

# The proposal of a GSSP for the Berriasian Stage (Cretaceous System): Part 1

William A.P. WIMBLEDON<sup>1</sup>, Daniela REHÁKOVÁ<sup>2</sup>, Andrea SVOBODOVÁ<sup>3</sup>, Tiiu ELBRA<sup>3</sup>, Petr SCHNABL<sup>3</sup>, Petr PRUNER<sup>3</sup>, Krýstina ŠIFNEROVÁ<sup>3</sup>, Šimon KDÝR<sup>3</sup>, Oksana DZYUBA<sup>4</sup>, Johann SCHNYDER<sup>5</sup>, Bruno GALBRUN<sup>5</sup>, Martin KOŠŤÁK<sup>6</sup>, Lucie VAŇKOVÁ<sup>6</sup>, Philip COPESTAKE<sup>7</sup>, Christopher O. HUNT<sup>8</sup>, Alberto RICCARDI<sup>9</sup>, Terry P. POULTON<sup>10</sup>, Luc G. BULOT<sup>11, 12</sup>, Camille FRAU<sup>13</sup>, Luis DE LENA<sup>14</sup>

**Key words:** Berriasian, GSSP definition, J/K boundary, global correlation, *Calpionella alpina* Subzone, markers and proxies.

**Abstract.** Here in the first part of this publication we discuss the possibilities for the selection of a GSSP for the Berriasian Stage of the Cretaceous System, based on the established methods for correlation in the Tithonian/Berriasian interval. This will be followed, in the second part, by an account of the stratigraphic evidence that justifies the locality of Tré Maroua (Hautes-Alpes, SE France) as the proposed GSSP. Here we discuss the possibilities for correlation in the historical J/K boundary interval, and the evolution of thinking on the positioning of the boundary over recent generations, and in relation to research in the last ten years. The Tithonian/Berriasian boundary level is accepted as occurring within magnetosubzone M19n.2n. The detailed distribution of calpionellids has been recorded at numerous sites, tied to magnetostratigraphy, and the base of the calpionellid Alpina Zone is taken to define the base of the Berriasian Stage. This is at a level just below the distinctive reversed magnetic subzone M19n.1r (the so-called Brodno reversal). We discuss a wide range of magnetostratigraphic and biostratigraphic data from key localities globally, in the type Berriasian areas of France and wider regions (Le Chouet,

<sup>1</sup> School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queens Road, Bristol BS8 1RJ, United Kingdom; mishenka1@yahoo.co.uk.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Geology and Paleontology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, Ilkovičova 6, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia; rehakova@fns.uniba.sk.

<sup>3</sup> The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Geology, Rozvojová 269, 165 00 Prague, Czech Republic; asvobodova@gli.cas.cz, elbra@gli.cas.cz, schnabl@gli.cas.cz, pruner@gli.cas.cz; cizkovak@gli.cas.cz, kdyr@gli.cas.cz.

<sup>4</sup> Trofimuk Institute of Petroleum Geology and Geophysics, Siberian Branch of RAS, Acad. Koptuyug av. 3, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia; DzyubaOS@ipgg.sbras.ru.

<sup>5</sup> Sorbonne Université, UPMC Université Paris 06, CNRS, Institut des Sciences de la Terre Paris (ISTeP), 75005 Paris, France; johann.schnyder@sorbonne-universite.fr, bruno.galbrun@sorbonne-universite.fr.

<sup>6</sup> Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Albertov 6, Prague 2, 128 43, Czech Republic; kostys@centrum.cz, vankova.luc@seznam.cz.

<sup>7</sup> Merlin Energy Resources Ltd., New Street, Ledbury, Herefordshire, HR8 2EJ, United Kingdom; Phil\_Copestake@merlinenergy.co.uk.

<sup>8</sup> School of Biological & Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom St., Liverpool L3 3AH, United Kingdom; C.O.Hunt@ljmu.ac.uk.

<sup>9</sup> CONICET – Museo de La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Paseo del Bosque s/n, 1900 La Plata, Argentina; riccardi@fcnym.unlp.edu.ar.

<sup>10</sup> Geological Survey of Canada, Calgary, Alberta, T2L 2A1, Canada; terry.poulton@canada.ca.

<sup>11</sup> Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, Collège de France, Cerege, Site Saint-Charles, Case 67, 3, Place Victor Hugo, 13331 Marseille Cedex 3, France; luc.bulot@manchester.ac.uk.

<sup>12</sup> NARG, School of Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Williamson Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom.

<sup>13</sup> Groupement d'Intérêt Paléontologique, Science et Exposition, 60 bd Georges Richard, 83000 Toulon, France; camille\_frau@hotmail.fr.

<sup>14</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Geneva, Geneva, 1205, Switzerland; lena.luis@gmail.com.

Saint Bertrand, Puerto Escaño, Rio Argos, Bosso, Brodno, Kurovice, Theodosia *etc.*). The characteristic datums that typify the J/K boundary interval in Tethys and its extensions are detailed, and the correlative viability of various fossil groups is discussed. The boundary level is correlated to well-known J/K sections globally, and a series of secondary markers and proxies are indicated which assist wider correlation. Particularly significant are the primary basal Berriasian marker, the base of the Alpina Subzone (marked by dominance of small *Calpionella alpina*, *Crassicollaria parvula* and *Tintinopsella carpathica*) and secondary markers bracketing the base of the Calpionella Zone, notably the FOs of the calcareous nannofossil species *Nannoconus wintereri* (just below the boundary) and the FO of *Nannoconus steinmannii minor* (just above). Notable proxies for the boundary are: 1) the base of the Arctoteuthis tehamaensis Zone in boreal and subboreal regions, 2) the dated base of the Alpina Subzone at  $140.22 \pm 0.14$  Ma, which also gives a precise age estimate for the system boundary; and 3) the base of radiolarian “unitary zone” 14, which is situated just above the base of the Alpina Subzone.

## 1. PREAMBLE

This account is written on behalf of the Berriasian Working Group of the International Subcommittee on Cretaceous Stratigraphy. It represents the culmination of ten years of work on J/K boundary interval sections across the globe, and is an introduction to the proposal of a GSSP section for the Berriasian Stage (Cretaceous System). That section, at Tré Maroua, in the Vocontian Basin of SE France, is discussed in Part 2 of this work.

The Berriasian is the first stage/age of the Cretaceous System/Period. Previous work and the decisions of international symposia have consistently confirmed that the Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the Berriasian should be located in an outcrop in former areas of the ocean of Tethys. Though it is necessary to recognise the difficulties that are still encountered when trying to correlate between marine late Tithonian – earliest Berriasian levels in Tethys and its extensions [Panthallassa (Japan, Russian Far East, California, Andes), Mexico, Caribbean and the Middle Atlantic] and various isolated boreal marine basins, not to mention the non-marine regions. It is worth noting that such difficulties caused by biotic provincialism that began in the Tithonian continued through the Berriasian and later, affecting correlations in the Valanginian and Hauterivian. However, these difficulties are becoming less insurmountable in the Jurassic/Cretaceous (J/K) interval: obstacles and uncertainties with correlation have been overcome by use of magnetostratigraphy and by recognition of ever-wider recognition of ‘Tethyan’ marker species (*e.g.*, nannofossils, calcareous dinoflagellates), as well as proxy species (*e.g.*, belemnites) which have FOs that approximate to widespread Tethyan marker species and zonal boundaries.

In 2009, the Berriasian Working Group (BWG) of the International Subcommittee on Cretaceous Stratigraphy (ISCS) began a concerted research effort, with the aim of examining and comparing all relevant contender sites that might qualify as a GSSP for the Berriasian Stage, the basal stage of the Cretaceous System. When, by 2009, a core group had coalesced that possesses all the required specialisms, it was agreed that its first aim was to accumulate inte-

grated site data before any consideration was given to a prospective boundary level. Documentation and comparison would, it was hoped, lead to a consensus on the best markers, which would thus guide the group towards making a decision on the most logical and useful boundary level, before moving on to selecting a specific section for a GSSP. Further, we would examine traditional levels for the boundary, assessing those before moving to new horizons: prospects and possibilities were first assessed (Wimbledon *et al.*, 2011).

Consequent on the initial decision to base any choices of preferred marker levels, or localities, on a systematic appraisal, more than sixty stratigraphic sequences across the globe, some previously studied and some new, were documented and assessed (see Appendix 1). The group’s efforts have been directed towards recording ranges of any stratigraphically useful fossil group, and, whenever possible, magnetostratigraphy has been applied. From this growing body of integrated data – in particular, palaeomagnetism, calcareous nannofossils, ammonites, calpionellids and calcareous dinocysts, but also belemnites and palynology – we have been able to assess the usefulness of various biotic markers, and their relative positions.

In 1973, at the time of the J/K colloquium, ammonites ruled where stage definition was concerned; and the Tithonian–Berriasian world consisted essentially of Mediterranean Tethys and limited adjoining Alpine regions, with discussions on wider correlation constrained severely by ammonite provinciality. Earlier iterations of a J/K boundary working group were preoccupied with discussion of that fossil group, and with a rather narrow geographical focus – ammonite correlation between Tethys and boreal Russia being a paramount preoccupation: even though correlative accuracy was poor, sometimes with discrepancies of more than 2 my. Widespread endemism in the ammonites had been repeatedly recognised as an obstacle to correlation, even in the regions of western Tethys, and, thus, though published research on the J/K interval has grown and more profiles have been documented, it has been done relying less on ammonites, and more on calpionellids combined with magnetostratigraphy, and also calcareous nannofossils. Through the identification of these and other fossil groups, we can now regard the Mediterranean/Alpine

region as only part of wider Tethyan core area, with, beyond that, magnetostratigraphy and other biotic elements beginning to promise global correlations.

The BWGs aim in the past ten years, with discussions at 15 workshops, has been to broaden horizons, and for work to take place in all regions that have substantial J/K profiles with data to offer, excepting only those where war and risk to life and limb have prevented fieldwork. Latterly, various authors have attempted definition of the boundary level or approximation to a boundary using calpionellids, nannofossils, radiolarians, dinocysts *etc.*, and magnetostratigraphy. This has involved study of parts of the globe far beyond the confines of limited regions that were being considered when earlier ICS J/K discussions ceased (*e.g.*, Zakharov *et al.*, 1996a), with the WG focussing its activity in the Andes, Mexico, California, the Russian Far East, China, the Black Sea, North Africa and the Middle East.

