÁN R., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2013a — Calpionellid distribution and microfacies across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in western Cuba (Sierra Los Organos). *Geologica Carpathica*, 64: 195–208.
- LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., BARRAGÁN R., REHÁKOVÁ D. 2013b — The Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Apulco area by means of calpionellids and calcareous dinoflagellates: an alternative to the classical Mazatepec section in eastern Mexico. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **47**: 142–151.
- MICHALÍK J., REHÁKOVÁ D., HALÁSOVÁ E., LINT-NEROVÁ O., 2009 — The Brodno section – potential regional

stratotype of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary (western Carpathians). *Geologica Carpathica*, **60**: 213–232.

- MICHALÍK J., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2011 Possible markers of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Mediterranean Tethys – A review and state of art. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 2, 475–490.
- OGG J.G., LOWRIE W., 1986 Magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. *Geology*, 14: 547–550.
- OGG J.G., HASENYAGER R.W., WIMBLEDON W.A.P., CHAN-NELL J.E.T., BRALOWER T.J., 1991 — Magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary interval – Tethyan and English faunal realms. *Cretaceous Research*, **12**: 455–482.
- OGG J.G., HASENYAGER R.W., WIMBLEDON W.A., 1994 Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary: Portland-Purbeck magnetostratigraphy and possible correlation to the Tethyan faunal realm. *Géobios*, 17: 519–527.
- OGG J.G., STEINER M.B., OLORIZ F., TAVERA J.M., 1984 Jurassic magnetostratigraphy, 1. Kimmeridgian–Tithonian of Sierra Gorda and Carcabuey, southern Spain. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **71**: 147–162.
- PESSAGNO E.A., CANTÚ-CHAPA A., MARTINSON J.M., MENG X., KARIMINIA S.M., 2009 — The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary: new data from North America and the Caribbean. *Stratigraphy*, 6: 185–262.
- POP G., 1976 Tithonian-Valanginian calpionellid zones from Cuba. Dari de Seama ale Sedintelor Institutul de Geologie si Geofizica, 62: 237–266.
- PRUNER P., HOUŠA V., OLÓRIZ F., KOŠTÁK M., MAN O., SCHNABL P., VENHODOVÁ D., TAVERA J.M., MAZUCH M., 2010 — High-resolution magnetostratigraphy and biostratigraphic zonation of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary strata in the Puerto Escaño section (southern Spain). Cretaceous Research, 31: 192–206.
- PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., GARCÍA-DELGADO D., GIL GONZÁ-LEZ S., 2005 — Calpionellid and nannoconid stratigraphy and microfacies of limestones at the Tithonian-Berriasian boundary in the Sierra Inferno (western Cuba). *Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae*, **75**: 1–16.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., MICHALIK J., 1997 Evolution and distribution of calpionellids – the most characteristic constituent of lower Cretaceous Tethyan microplankton. *Cretaceous Research*, 18: 493–504.
- REMANE J., 1963 Les Calpionelles dans les couches de passage jurassiques-crétacé de la fosse vocontienne. *Travaux du Laboratoire de Géologie de la Faculté des Sciences de Grenoble*, **39**: 25–82.
- REMANE J., 1986 Calpionellids and the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. Acta Geologica Hungarica, 2: 15–26.
- REMANE, J. 1991 The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary: problems of definition and procedure. *Cretaceous Research*, 12: 447–453.
- SARJEANT W.A.S., WIMBLEDON W.A.P., 2000 The terminal Jurassic stage: history of a controversy in stratigraphy. *Modern Geology*, 22: 1–34.
- SCHNABL P., PRUNER P., WIMBLEDON W.A.P., 2014 Magnetostratigraphic results in the Tithonian-Berriasian of Nordvik (Siberia) and possible biostratigraphic constraints. *Russian Geology and Geophysics* [in press].

- SKOURTSIS-CORONEOU V., SOLAKIUS N., 1999 Calpionellid zonation at the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary within the Vigla Limestone Formation (Ionian Zone, western Greece) and carbon isotope analysis. *Cretaceous Research*, 20: 583–595.
- VENNARI V.V., LESCANO M., NAIPAUER M., AGUIRRE-URRE-TA B., CONCHEYRO A., SCHALTEGGER U., ARMSTRONG R., PIMENTEL M., RAMOS V.A., 2014 — New constraints in the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the High Andes using highprecision U-Pb data. *Gondwana Research*, 26: 374–385.
- WIMBLEDON W.A.P., 2008 The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary: an age-old correlative enigma. *Episodes*, **31**: 423–428.
- WIMBLEDON W.A.P., CASELLATO C.E., REHÁKOVÁ D., BULOT L.G., ERBA E., GARDIN S., VERREUSSEL R.M.C.H., MUNSTERMAN D.K., HUNT C.O., 2011 — Fixing a basal Berriasian and Jurassic/Cretaceous (J/K) boundary – is there perhaps some light at the end of the tunnel? *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, 117: 295–307.
- WIMBLEDON W.A.P., REHÁKOVÁ D., PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., CASELLATO C.E., HALÁSOVÁ E., FRAU C., BULOT L.G., GRABOWSKI J., SOBIEŃ K., PRUNER P., SCHNABL P., ČÍŽKOVÁ K., 2013 — A preliminary account of the bio- and magnetostratigraphy of the Upper Tithonian–Lower Berriasian interval at Le Chouet, Drôme (SE France). *Geologica Carpathica*, 64: 437–460.
- ZAKHAROV V.A, BOWN P., RAWSON P.F., 1996 The Berriasian Stage and the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. *Bulletin van het Koninklijk Belginstituut voor Natuurwetenschappen* Supp., **66**: 7–10.
- ZANIN Y.N., ZAMIRAILOVA A.G., EDER V.K., 2012 Some calcareous nannofossils from the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous Bazhenov Formation of the West Siberian Marine Basin, Russia. Open Geology Journal, 6: 25–31.
- ZEISS A., LEANZA H., 2011 Upper Jurassic (Tithonian) ammonites from the lithographic limestones of the Zapala region, Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *Beringeria*, 41: 1–52.