In recent decades, calpionellids have consistently been seen as the most useful fossil group for biostratigraphy in the Tithonian-Berriasian boundary interval, and the turnover from *Crassicollaria* species to small, orbicular *Calpionella alpina* has been documented numerous times as a widespread marker falling in the middle part of magnetic zone M19n.2n. The consensus in the Berriasian WG on this was recorded in the account of its Warsaw 2013 workshop discussions. One salient advance has been to expand the known geographical extent of the *C. alpina* (=Alpina) Subzone (*sensu* Pop, 1974 and Remane *et al.*, 1986) and to gather information on taxa from other fossil groups that bracket this datum. This level lies in the lower part of the interval traditionally labelled as the “*Berriasella jacobi* (=Jacobi) Subzone”, with its geographically limited ‘Mediterranean’ ammonite faunas. The *Crassicollaria-Calpionella* zonal interval has been shown to be constrained also by the FOs of calcareous nannofossil species (*e.g.*, *Rhagodiscus asper*, *Crucellipsis cuvillieri*, *Nannoconus wintereri*, *N. globulus*, *N. steinmannii*, *Hexalithus strictus*). The BWG activity has also focussed on finding proxies for these various markers in biotically impoverished areas in other marine regions and in areas of non-marine sedimentation; in the latter using magnetostratigraphy to overcome correlation problems (*e.g.*, in China, and in the Purbeck facies in Europe). The finding of ‘Tethyan’ calpionellids, nannofossil and calcareous dinocysts outside the regions that they were supposed to typify, notably in the Andes, has been a great advance.

In regions with radiolarian-rich facies (see Radiolaria chapter), other biota can be scarce. The base of radiolarian “unitary zone” 13 coincides with the base of the *Crassicollaria* Zone, and the base of zone 14 (Baumgartner *et al.*, 1995) falls at a level close above the base of the Alpina Subzone, though boreal and austral radiolarian biostratigraphies do not fit with this Tethyan zonal scheme.

The literature and this proposal on the J/K boundary are founded primarily on biostratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy. Internationally there has been no unequivocal stable isotope, or geochemical, event identified that helps determine or fix a boundary: the literature reveals a long-term carbon (C) isotope decline in the later Jurassic–early Cretaceous (Tithonian–Valanginian), that has been widely recorded in Tethys (see Isotopes below). The causes of the J/K declining C isotope trend are not known, although some possibilities have been mooted. A handful of minor anomalies have been suggested close to the J/K boundary (see below): more high-resolution studies are required on these, and on sites in the Vocontian Basin in general. The Berriasian WG earlier sampled one Vocontian Basin profile for stable isotopes, and the results from the Le Chouet locality conformed to the widely-recognised J/K pattern of long-term C isotope decline, with no marked fluctuation. For this reason, local sites, including Tré Maroua, have not been studied in detail, though preliminary results from the locality will be presented in the second part of this paper.

There have been limited consideration of sequence stratigraphy in the J-K interval (Du Chene *et al.*, 1993; Monteil, 1993), cyclostratigraphy is still in its infancy (*e.g.*, Rameil, 2005), and sea-level fluctuations shown in the “Exxon-Haq” composites have not been keyed to well studied and calibrated sequences, such as those described here. Better cyclostratigraphy may come from other sections spanning the Tithonian to Berriasian, such as those in the Neuquen Basin: but for that to happen, there will have to be adjustments to initial magnetostratigraphic determinations and widespread biostratigraphic markers will need to be identified.

In June 2016, the then 70-plus Berriasian Working Group held a formal vote to select the primary marker for the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary. With a 76% majority, the base of the *Calpionella alpina* (Alpina) Subzone was chosen (Wimbledon, 2017; Wimbledon *et al.*, 2017). Thus the proposed boundary level adopted by the BWG was still situated within the Jacobi Zone, between the two ammonite zonal levels (Grandis and Jacobi) put forward during the 1963 and 1973 J/K colloquia, and thus conforming to the usage of recent generations.

After consideration of a shortlist of potential GSSP sites at the WG’s workshop at Kroměříž in 2018, two contenders were decided upon: when more documentation was to hand, a choice would be made between stratigraphic profiles in the Vocontian Basin and at Fiume Bosso in the Italian Apennines. In May 2019, the Berriasian Group completed a consultation and a one-month formal vote on the selection of a GSSP locality. The group voted with a 73% majority to select the Tré Maroua section in the Vocontian Basin.

Accordingly, on 1st December, 2019 this proposal of Tré Maroua as the GSSP for the Berriasian Stage (Cretaceous

System) was submitted by the Berriasian WG to the ICS/ICS. The GSSP put forward is situated at the base of bed 14 in the lower cliff section at Tré Maroua, a level coinciding with the base of the Alpina Subzone, which is used as the primary marker for the stage base.

## 2. HISTORICAL

The scope of “Neocomian” is a fraught subject; though it is a topic largely beyond the scope of this proposal. It is not a formal unit, but in a loose sense (Hoedemaeker, 1990) it comprises the lower stages of the Cretaceous, the Berriasian, Valanginian and Hauterivian. Thurmman (1835), in coining the name Neocomian, depended on de Montmollin’s (1835) description of the Cretaceous at Neuchâtel. From that text, it can be interpreted that the *Calcaire Jaune* formation represented the Valanginian, Hauterivian and perhaps part of the Barremian: authors differ on what precisely is represented. Whether Montmollin’s *Calcaire Jaune* includes any part of the Berriasian would be speculation, as is the case with his “Portlandian”. Before even 1860, the Neocomian had already been variously defined, with some marked variation: to include the Hauterivian plus the Valanginian (and the Urgonian), or not; and, significantly, plus the Berriasian, and even the Aptian (Renevier, 1874).

To take a step backwards in time, Purbeckian and Portlandian were the stage names with priority, the inventions of Brongniart (1829), and Desor’s brief paper introducing the term “Valangien” (=Valanginien/Valanginian) only appeared in 1854. Mid 19th century knowledge could be more or less summarised by Opper’s (1865) presumption that his Tithonian facies was the approximate equivalent of the Portland and Purbeck beds of northern Europe: that is the Portlandian (d’Orbigny, 1842–1849 definition) and Purbeckian (relegated to the Cretaceous by d’Orbigny), and that somewhere above was the Valanginian. The idea that Tithonian was in part Purbeckian was presumably founded on Edward Forbes’ (1851) opinion that marine molluscs and echinoids in the Purbeck Formation were Jurassic. Of course, nowhere had these various units been seen and related one to another in a continuous sequence, in superimposition (with the exception of the Portland/Purbeck junction, described extensively since the time of William Smith).

When modern studies began, the inadequacy of the Valanginian type area was soon recognised: leading to the proposal of alternative type sections in south-east France (see Bulot, 1996). And a multiplicity of publications catalogued the biostratigraphy of the Tithonian and Berriasian, moving beyond the ammonites that had dominated 19th and early 20th century discussions. But the difficulties of correlating non-marine Purbeck and extra-Tethyan Portland beds with marine Tithonian/Berriasian remain.

Returning to the lack of continuity between the sequences of the Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous historical type areas, it was for Coquand (1871) to recognise the ‘missing’, undescribed, Cretaceous interval that sat between the Tithonian and Valanginian, identifying a separate Berriasian, in Ardèche, in southern France. Coquand’s work was founded on Pictet’s collections (Pictet, 1867) of ammonites at Berrias (which, by the way, lacks the basal Berriasian as we currently define it). The ammonite fauna was thought by Pictet to have affinities with the Valanginian, but was correctly seen by Coquand as a distinct assemblage, one that was neither Valanginian nor Tithonian; and Renevier (1874) endorsed that opinion.

Though, by 1870, the two lowest stages of the Lower Cretaceous had been reasonably concretely recognised in France, in superimposition, various workers in Europe continued to invent new stage names and interpretations of existing names around the boundary level. Dubisian (=Purbeckian) (1859 – Desor and Gressly) had already been named, and it was followed by Infraneocomian (1876 – Dumas). De Lapparent (1883) made the Berriasian a substage of the Purbeckian, but still in the Portlandian, and, further, Infravalanginian (1885 – Choffat), Freixilian (=Portlandian: 1887 – Choffat), Aquilonian (=Purbeckian: 1891 – Pavlov) and Allobrogian (=Portlandian-Purbeckian: 1909 – Rollier) were coined. It was a time when the invention of stage-names had gone into overdrive. As an aside, it has to be kept in mind that mid to late 19th century understanding of what constituted a stage or any unit (chrono-, bio- or lithostratigraphic) was flexible; with formations, stages and biozones used more or less interchangeably.

Concentration of study on sites with remanié and mixed (Tithonian/Berriasian) ammonite faunas, collecting *ex situ* in quarries and even from field brash, as at Aizy-sur-Noyarey (Isère) and Chomérac (Ardèche), impeded progress. Fortunately, in time, more complete sequences were studied, such as that at La Faurie (Mazenot, 1939). The disentanglement of autochthonous and remanié elements, and an understanding of the true ranges of the many Tithonian to Berriasian ammonite taxa still proceeds (Bulot *et al.*, 2014; Frau *et al.*, 2015, 2016b).

As the end of the 19th century approached, Kilian (1889) reaffirmed the position of the Berriasian Stage at the base of the Cretaceous. Connected studies of further regions in western Tethys and beyond proliferated (*e.g.*, Arnould-Saget, 1953; Nikolov, 1966, 1982; Nikolov, Mandov, 1967; Le Hégarat, Remane, 1968; Allemann *et al.*, 1975; Donze *et al.*, 1975; Nikolov, Sapunov, 1977; Hoedemaeker, 1981, 1982; Tavera, 1985; Kvantaliani, 1989, 1999; Olóriz, Tavera, 1989; Tavera *et al.*, 1994; Benzaggagh, Atrops, 1995a, b; Sey, Kalachëva, 1996, 2000; Bogdanova, Arkad’ev, 1999, 2005), and even if many contradictions about macrofaunas

were still to be addressed (Mazenot, 1939; Le Hégarat, 1973; Frau *et al.*, 2016a, c), there was stability in stratigraphic nomenclature, which grew still further with the new emphasis on micropalaeontology and magnetostratigraphy.

Recently, the suggestion has been made (Granier, 2019) that d'Orbigny and Ooppel put forward some evidence for recognition of a base to the Cretaceous; that is, at a time prior to the substantive lowest Cretaceous studies by workers such as Coquand, Pictet, de Lapparent, Kilian *etc.* In fact, what d'Orbigny and Ooppel wrote highlighted the complete lacuna in knowledge that existed for them where the boundary interval was concerned. Of course, d'Orbigny suggested divisions within the "Neocomian", but Ooppel was preoccupied with the Jurassic, not the Cretaceous. Ooppel (1865) recorded three 'Berriasian' ammonites, though without knowing their true age: *Pseudargentinoceras abscissum*, *Proniceras pronum* (both known also in the uppermost Tithonian), and *Berriasella callisto* (based on an incorrect location given by d'Orbigny).

Much has been written about d'Orbigny's unfamiliarity with the reality of field geology in classical areas – omitting most of the Oxford Clay from the Oxfordian, and placing half the Kimmeridge Clay in the Portlandian. In his *Prodrôme* (1850), there is no "Valanginian", let alone any mention of a substantive base for the Cretaceous: a number of species of *Ammonites* are listed broadly under his "17<sup>ème</sup> étage", that is, as Neocomian. These comprise the long-ranging *Ptychophylloceras semisulcatum* (known to occur in the Berriasian) and ammonite taxa that we now take to be definitively Valanginian (*Olcostephanus astierianus*, *Platylenticeras gevrilianum*, *Dichotomites bidichotomus*, *Saynoceras verrucosum*, *Neocomites neocomiensis*, *Kilianella asperima*, *Prodichotomites carteroni*, *Kilianella roubaudiana*, *Platylenticeras marcousianum*, *Olcostephanus josephinus*, and *Paquiericeras nicolasianum*). By the time Coquand made his breakthrough in recognising the existence of a substantial pre-Valanginian and post-Tithonian interval, both Ooppel and d'Orbigny had been dead for some years: the two had no knowledge of these Berriasian rocks or their fossil contents. In fact, d'Orbigny was so little informed about the identity of fossils in this interval that he only mentioned a single typical Berriasian ammonite, *Berriasella callisto*, and this he placed in the Kimmeridgian.

Much of this, and more, was well documented by Breistroffer (1964).

## 2.1. 1973 SYMPOSIUM ON THE JURASSIC/CRETACEOUS BOUNDARY

Though numerous meetings have considered the placing of the J/K boundary since, one earlier conference on the topic is much quoted – that at Lyon/Neuchâtel, in 1973. It was

the last significant gathering that brought together specialists on the interval prior to the setting up of the current Berriasian WG (2007) and its numerous meetings. An examination of the motions and votes of the 1973 participants reveals the existence of a consensus, a consensus that was carried forward in subsequent years. As all roads lead to and from this conference, it is worth considering the series of motions that came from the floor at the colloquium, and it is useful to record those that touched on J/K boundary definition, as they were proposed by the involved and experienced researchers who were present (Colloquium, 1975).

Formal motions (with proposers) in ascending order of popularity:

- the J/K system boundary should be at base of the Upper Berriasian Bossieri zone – 8 votes (Casey: motion 8) (supported by Marek and Dembowska in motion 10–8 votes);
- that the J/K boundary should be the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary – 19 votes (Birkenmajer: motion 1);
- J/K should be Tithonian/Berriasian boundary, and be placed at the base of Jacobi/Grandis Zone – 22 votes (Frandrín, Thieuloy, Le Hégarat and Druschits: motion 3);
- J/K should be at the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary, and be defined in Tethys – 22 votes (Remane and Barthel: motion 11);
- more work is required to identify a global stratotype – 23 votes (Hughes, Dilley, Verdier, Middlemiss, Gollisstaneh, Gygi, Haak and Morgenroth: motion 9);
- J/K boundary should be the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary – 24 votes (Hughes, Dilley, Verdier, Middlemiss, Gollisstaneh, Gygi, Haak and Morgenroth: motion 4);
- a French section should be the regional stratotype – 25 votes (Hughes, Dilley, Verdier, Middlemiss, Gollisstaneh, Gygi, Haak and Morgenroth: motion 8).

It can be seen that, apart from Casey's, most motions coincided and/or overlapped, and most votes were for the system boundary to be at the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary. No motion from the floor suggested that the base of the Cretaceous should be anywhere except at or close to the base of the Berriasian, in the Jacobi/Grandis subzonal interval.

The conference organisers did not find these votes, and this consensus, conclusive: so they produced a questionnaire of their own devising, to re-test opinion (results Colloquium, 1975, p. 392). A completely new question was posed, one not devised by the involved specialists who had identified their own priority motions. It was: "Should the Berriasian be moved to the Jurassic?" Only 16 (of 84) attendees agreed with this proposition. The conclusion of the organising committee was then that "A large majority want the Berriasian to remain in the Cretaceous". Further, according to the questionnaire responses, a majority agreed that the base of the Cretaceous should be the base of the Berriasian (question 1,

p. 392), and 62 of the 82 cast a vote for the Jacobi/Grandis subzones to be the base of Berriasian (question 4, p. 392). In a geological symposium, where it can be hard to reach a consensus amongst specialists, such a sizeable majority was decisive.

Subsequently, though numerous individual works focussed on regional boundary intervals, concerted efforts to explore broader boundary definitions and correlations were few and a period of stasis followed. Hoedemaeker, uniquely, tried to think more widely and surmount some of the larger obstacles to correlation, even in the non-marine (Hoedemaeker, 1987, 2002). Discussions were still ammonite-dominated, more generalised and not directed to correlative potential, and even less to detailed site documentation and comparison: as summarised in Zakharov *et al.* (1996a). As, diplomatically, analysed by Remane (1991), the conclusion in 1996 was more or less the same as it had been in 1973: that the Berriasian was the basal stage of the Cretaceous and that it should have its base defined in Tethys.

## 2.2. STAGE NOMENCLATURE

Long before the two international J/K symposia, Berriasian was already well entrenched, and Jocelyn Arkell (1956) could state that the “Berriasian has been adopted for the lowest stage of the Cretaceous, in conformity with almost universal modern usage”. Just below, in the Jurassic, everything was not so clear. Portlandian (d’Orbigny, 1842–51) had been in use (even in France – *Lexique Stratigraphique International* for France, Dreyfus *et al.*, 1956) as the primary contender with priority for the final stage of the Jurassic System. However, fruitless, generations-long arguments and stalemate between English and French geologists over how to define Portlandian (*sensu anglico* or *sensu gallico*) had blocked decisions. The impasse was finally broken when the International Jurassic Subcommittee made an arbitrary, but understandable, decision to promote Oppels’s facies term Tithonian/*Tithonique* over d’Orbigny’s original stage name, Portlandian (the senior synonym) – adopting this as the global stage label (though with no stratotype), with Portlandian being suppressed (Sarjeant, Wimbledon, 2000).


This decision also had an impact on the global application of the stage name Berriasian. An immediate increase in stability and some momentum was given to the use of a single set of names in the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous interval, turning away from the use of reputedly synonymous rival regional stages. Notwithstanding the fact that in most regions outside Tethys (California, Mexico, Argentina, Chile, Middle East, Middle Atlantic, Japan, Russian Far East, New Zealand, and Australia) the standard stage names of Tithonian and Berriasian had generally been in use.

Though neither the Portlandian nor the Tithonian was ever extended by their promoters to include part of the Cretaceous, this was not the case with the junior synonym “Volgian”, used in Russian basins. Nikitin’s original conception was rather limited: a formation (*Volgaformation*, Nikitin, 1881) of Early Tithonian age (=old Late Kimmeridgian – see Arkell, 1933). In an attempt to make “Volgian” equate with Tithonian, it was extended (Gerasimov, Mikhailov, 1966) to cover the Klimovi to Nodiger zonal interval. However, as became clear (see Casey, 1973), this made it correspond not only to both Lower and the Upper Tithonian, but even some part of the Berriasian. It was fortunate that the Russian Stratigraphic Commission made a decision (Zhamoida, Prozorovskaya, 1997) to suppress the term “Volgian” and to use the standard names Tithonian and Berriasian; confirming an earlier decision by the Interdepartmental Stratigraphic Committee of the USSR to regard Berriasian as the standard basal stage of the Cretaceous System (Lupov, 1967; Rostovtsev, Prozorovsky, 1977). However, an inheritance of confusion remains, because of the extensive use in Russia of “Volgian” with its several different meanings, and poor definition above. With “Volgian” defunct, an aberrant unit that straddled, at least, two standard stages (and possibly three – Scherzinger, Mitta, 2006) had been removed from the debate (Urman *et al.*, 2019).

## 3. EARLY BERRIASIAN PALAEOGEOGRAPHY

Palaeogeographic reconstructions of a truly Berriasian nature are few. The number of Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous reconstructions that relate biostratigraphic information and depositional basins to plate tectonic and terrane reconstructions, rather than present-day base maps, are a rarity, and some nominal “J/K boundary” reconstructions tend to show either much earlier or much later geographical realities. Early Berriasian geography was markedly different to, for instance, the Kimmeridgian to Early Tithonian (or the later Early Cretaceous), because former wide Late Jurassic seaways became restricted, including the passage between Greenland and Britain, and the routes northward from Tethys across the Caspian and Black Sea regions were closed by earliest Cretaceous times. Later Berriasian connections from Tethys to the Russian Platform have been assumed based on supposed identifications of Tethyan ammonite taxa on the Russian Platform.

The reconstruction here (Fig. 1; modified after Rees *et al.*, 2000) of earliest Berriasian geography illustrates perfectly the restricted seaways and the isolation of some basins, and the limitation of marine connections. But it also shows the enormous oceanic bodies of equatorial to subtropical regions, Panthallassa and Tethys. The sedimentary rocks of



**Fig. 1. Early Berriasian palaeogeography (modified after Rees *et al.*, 2000)**

Tithonian-Berriasian localities: A – Apulco, AA – Argo Abyss, AL – Arroyo Loncoche, B – Berrias, Bo – Fiume Bosso, D – Durlston Bay, Er – Eriksdal, E – Ellesmere Island, ET – East Timor, G – Grand Banks, Ga – Garagu, GL – Graham Land, Gr – Grindstone Creek, U – Ussuri Bay, H – Honshu, K – Kurovice, L – Liaoning, LO – Los Organos, M – Milne Land, Ma – Maurynya, N – Nagirze, No – Nordvik, O – Oman, P – Puerto Escaño, S – Shal, SK – Sidi Khalif, Sv – Svalbard, TK – Tepe Kel, Th – Theodosia, T – Tré Maroua, Y – Yemen

these two water bodies, actually and potentially, hold the majority of evidence for understanding palaeoenvironments and biota at and around the J/K boundary. Of Panthallassa, the northwest portion has the largest area with surviving submarine lowest Cretaceous rocks, with the most studied portion onland in the SE in Argentina. Biotic migration routes, with northward and southward movement, via western Canada and California (Jeletzky, 1984) and the Okhotsk region (Zakharov *et al.*, 1996b) are still much in need of study, as far as the exact timing of migrations and stratigraphic significance is concerned, and some regions are complicated by the emplacement of allochthonous terrains. Tethys affords the largest database of evidence for future study. As a water mass, including the Middle Atlantic, it has left dateable sediments on the south from Mexico and the Caribbean, to Africa and on to southern Tibet and Australasia, and on the north from offshore eastern Canada and Spain to, at least, Iran. This is not to mention fragments in non-carbonate marine facies in the Russian Far East and Japan. The Greenland/UK seaway appears to have been blocked after later Tithonian (=middle Portland) times, until the later Berriasian. The route across Poland closed even earlier, soon after Chitinoidea Zone times (=lowest Portland beds) (Pszczółkowski, 2016)

A multiplicity of profiles with calpionellids in western Tethys, via the Middle Atlantic to the Caribbean and Mexico, Kurdistan and the Arabian Peninsula, Iran and to the western edge of Panthallassa in the East Indies and on its eastern edge in the Andes, indicate geographical connections even when elements of the macrofauna were subject to marked provincialism. Taking into account areas lost through subduction (ocean floor of northern Laurasia, much of Panthallassa and the floor of Tethys), this thus includes most of the globe with recognisable J/K outcrops, excluding only some isolated, low-biodiversity boreal basins.

Tethyan Jacobi Zone ammonites have long been reported from the Russian Far East (where clastic facies have proved unsuitable for microfossils and palynology), as well as Japan. The finding of nannofossils in the accretionary terrains of Japan (Shikoku Island) reinforces the connection. Of course, ‘Tethyan’ nannofossils are recorded also in California.

A key recent development with implications for palaeogeography has been the finding of a belemnite, an *Arctoteuthis* species, marking the mid-M19n boundary level in regions with mixed Tethyan and boreal biotas – California and Japan – and Siberia. It is apparent that, though ammonites did not travel north or south around Panthallassa in latest Tithonian to earliest Berriasian times, belemnites could.

In the Andes, ammonite provinciality is well known, but ‘Tethyan’ calcareous nannofossils, calcareous dinoflagellates and calpionellid species in the sequences there prove that consistent connections existed, via the Caribbean, and/or also via routes between the Arabian plate (Kurdistan, Oman and Yemen) and southern Tibet, where some of the same species have been recorded. Regardless of geographical divisions of the globe based on single fossil groups, Tethyan biotic elements extended to most areas; this is true for calpionellids, and even more so for nannofossils, both now proved to have geographical ranges far beyond those of the earlier-preferred neocomitid ammonites.

As an aside, herein we use terms such as boreal and subboreal informally; employing the former in the original way, to simply denote northern regions. Connections between boreal basins were intermittent, some fossil groups sometimes being able to migrate between (and to Tethys/Panthalassa) and some not – there were no long-lasting or uniform distributions, which argues against the use of terms such as “Boreal Realm”.

#### 4. WIDER J/K CORRELATIONS EMPLOYING DIFFERENT FOSSIL GROUPS AND INORGANIC METHODS

Below we discuss possibilities for correlation of the Alpina Subzone, as the marker for the base of the Berriasian Stage, by direct correlation of calpionellids (plus magnetostratigraphy, nannofossils, ammonites or calcareous dinocysts) or by use of other fossil groups which accurately mark or approximate the boundary level, plus consideration of inorganic methods (geochronology, isotopes).

##### 4.1. CALPIONELLIDS

Calpionellids are microfossils that are seen as the most significant for biostratigraphy in rocks of latest Jurassic to early Cretaceous age. In 1902, Lorenz gave the name *Calpionella alpina* to multitudes of minute *incertae sedis* organisms in the rocks of the Swiss Portlandian. Subsequently, most workers have assigned them to the ciliate Infusoria, but Remane consistently opposed this view on the basis that their loricae are made of calcite (Remane, 1989).

Early studies in the J/K interval were widespread, from Mexico to the Himalaya, and New Guinea (*e.g.*, Andrusov, Koutek, 1927; Cadisch, 1932; Colom, 1934, 1939, 1948, 1950, 1965; Deflandre, 1936; Lafitte, 1937; Heim, Gansser, 1939; Brönnimann, 1953, 1955; Rickwood, 1955; Zia, 1955; Bonet, 1956; Emberger, Magne, 1956; Durand Delga, 1957,

1973; Ferasin, Rigato, 1957; Hudson, Chatton, 1959; Brunnenschweiler, 1960; Bermudez, Rodriguez, 1962; Doben, 1962, 1963; Brun, 1963; Busnardo *et al.*, 1963; Filipescu, Dragastan, 1963; Remane, 1963, 1964, 1971; Catalano, Lima, 1964; Borza, 1965, 1966; Furrázola-Bermúdez, 1965; Catalano, 1965; Magne, 1965; Magne, Sigal, 1965; Le Hégarat, Remane, 1968; Linetskaya 1968, 1969; Allemann *et al.*, 1971; Edgell, 1971; Catalano, Liguori, 1971; Kreisel, Furrázola-Bermúdez, 1971; Furrázola-Bermúdez, Kreisel, 1973). Le Hégarat and Remane (1968) and Le Hégarat (1973) set the scene for the use of calpionellids with their substantial efforts to calibrate them with ammonites. Ammonites and calpionellids (and nannofossils), were cited by Ogg and Lowrie (1986) and tied to magnetozones in the J/K boundary interval.

It is perhaps noteworthy that in a suite of key J/K and often-cited publications on other fossil groups, magnetostratigraphy and sea-level change, various authors have chosen to correlate their data with a standard calpionellid scale (*e.g.*, Ogg, Lowrie, 1986; Haq *et al.*, 1987; Bralower *et al.*, 1989; Weissert, Channell, 1989; Baumgartner *et al.*, 1995; Bown, 1998).

Since the 1973 Jurassic/Cretaceous colloquium, there has been published an ever-increasing number of detailed calpionellid biostratigraphic studies in the boundary interval, often with precise integration with other biomarkers; and this over a widening geographical extent, most notably to include the Andes and Mexico; and, latterly, progress has been given impetus by the work of the Berriasian group and collaborating specialists (Pop, 1974, 1976, 1986a–c, 1994, 1997, 1998a, b; Allemann *et al.*, 1975; Makarieva, 1974, 1976, 1979; Bakalova, 1977; Grandesso, 1977; Micarelli *et al.*, 1977; Jansa *et al.*, 1980; Trejo, 1980; Durand-Delga, Rey, 1982; Atrops *et al.*, 1983; Ascoli *et al.*, 1984; Borza, 1984; Bakalova-Ivanova, 1986; Borza, Michalík, 1986; Mazaud *et al.*, 1986; Remane, 1986; Remane *et al.*, 1986, 1999; Channell, Grandesso, 1987; Al-Rifaïy, Lemone, 1987; Memmi *et al.*, 1989; Cresta *et al.*, 1989; Weissert, Channell, 1989; Myczyński, Pszczółkowski, 1990, 1994; Altiner, Özkan, 1991; Taj Eddine, 1991; Bucur, 1992; Wierzbowski, Remane, 1992; Lakova, 1993, 1994; Özkan, 1993; Adatte *et al.*, 1994; Tavera *et al.*, 1994; Benzaggagh, Atrops, 1995a, b, 1996, 1997; Olóriz *et al.*, 1995; Reháková, 1995, 2000a, 2002; Cantu Chapa, 1996; Pszczółkowski, 1996, 1999; Reháková *et al.*, 1996, 2009, 2011; Grün, Blau, 1997, 1999; Ivanova, 1997; Reháková, Michalík, 1997; Fernandez Carmona, Riccardi, 1998, 1999; Houša *et al.*, 1999, 2004; Lakova *et al.*, 1999, 2007, 2017; Skourtsis-Coroneou, Solakius, 1999; Ivanova *et al.*, 2000, 2002; Pszczółkowski, Myczyński, 2004, 2010; Pszczółkowski *et al.*, 2005; Boughdiri *et al.*, 2006; Grabowski, Pszczółkowski, 2006; Andreini *et al.*, 2007; Azimi *et al.*, 2008; Boughdiri *et al.*, 2009; Michalík *et al.*, 2009, 2016; Ben Abdesselam-Mahdaoui *et al.*, 2010, 2011; Benzaggagh *et al.*, 2010, 2012;



Grabowski *et al.*, 2010; Lukeneder *et al.*, 2010; Pruner *et al.*, 2010; Dragastan, 2011; Michalík, Reháková, 2011; Sallouhi *et al.*, 2011; Wimbledon *et al.*, 2011, 2013, 2016, 2020; Petrova *et al.*, 2012, 2019; Lakova, Petrova, 2013; López-Martínez *et al.*, 2013a, b, 2015a, b; Platonov *et al.*, 2014; Maalaoui, Zargouni, 2015, 2016, 2017; Bakhmutov *et al.*, 2016, 2018; Frau *et al.*, 2016a; Hoedemaeker *et al.*, 2016; Svobodová, Košťák, 2016; Wohlwend *et al.*, 2016; Celestino *et al.*, 2017; Carevic *et al.*, 2018; Elbra *et al.*, 2018a, b; Kowal-Kasprzyk, 2018; Kowal-Kasprzyk, Reháková, 2019; Svobodová *et al.*, 2019; Reháková, Rozic, 2019), and in many such projects calpionellid biostratigraphy has been closely coupled with magnetostratigraphy.

*Calpionella* has long been identified as a key zonal indicator. It shows diversity in size, and in the proportions of its loricae through time (Kowal-Kasprzyk, Reháková, 2019); and such morphological changes are especially noticeable between the *Crassicollaria* Zone and the Alpina Subzone (*Calpionella* Zone). The boundary between these two biozones has repeatedly been described as being indicated by the marked increase in small globular *Calpionella alpina*. The marked reduction in the size of *Calpionella* has recently been statistically analysed (Kowal-Kasprzyk, Reháková, 2019). This event (the “explosion” or “bloom” of some authors) coincided with the disappearance of most *Crassicollaria* species (Borza, 1984; Pop, 1986a; Remane, 1986). Based on the large database recounted above, recent generations of J/K workers have regarded calpionellids as the most consistent and useful fossil group to provide a Tithonian-Berriasian bio-event and a primary marker.

Studies conducted by the Berriasian WG since 2009 have built further on the considerable body of literature and have reinforced earlier opinions. The turnover from *Crassicollaria* and large *Calpionella* to small orbicular *Calpionella alpina*, accompanied by *Crassicollaria parvula* and *Tintinopsella carpathica*, has been documented as the most consistent and widespread marker, occurring in the middle of magnetic subzone M19n.2n. This fact was recognised by the clear consensus amongst specialists at the Warsaw J/K workshop (Wimbledon *et al.*, 2013). Ongoing work since has extended the identification of the Alpina Subzone further east in Tethys, to Arabia and Iran (references listed above), it has unambiguously confirmed disputed results in North America, expanded those in the Caribbean, and brought new results in South America (López-Martínez *et al.*, 2013a, b, 2015a, b, 2017).

In 2016, the choice of a primary marker for the base of the Berriasian Stage was decided.

Because of the geographical extent noted above, and the consistency and frequency of its identification, the Alpina Subzone base was put forward to the Vienna Cretaceous Symposium as the primary marker for the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary by the Berriasian WG (Wimbledon *et al.*, 2017).

## 4.2 CALCAREOUS NANNOFOSSILS

The majority of Mesozoic nannofossil families originated in early Jurassic times. The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary was marked by a large turnover at the family and species level. At the latter, there were approximately seventeen extinctions and fifteen first appearances, with the appearance of three nannolith families (Kanungo *et al.*, 2017). Under suitable conditions, nannoconids contributed considerably to the sedimentation of deeper water limestones. *Nannoconus* evolved just prior to the Berriasian, and a suite of species of this genus and other taxa have their first occurrences clustered around the stage base (references cited below).

Nannoconids and other coeval J-K nannofossils that are well documented in the Atlantic and Tethys, onshore and offshore, and though they have been considered lower-latitude forms, there are records that they extend into supposed boreal regions (*e.g.*, North Sea, Jakubowski, 1987) and into both east and west sub-boreal Panthalassa (Aita, Okada, 1986; Bralower *et al.*, 1990). Sequences in boreal basins lack the nannofossil diversity seen in low latitudes and they are dominated by robust ubiquitous genera such as *Watznaueria* (Zanin *et al.*, 2012).

Distribution of calcareous nannofossils that mark the J/K boundary interval is thus extensive, in almost all regions, and having perhaps the greatest potential for future correlation in the boundary interval, given that practical techniques for obtaining nannofossils are amongst the most simple. The last thirty years have seen identification of J/K nannofossils at numerous DSDP sites, in Japan (Shikoku), in the Pacific (*e.g.*, Shatsky Rise), California, Mexico, Arabia, the Andes, Australasia, as well as Siberia – far beyond the Atlantic-western Tethyan range where research was first focussed.

Biozonations of calcareous nannofossils in the Tithonian/Berriasian interval, notably in the middle Atlantic and Tethys, were developed from the 1970s (Worsley, 1971; Thierstein, 1975; Sissingh, 1977; Roth, 1978; Perch-Nielsen, 1985; Bralower *et al.*, 1989; Bown, Cooper, 1998; Pyszczółkowski, 2006). The last contribution defined several key species FOs in the Lower Berriasian (base of the ammonite Jacobi Zone, but enigmatically placed that level at the base of magnetic zone M18r), in sequence from the base: *Nannoconus steinmannii minor*, *N. steinmannii steinmannii*, *Cruciellipsis cuvillieri*, *Rhagodiscus nebulosus* and *Retacapsa angustiforata* (the last just into the ammonite Occitanica Zone). For the purposes of this J/K account, we can consider the pioneering broader study of Bralower *et al.* (1989), which considered a number of key onshore localities in western Tethys, as providing the starting point for recent consideration of nannofossil stratigraphy in the boundary interval, related to magnetostratigraphy.

Bralower *et al.* (1989, fig. 14) took the J/K boundary to be defined by the base of the calpionellid Alpina Subzone (showing it to be coincident with the ammonite Jacobi Subzone), but its level was placed (too high) in magnetosubzone M19n.1n, in the middle of a *Rotelapillus laffitei* Zone (NJK-C). Subsequently, *R. laffitei* has fallen into disuse as a marker, but other taxa have continued to be regarded as significant. In particular, Bralower chose as key indices *Nannoconus steinmannii minor* (FO lower M18n) and *N. steinmannii steinmannii* (FO lower M17r).

From 2009, a reconsideration was started of various nanofossils and other biotic markers and their calibration with magnetozones (Wimbledon *et al.*, 2011). Revising datums mooted in earlier accounts, Casellato (2010, fig 16) proposed a new nanofossil biozonation: biozones NJT17a, NJT17b, NKT and NK1 covering the interval between the top of magnetozones M20n and mid M17r. Casellato, kept *N. steinmannii steinmannii* as the marker for the NK1 zone in M17r, and founded a NKT zone on the FOs of *N. steinmannii minor* and *N. kamptneri minor* at the base of M18r (Channell *et al.*, 2010). Additionally, *Nannoconus wintereri* was recognised as the marker for a NJT 17b zone in M19n.2n, that is, close to the base of the Alpina calpionellid Subzone.

The expansion in recent years of nanofossil studies has provided a suite of first occurrences that is a useful complement to, and proxy for, calpionellid zonal boundaries. This includes results from several sites, some of which have been considered as serious contenders to be the Berriasian GSSP (e.g., Pieniny Klippen Belt – Pszczółkowski, 2009; Brodno – Michalík *et al.*, 2009; Torre de' Busi – Casellato, 2010; Lókút – Grabowski *et al.*, 2010, 2017; Le Chouet – Wimbledon *et al.*, 2013; Strapkova – Michalík *et al.*, 2016; Puerto Escaño – Svobodová, Košťák, 2016; Banik – Wimbledon *et al.*, 2016; Rio Argos – Hoedemaeker *et al.*, 2016; Kopanitsa – Stoykova *et al.*, 2018; Theodosia – Bakmutov *et al.*, 2018; Kurovice – Svobodová *et al.*, 2019; Vocontian Basin – Wimbledon *et al.*, 2020; Bosso – Reháková, Svobodová (unpublished); Sidi Khalif – Gardin (unpublished)). Not forgetting that nanofossils (including *Nannoconus* species) are described from Europe, North Africa, Arabia, the Atlantic, North and South America, Panthalassa and Japan, and, minus *Nannoconus*, from the Arctic Russian regions also.

Though the same useful 'Tethyan' nanofossils have been proved in both Mexico (Lena *et al.*, 2019) and the Andes (Vennari *et al.*, 2014; López-Martínez *et al.*, 2017), extension of early work in California (Bralower *et al.*, 1990) has thus far proved disappointing, with only limited retrieval of typical late Tithonian and Berriasian marker species (Casellato, unpublished).


The string of publications from an increasing number of stratigraphic profiles has led to a re-assessment of nanofos-

sil first occurrences, and biozones (summarised in Wimbledon, 2017). Figure 2 herein shows the latest situation with FOs recorded from recently documented sites, with a suite of FOs of key species that are almost all stratigraphically lower than were recorded prior to 2010. Concentrating here on the J/K boundary interval, this affects several key *Nannoconus* and other markers, as well the biozones founded upon them. In summary, this means that, because of the changes with positions of the FOs of the index species, three nanofossil zones – NJT17b, NKT and NK1 – all occur in M19n (not between the top of magnetozones M19n and M17r). It impacts the biozones previously in use (Bralower *et al.*, 1989; Casellato, 2010), so that:

- Biozone NK1 (marker the FO of *N. steinmannii steinmannii*), formerly was in the mid M17r, but is now in upper M19n.2n;
- Biozone NKT (markers the FOs of *N. steinmannii minor* and *N. kamptneri minor*) was formerly at the base M18r, but occurrences of the first species now form a cluster in mid M19n.2n, and the second appear in upper M19n (though there is one aberrant record in mid M19n.2n);
- Biozone NJT17b (marker the FO of *Nannoconus wintereri*) was formerly high in M19n.2n, but is now in lower M19n.2n (see Fig. 2).

This does not detract at all from the usefulness of the marker species, but the compression of the zones raises doubts about their continued efficacy. The artefact of biozonations in no way inhibits the great potential that nanofossils have for extending correlations at or close to the boundary level. In fact, the proliferation of recent studies has led to the stabilisation in first occurrences, and the recognition of the best nanofossil markers in the immediate boundary interval: notably *Cruciellipsis cuvillieri* and *Nannoconus globulus globulus* (lower M19n.2n), *Nannoconus steinmannii minor* (base of the Alpina Subzone), *Nannoconus wintereri* (mid M19n.2n), *Hexalithus strictus* (mid M19n.2n), and *Cretarhabdus octofenestrata*, *Nannoconus kamptneri minor*, *N. kamptneri kamptneri* and *N. steinmannii steinmannii* (M19n.1r-M19n.1n).

In the Andes, Vennari *et al.* (2014) suggested that the calcareous nanofossil zones NJK-A, NJK-B, NJK-C and lower NJK-D (see Casellato, 2010 for equivalents) could be recognised in the ammonite *Substeueroceras koeneni* (=Koeneni) Zone. Observing key species in other regions raises a few questions. The NJK-C zone (= *N. laffitei* Subzone), is a supposed equivalent to the upper Andreaei to lower Jacobi zones, with the FO of *N. wintereri*. This last-mentioned occurrence may be early, as its FO elsewhere (except for a doubtful record at Nutzshof) is predominantly in the middle part of magnetosubzone M19n.2n (still within the Jacobi Subzone of authors). The NJK-D Zone at Las Loicas (?= upper Jacobi – lower Grandis ammonite sub-



Earliest FOs/FADs of species/subspecies from Casellato, 2010 are show thus: ◆  
 These earliest occurrences were all recorded at Torre de Busi, excepting that for N.s.s. from Bosso,  
 and N.k.k from DSDP 534A, cited from earlier published sources.

Triangles denote sites with no magnetostratigraphy.

**Fig. 2. Calcareous nannofossil FOs against magnetostratigraphy and calpionellid and ammonite biozonations (modified from Wimbledon, 2017, and bibliographic sources given therein, plus Wimbledon *et al.*, 2020)**

Abbreviations of biozones: Chitin – Chitinoidea; Rem – Remanei; Inter – Intermedia

zones, Bralower *et al.*, 1989) was defined by the FO of *N. kamptneri minor*, close to the ammonites *Substeueroceeras* sp., *Blanfordiceras* sp. and *Berriasella* aff. *gerthi*. In western Tethys, including the Vocontian sites (Fig. 2), the FO of *N. kamptneri minor* is above the base of the Jacobi Subzone (circa the base of magnetozone M19n.2n) and close to the base of the *Calpionella alpina* (Alpina) calpionellid Subzone. López-Martínez *et al.* (2017) note the same nannofossil taxa as Vennari *et al.* (2014) bracketing the base of the Alpina Subzone, and they make that level exactly coincident with the base of the ammonite *Noduliferum* Zone. The potential for more precise calibrations is obviously considerable.

#### 4.3. AMMONITES

In later Tithonian and earliest Berriasian times, no Tethyan immigrants migrated into the boreal regions and no boreal species have been recorded from Tethyan profiles

(Rawson, 1995; Bulot, 1996; Lehmann *et al.*, 2015). After mid Portland bed times, marine connections appear to have been intermittent even within the boreal ‘realm’: the Late Tithonian seaway across Poland was blocked, the J/K interval is in non-marine facies in Dorset and NW Europe, it is lost in a hiatus in much of East Greenland, and each other region (Russian Platform, Siberia, North Sea) has its own distinct ammonite biozonation, reflecting provincialism. Though Svalbard has a condensed “Taimyrensis Zone”, in common with Siberia, that seems to straddles the J/K boundary. These are some of the reasons that, although the definition of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary, founded on ammonites, has been debated *in extenso*, correlations between Tethys and boreal areas remain at a preliminary stage (Wimbledon *et al.*, 2011).

In recent years, integrated magneto- and biostratigraphy have allowed the first correlation between Tethys and one high-boreal region – Siberia (Houša *et al.*, 2007; Schnabl *et al.*, 2015), apparently confirming that the latest “Volgian” correlates with the earliest Berriasian (following

Casey, 1973). No significant ammonite turnover above the suprageneric level equates to the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary, neither in boreal basins nor in Tethys, or further south. In Siberia, the approximation of the lower boundary of the ammonite Taimyrensis Zone (Dzyuba, 2010) to the base of the calpionellid Alpina Subzone (and the belemnite Tehamaensis Zone) has been suggested (Schnabl *et al.*, 2015), though the lack of ammonites remains a problem. Better calibration in the Andes awaits more elucidation of magnetostratigraphic results (see below). The family Polyptychitidae, notably *Craspedites*, *Praetollia* and *Chetaites* (Baraboshkin, 2002; Rogov, Zakharov, 2009) are dominant in Russian basins, with *Subcraspedites* widespread in other regions. Tethyan Early Berriasian ammonite faunas are very much more diverse, and are dominated by ammonites of the family Neocomitidae. The distributions of *Berriasella Pseudosubplanites*, *Malboscieras*, *Delphinella*, *Dalmasiceras*, *Strambergella* and *Pseudoneocomites* are seemingly restricted to a Mediterranean to Caucasian “subrealm” (Le Hégarat, 1973; Kotetishvili, 1988; Wimbledon *et al.*, 2013).

Earliest Berriasian *Berriasella sensu stricto* is only known from SW to central Europe, North Africa, Ukraine, the Caucasus and northern Iran. The putative much wider geographical distribution has been due to a more lax definition of the genus. In the north, after a period of strong provincialism, a change in ammonite distribution came about during the later Berriasian, with the decline of the Craspeditinae and Dorsoplanitinae and the diversification of the Tollandinae (Baraboshkin, 1999). The widespread appearance of *Hectoroceras* (Casey, 1973; Birkelund *et al.*, 1983; Baraboshkin, 1999) is a noteworthy event in all basins from Greenland to the Russian northern Far East, tentatively in magnetozone M16r.

In austral regions and around Panthallassa, an Indo-Pacific subrealm has been recognised. In Argentina and Chile, the faunas are dominated by *Andiceras*, *Argentiniceras*, *Frenguelligeras*, *Hemispiticeras*, *Cuyaniceras* and *Pseudoblanfordia* (Riccardi, 1988; Parent *et al.*, 2011; Vennari *et al.*, 2012). Endemic *Kossmatia*, *Durangites* and *Substeuerocebras* in Mexico are now considered to extend into the Berriasian (Olóriz *et al.*, 1999; Villaseñor, Olóriz, 2019). The conspecificity of the Berriasian ammonites described by Collignon (1962) from Madagascar with western Tethyan taxa is still unsolved, at least regarding *Berriasella*; and *Subthurmannia* from Pakistan (Spath, 1939; Fatmi, 1977) are fully distinct from *Fauriella* of northern western Tethys (Bulot, 1996). Endemism of Berriasian Neocomitidae at the genus level was much higher than has been supposed in the literature, and homeomorphy has led to erroneous taxonomic interpretations.

*Spiticeras* was one of the few taxa that ranged throughout the whole of Tethys and beyond (Lehmann *et al.*, 2015). It links the Mediterranean and the Indo-Pacific regions, with populations reported from the west coast of America (Jeletzky, 1965; Imlay, Jones, 1970), Madagascar (Collignon, 1962) and the shelf basins of South America and Antarctica (Thomson, 1979; Riccardi, 1988). As stated, the core area for earliest Berriasian *Berriasella* is western Tethys, as far east as Iran and Iraq; and the efficacy of the taxa previously used to define a J/K boundary (Mazenot, 1939; Le Hégarat, 1973) is limited to a similar geographical area.

#### 4.3.1. Jacobi Subzone

In western Tethys, the inability to separate ammonite faunas in the lowest Berriasian has led to various usages for the stage’s first biozone. A Euxinus Zone (Wiedmann, 1975; Howarth, 1992) has been used to cover the combined Jacobi and Grandis subzones (Le Hégarat, 1973), that is, the supposed post-“Durangites Zone” (=Andreaei Zone, Wimbledon *et al.*, 2013) and pre-Subalpina Subzone interval. Alternatively, a “Jacobi Zone” has been applied as a label for this interval, following earlier factual work (*e.g.*, Le Hégarat, 1973; Hoedemaeker, 1982; Tavera, 1985), though sometimes it has been repeated with no new primary results and thus no substantive definition. Riccardi (2015), importantly, has reviewed correlative ammonite faunas in this interval in the New World.

There is no doubt that a substantial turnover in ammonites, Himalayatidae to Neocomitidae, (Wimbledon *et al.*, 2013; Bulot *et al.*, 2014; Frau *et al.*, 2015) occurs at the level of the base of the *Berriasella jacobi* (=Jacobi) Subzone/Zone of authors (Tavera *et al.*, 1994). This is an important event, and this level was previously promoted and selected as the best level for the base of the Berriasian (1973 Jurassic/Cretaceous Colloquium decision). However, though we can recognise this ammonite turnover, and its coincidence more or less with the base of magnetozone M19n (within the calpionellid *Crassicollaria colomi* Subzone), it is not easily definable at multiple sites, and there have been issues both with recognition of the earliest Berriasian ammonites (systematic and stratigraphic), the use of a *Berriasella jacobi* Zone/Subzone, and its limited areal extent.

These are issues which previously have sometimes been hinted at, but not fully addressed. Additionally, there are nomenclatorial problems with the Mazenot’s type material of *Berriasella jacobi*: *B. jacobi* is not a *Berriasella* (Frau *et al.*, 2016a), but a microconch *Strambergella*, and many of the specimens assigned to “*Berriasella jacobi*” have been misidentified, notably, specimens from Bulgaria, Crimea, Iraq,

Tibet and South America (e.g., Nikolov, 1982; Liu, 1988; Howarth, 1992; Arkad'ev, Bogdanova, 2004; Arkad'ev *et al.*, 2005, 2012; Salazar Soto, 2012). Additionally, the true stratigraphic origin of the type material of Mazenet (1939) for *Strambergella jacobi* is now proven to be well above basal Berriasian levels – in fact, occurring in the calpionellid Ferasini-Elliptica subzones (Frau *et al.*, 2016a).

These facts have rendered *Strambergella jacobi* problematical as a marker for the lowest Berriasian, and unsuitable as an index species for the lowest zone of the stage. Further, the species and the Jacobi Subzone can play no useful part in the definition of a lower boundary for the Berriasian. Moreover, recent studies have revealed that the base of the calpionellid Alpina and ammonite Jacobi biozones are not coincident (as has often been stated to be the case in the past), and neither of them is seen to lie close to the base of magnetozone M18r (e.g., Tavera *et al.*, 1994; Pruner *et al.*, 2010; Wimbledon *et al.*, 2013)

The earliest post-*Protacanthodiscus* (Tithonian) ammonite fauna is not characterised by *S. jacobi*, but by other species, notably *Praedalmasiceras* (pars *Dalmasiceras*) and *Delphinella*, as in Spain, France, Bulgaria and Ukraine. The preliminary results from the expanded sections of the Vocontian Basin (Le Chouet, St Bertrand, *etc.*) suggest that four successive assemblages can be identified there in the lowest Berriasian, as detailed in the Tré Maroua description in Part 2. This has potential for wider correlations.

One key observation is that the first occurrences of *Delphinella* approximate the base of the Calpionella Zone in the Vocontian basin sections (Wimbledon *et al.*, 2020). This is of great interest in approximating the boundary using ammonites as secondary markers in other regions.

#### 4.3.2. Grandis Subzone

The problem of making a distinction between putative Jacobi and Grandis subzone ammonite assemblages has been apparent in the work of various authors, and it was highlighted in the range charts in Le Hégarat's (1973) seminal study, where a number of species were recorded spanning the two subzones. It is clear, for instance, that the genus *Pseudosubplanites* occurs low down, in levels assigned to the Jacobi Subzone of authors. There have been concerns that some of the patterns of distribution of larger forms of *Pseudosubplanites* were ecologically controlled, and the incoming of large forms of the genus have not always been consistent. Work is in progress on the matching of presumed macro- and microconchs. Thus it is still premature to try to make any statement on retaining a putative Grandis Subzone while work is in progress on critical

French localities (Berrias, Le Chouet, Font de St Bertrand, Beaume, Tré Maroua). Outside France, thus far, no clear base for the subzone has been fixed (e.g., Spain – Hoedemaeker *et al.*, 2016; Crimea – Arkad'ev *et al.*, 2012; Bakhmutov *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, the unambiguous separation of “Jacobi” and Grandis” faunas has yet to be satisfactorily resolved (see Frau discussion in Reboulet *et al.*, 2018).

However, ammonite data from France that has been calibrated with magnetostratigraphy, calpionellid and nannofossil data is informative, as discussed by Wimbledon *et al.* (2020) and shown here in Figure 3.

#### 4.4. BELEMNITES

Belemnites are not prominent in most well-documented Mediterranean to Alpine J/K sections, or in North Africa, and thus have been little employed for biostratigraphy. At well-known Berriasian sites, in more recent times, accounts of belemnites have appeared: at Rio Argos (Hoedemaeker *et al.*, 2016), Stramberk and Kurovice (Eliáš *et al.*, 1996), though no belemnite biozonation can be applied over a wider Tethyan area. Belemnites are uncommon in the studied outcrops of the Vocontian Basin.


However, in boreal and sub-boreal regions the potential for correlation appears to be substantial.

Doyle and Kelly (1988) and Mutterlose *et al.* (2019) have reviewed the distribution of Jurassic-Cretaceous boreal belemnites. Exchange between Tethys and boreal regions was almost non-existent in Berriasian times: Tethyan genera such as *Hibolithes*, *Pseudobelus* and *Duvalia* did not penetrate significantly into higher boreal basins: the first reached the Russian Platform and California in Tithonian times, and it alone persisted in the latter into the Berriasian. All other basins lack any representation of these three genera. In the Berriasian, the genera *Liobelus* and *Acroteuthis* had the greatest geographical spread.

In boreal regions and those areas where boreal and Tethyan biotic elements are mixed, some very substantial stratigraphic advances have been made in recent times. Notably on the correlation, precisely at the J/K boundary level, between Siberia and California (Dzyuba, 2010, 2012, 2013) and Japan (Sano *et al.*, 2015; Haggart, Matsukawa, 2019).

#### 4.5. CALCAREOUS DINOFLAGELLATES

Calcareous dinoflagellate cysts are common fossils in the Tithonian to Berriasian of European and North African Tethys. Many sites documented for calpionellids and nannofossils



**Fig. 3.** Comparison of magnetostratigraphic zones, ammonite and calpionellid biozones and nannofossil FOs at Tré Maroua, Le Chouet, Charens, Saint Bertrand and Haute Beaume (Belvedere) (after Wimbledon *et al.*, 2020)

in Mediterranean and Alpine regions have also been studied for calcareous dinoflagellates. Borza (1984) and, later, Lakova *et al.* (1999) gave a biozonation applicable to European Tethys.

Cysts appear to have much potential as accessories to the calpionellids, but the stratigraphic ranges of some species have yet to be resolved (*e.g.*, *Stomiosphaera moluccana* and *Colomispheera piniensis* (Wimbleton *et al.*, 2013; and below). Of notably useful species, in the Balkans, the first appearance of *Stomiosphaerina proxima* has been recorded in the calpionellid upper Crassicollaria Zone, and is said to have its FAD at the base of the Colomi Subzone, though it appears earlier in SE France. This restriction to the Crassicollaria Zone was emphasized by Reháková (2000a) (see also Lukeneder *et al.*, 2010: but see López-Martínez *et al.*, 2013b). *C. fortis* only just pre-dates *S. proxima* (appearing pre-Crassicollaria Zone), and its range straddles the upper Crassicollaria and Calpionella zones, affording a wider stratigraphic bracket: this proves to be the case in some French sites (*e.g.*, Tré Maroua and St Bertrand: Wimbleton *et al.*, 2020). If such occurrences could be confirmed and consistently proven in Siberia (and perhaps the Russian Platform), it would be a step forward in accuracy when trying to correlate with the calpionellid Crassicollaria/Calpionella zonal boundary. Vishnevskaya's (2017) FAD of *C. fortis* in Siberia appears to be very high (ammonite Analogus Zone) and that of *S. proxima* is shown lower than one might expect (ammonite Exoticus Zone, ?M20n magnetozone) as compared to Tethys, as the calpionellid Alpina J/K boundary (M19n.2n) has been correlated with the middle of the Siberian ammonite zone of *Craspedites taimyrensis*. However, the original assignment of magnetozone numbers in Siberia, at Nordvik, may merit reconsideration, as may the specific identifications of dinocysts. That being as it may, more research on calcareous dinoflagellates is an absolute priority in boreal regions.

Recently, sampling in the Tithonian to Valanginian of southern Mendoza at Arroyo Loncoche, Río Seco del Altar and Tres Esquinas has revealed a relatively rich calcareous dinoflagellate cyst assemblage (with poorly preserved calpionellids and benthic foraminiferans) of some twenty species (Ivanova, Kietzmann, 2016). Ivanova and Kietzmann (2017) and Kietzmann *et al.* (2018b) have recorded, in particular: *Colomispheera tenuis*, *Col. fortis*, *Stomiosphaerina proxima* (lower part of the ammonite Noduliferum Zone) and *Stomiosphaera wanneri* (upper part of the Noduliferum Zone). The *S. wanneri* biozone (Arroyo Loncoche) they take to be “Late Berriasian” (equating it with the Noduliferum to Damesii ammonite zones). *S. wanneri* has been found in the Upper Berriasian in the Carpathians, but it has also been collected in the Lower Berriasian in southern Ukraine (Bakhmutov *et al.*, 2018), and it seems that it appears similarly early in France (see Wimbleton, 2017; Wimbleton *et al.*, 2020).

## 4.6. PALYNOLOGY

### 4.6.1. Organic-walled dinoflagellates

Dinoflagellate cysts are microfossils of planktonic organisms. They are widely distributed and are often used for biostratigraphy in the Mesozoic and Cenozoic, particularly in petrochemical exploration. Tithonian to Valanginian dinoflagellate cyst associations are demonstrably provincial. Cosmopolitan taxa in the Tithonian to Berriasian interval are relatively rare, especially in the Arctic and the Southern Hemisphere. Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary beds in southern Europe and the North Atlantic region include significant proportions of taxa which are present in northwest Europe and the Volga Basin, but they are matched with biostratigraphies based on fossil groups which are endemic. Thus, the calibration of bioevents is possible locally, but it only has limited geographical significance. Within individual basins, the incoming, and in particular the range-tops of species have great stratigraphic utility and various compilations of ranges close to the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary have been made (*e.g.*, Davey, 1979; Woolam, Riding, 1983; Riding, 1984; Monteil, 1992, 1993; Powell, 1992; Stover *et al.*, 1996; Leereveld, 1997; Poulsen, Riding, 2003; Harding *et al.*, 2011). Typically, ranges have been compiled against standard ammonite zonations and have become somewhat removed from the rock-intervals in which the cysts occur. Many of the sections and boreholes used as the basis of these compilations have limited age-control and few have been subjected to the intensive multidisciplinary study carried out by the Working Group. It is, however, apparent as more becomes known that individual species sometimes have different ranges in different basins, so it is necessary to establish ranges at multiple sites with strong age-control from multiple indicators.

Few palynological publications have appeared on Tethyan marine sequences in recent times, but much work in boreal/sub-boreal regions has been undertaken (*e.g.*, Harding *et al.*, 2011; Pestchevitskaya *et al.*, 2011; Schneider *et al.*, 2017; Nøhr-Hansen *et al.*, 2019; Turner *et al.*, 2019), which affords limited possibilities for consistent correlation with Tethyan profiles.

The proposed GSSP at Tré Maroua (and St Bertrand) has only been the subject of preliminary sampling for palynology. Several zonation schemes exist for the boundary interval in other parts of the world, but few have much resolution in the latest Jurassic/earliest Cretaceous, and in many areas there are non-marine facies or non-sequences within the latest Jurassic and earliest Cretaceous marine rocks. So far, few palynological studies have been carried out on sequences with calpionellid control. Dinoflagellate cyst zonal schemes elsewhere vary in the solidity of macrofossil control, which

close to the boundary is rather intensely provincial in reach, with many uncertainties in correlation. Thus, effectively, most biostratigraphic schemes ‘float’ against the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary and it is thus still unclear how regional dinoflagellate cyst zonation schemes equate to one another, and whether incomings and outgoings of some key dinoflagellate cyst species are diachronous between regions, as has been suggested, for instance, by Harding *et al.* (2011), or whether some are, in fact, good time planes.


In Tethys, very few sampled marine calpionellid-controlled sequences have yielded palynological assemblages across this interval. There is a hiatus, with no palynology between the Tithonian and Berriasian at Berrias and other sampled sections in the Vocontian Basin of SE France, and thus in the zonation based on them (*e.g.*, Monteil, 1993; Leereveld, 1997; Hunt, 2004). In the European Tethys, only a suite of boreholes in northern Bulgaria and the Bruzovice section in the Outer Western Carpathians have yielded assemblages that can unequivocally be assigned to the calpionellid Alpina Subzone (Dodekova, 2004; Pavlishina, Feist-Burkhardt, 2004; Skupien, Doupovcová, 2019). The sequences, however, may contain non-sequences and were apparently sampled only at reconnaissance level.

Here, critical range tops and range bases of stratigraphically significant dinoflagellate cyst species close to the proposed boundary are compiled from key literature (Fig. 4). These are plotted against the available biostratigraphy. The *Biorbifera johnewingii* (Bjo) Interval Zone of Leereveld (1997) covers the Late Tithonian to the Late Berriasian and is defined as the interval between the first appearance of *Biorbifera johnewingii* and the first appearance of *Pseudoceratium pelliferum*. Several major events lie within this interval

**Fig. 4.** Ranges of key taxa of organic-walled dinoflagellate cysts close to the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary against calpionellid and ammonite zonation in the Vocontian Basin, Dorset, North Sea, Carpathians, northern Bulgaria, and Volga [compiled from Monteil (1992, 1993), Abbink *et al.* (2001b), Dodekova (2004), Hunt (2004), Harding *et al.* (2011), and Skupien, Doupovcová (2019)].

It should be noted that the equivalence of the timescales between regions is an approximation

Occit. – Occitanica; Fulg. – Fulgens; Sub. – Subditus; Okusen. – Okusensis; Kerb. – Kerberus; An. – Anguiformis; Prim. – Primitivus; Preplic. – Preplicomphalus





zone, most notably the first appearance of the genus *Spiniferites*, but also the last occurrence of *Senoniasphaera jurassica* and the evolution of the diverse forms in the *Amphorula* lineage (e.g., Monteil, 1990). It would appear that high-resolution palynological biostratigraphy will be possible around the base of the Berriasian, based on close sampling.

Sources used are as follows: Table 1.

Herein (see Fig. 4), the ranges of some species, chosen because they are widely used in biostratigraphy and feature in the compilations cited in the figure, are plotted where there is some measure of biostratigraphic control. The relative positions of the biostratigraphies against which they are plotted follows the work and correlations of the Working Group, but it is possible that some of these will be subject to revision in the future.

Given the uncertainties with the correlation of these sections and the different sampling intervals, sample sizes and taxonomic approaches between studies, there are some interesting commonalities between some ranges at different sites.

Late Tithonian markers: *Prolixosphaeridium anasilum*, *Dichadogonyaulax cumula* and *Senoniasphaera jurassica* all become extinct in the very latest Tithonian in European Tethyan sites, although *D. cumula* runs significantly higher in the boreal Terschelling Basin and *S. jurassica* runs higher in the Volga Basin and may run higher in Dorset, if its occurrence in the upper Purbeck Limestone Formation is not the result of recycling.

Late Tithonian/Early Berriasian markers; *Impletosphaeridium tribuliferum* has a long range in the Late Jurassic and becomes extinct early in the Berriasian in the Vocontian Basin and in Dorset. The short range of *Amphorula montelii* spans the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary interval in Tethyan sites where it occurs and it may lie close to the boundary in Dorset. The range-bases of *Biorbifera johnewingi* and *Warrenia californica* start below the basal Berriasian in Tethyan sites and they range high into the Berriasian.

Early Berriasian markers: the most important of the taxa incoming in the earliest Berriasian in Tethys are the *Spiniferites* spp. Other taxa starting close to the base of the Berria-

sian in Tethys include *Ctenidodinium elegantulum*, *Muderongia tabulata* and *M. longicornuta*. The incoming of *Dichadogonyaulax bensonii* appears to be a reliable marker a short time after the beginning of the Berriasian.

Dinoflagellate cyst biostratigraphy potentially offers an important tool for the correlation of sequences in the latest Jurassic and lowest Cretaceous. New work on the GSSP candidate sections and other sections across this interval is urgently necessary.

#### 4.6.2. Pollen and Spores

The term ‘miospores’ denotes pollen and spores and is often preferred in palaeopalynology since the botanical affinities of many form-taxa are uncertain. Although many Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous sequences have been studied for palynology, many – if not most – studies of marine sequences have focussed only on dinoflagellate cysts, while studies of non-marine sequences have perforce focussed on miospores, many of which have been shown to have extremely long ranges. During the later Jurassic, provincialism of floras became more marked and changing climates drove migration of the parent plants, and so miospore ranges in any given section are usually less than the total range of the species. As a result of the facies on which most studies have taken place, miospore ranges are difficult to calibrate against the several markers used by the Working Group.

In NW Europe, climatic change close to the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary led to decline of arid-land floras dominated by gymnosperms. A change to a moister semi-arid regime facilitated the rise of a diverse vegetation of gymnosperms, ferns, bryophytes and lycopsids (Norris, 1969; Hunt, 1985; Abbink, 2001a). Existing taxa expanded their ranges into the region, but there was also a burst of evolution and many new miospore forms appeared. Few, however, became extinct at this time.

Miospores with ammonite or other marine control close to the base of the Berriasian have been reported in NW

Sources of palynological data

Table 1

Locality	Section(s)	Biostratigraphic control	Author(s)
Vocontian Trough	Berrias, Broyon, Angles	ammonites	Monteil, 1992, 1993; Hunt, 2004
Bruzovice, Czech Republic	Bruzovice	calpionellids	Skupien, Doupovcová, 2019
North Bulgaria	R-6, R-7, R-8 Sultanice	calpionellids, ammonites	Dodekova, 2004; Pavlishina, Feist-Burkardt, 2004
Volga Basin, Russia	Gorodishche, Kashpir	ammonites	Harding <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Dorset Basin, UK	St Aldhelm's Head, Durlston Head, Durlston Bay	ammonites, miospores	Hunt, 2004
Terschelling Basin, Netherlands	Wells L06-2, L06-3	ammonites, miospores	Abbink <i>et al.</i> , 2001b

Europe from the Wessex Basin, UK (Hunt, 1985) and Terschelling Basin (Abbink *et al.*, 2001b). Correlations using available ammonites and dinoflagellate cysts suggest that the range bases of *Apiculatisporis verbitskayae* Dörhöfer and *Cicatricosisporites purbeckensis* Norris lie in the latest Tithonian, while the range bases of *Matonisporites elegans* Hunt and *Aequitriradites spinulosus* (Cookson and Dettmann) lie close to or just above the base of the Berriasian in these basins. Secure range-tops in the interval are not available. Further work on sections with ammonite and/or calpionellid control is urgently necessary, but it is rather likely that miospore floras, although useful for biostratigraphy within regions, will have little significance for inter-regional correlation around the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary.

#### 4.7. RADIOLARIANS

From latest Jurassic to early Cretaceous times several distinct regions have been described with their own radiolarian associations: Tethyan (lower latitudes – Mediterranean, Alps, Caucasus; Baumgartner *et al.*, 1995), northern Panthallassan (Vishnevskaya, 2001), and arcto-boreal (Vishnevskaya, Kozlova, 2012; Vishnevskaya, 2013). Boreal elements such as the genus *Parvicingula* also occur in austral regions (Argentina, Antarctica, southern eastern Tethys; Kiessling, 1999). California, Mexico and the Caribbean have received special attention (summarised by Pessagno *et al.*, 2009), and this region falls in the second association above, and has a grouping of largely non-Tethyan taxa and some Tethyan species. Radiolarian provincialism hinders the correlation of radiolarian faunas between northern Eurasia and America, and “subboreal” Panthallassa and Tethys during late Jurassic-early Cretaceous times. (Vishnevskaya, 2013). The integration of zonal schemes between the several radiolarian provinces is ongoing.

Radiolarians are widespread in the Tithonian to Berriasian, but often in facies with little other biota (*e.g.*, Russian Far East, Caribbean, Arctic), or they are calcified and not suitable for study using normal techniques, or are richly developed in some levels, but absent through adjacent intervals. For instance, the Nordvik section has yielded no radiolarian from the zones of ammonites *Craspedites okensis* (=Okensis Zone) or *C. taimyrensis* (=Taimyrensis Zone) (Bragin, 2011). Few profiles have been documented in detail with exact ranges documented relative to a lithostratigraphy and to other significant biota. The last, co-occurrences of occasional radiolarians with other biota, are key. Opportunities to calibrate radiolarians with other microfossil groups have sometimes been overlooked (Pessagno *et al.*, 2009). In the Vocontian Basin profiles, radiolarians are not uncommon, and are typical in some microfacies

(see microfacies of Tré Maroua), but of low diversity and mostly calcified.

The radiolaria research group, studying multiple sites (Baumgartner *et al.*, 1995), recognised two biozones (13 and 14), the boundary between which they closely delimited relative to calpionellid and nannofossil events: it is close to the base of the Calpionella Zone. In their “unitary zone” (UAZ) 13 they recorded fifty taxa whose first appearances mark the beginning of the biozone [*Angulobracchia* (?) *portmanni*, *A.* (?) *portmanni* s.l., *Archaeospongoprimum patricki*, *Artocapsa* (?) *amphorella*, *Bistarkum valdorbiense*, *B. brevilatatum*, *Canoptum banale*, *Crucella collina*, *Cyrtocapsa* (?) *grutterinki*, *Ditrabs* (?) *osteosa*, *Emiluvia chica decussata*, *Halesium* (?) *lineatum*, *Homoeoparonaella* sp. aff. *H. irregularis*, *Homoeoparonaella speciose*, *Hsuum feliformis*, *H. raricostatum*, *Katroma milloti*, *Milax adrianae*, *Mirifusus odoghertyi*, *Obesacapsula rusconensis umbriensis*, *O. breggiensis*, *O. polyhedra*, *O. rusconensis*, *O. bullata*, *O. rusconensis* s.l., *Pantanellium berriasianum*, *P.* sp. aff. *P. cantuchapai*, *Parapodocapsa furcate*, *Paronaella* (?) *tubulata*, *Parvicingula sphaerica*, *P. longa*, *P. cosmoconica*, *Pseudoaulophacus* (?) *pauliani*, *Pseudocrucella* (?) *elizabethae*, *Pseudoeucyrtis* (?) *fuscus*, *P. sceptrum*, *Sethocapsa* (?) *concentrica*, *S. kitoi*, *S. tricornis*, *S.* sp. aff. *S. karninogoensis*, *Syringocapsa coronata*, *S. lucifer*, *S. longitubus*, *S. vicetina*, *S. agolarium*, *Stylosphaera* (?) *macroxiphus*, *Triactoma luciae*, *Wrangellium puga*, *W. columnum*, and *W. depressum*]. They next recognised the first appearances of twelve taxa as marking the base of their “unitary zone” 14 [*Bernouillius* (?) *monoceros*, *B. spelae*, *Cyclastrum rarum*, *Dicroa periosa*, *Godia lenticulata*, *Jacus* (?) *italicus*, *Parvicingula usotanensis*, *Pseudoeucyrtis acus*, *Ristola asparagus*, *Stichomitra* sp. aff. *S. asymbatos*, *Thanarla pulchra*, and *Xitus sandovali*].

Biozone 13 is unambiguous in its definition: the FAD of *Tintinopsella carpathica*, which marks the base of the calpionellid Crassicollaria Zone, was recognised at the bottom of unitary zone 13. The critical information on the positioning of the junction between this zone and zone 14 (Baumgartner *et al.*, 1995) was that it was placed just above the base of the calpionellid B zone, that is, the base of the Calpionella Zone. This makes unitary zone 13 more or less equivalent to the Crassicollaria Zone. Zone 14 is now somewhat less well defined, mainly because of improved knowledge of nannofossil ranges. Baumgartner *et al.* relied on Bralower *et al.* (1989) and other earlier references, when they identified the nannofossils *Nannoconus steinmannii steinmannii* and *N. steinmannii minor* in radiolarian zone 14. This was perhaps why unitary zone 14 was said to also cover magnetozones M18 and M17. Nevertheless, the earliest occurrences of both these long-ranging species are now recorded in M19n, and this is consistent with the base of

zone 14 being just above the base of the *Calpionella alpina* Subzone, *i.e.* in magnetosubzone M19n.2n.

Pessagno *et al.*'s (2009) scheme for radiolaria was focussed on eastern Panthalassa and southern North America. These authors were critical of the Baumgartner *et al.* (1995) results: that they were typical mostly of "Central Tethys", that some taxa were over-lumped, and that others had been ignored (*e.g.*, Pantanelliidae Pessagno and Blome, species of *Perispyridium*). However, none of this precludes attempts to apply the scheme.

Pessagno *et al.* (2009) used radiolarian species markers for the base of the Berriasian that are mostly not in the range charts of Baumgartner *et al.* (1995). The base of the Berriasian they identified with the FO of *Archaeocenosphaera boria* as the primary indicator, supported by the species *Obesacapsula rotundata*. Four species were recorded as having their LOs at the same level: *Ristola altissima*, *Complexapora kozuri*, *Loopus primitivus* and *Hsuum mcLaughlini*. Unfortunately, Pessagno *et al.* worked where there was no magnetostratigraphy available, preferring to calibrate their results with a combination of endemic ammonites and occasional nannofossil datums: not taking opportunities to calibrate radiolaria with calpionellids that had already been identified in Mexico.

In the Great Valley of California, Pessagno *et al.* (2009) placed the base of their radiolarian zone 5 (sample Grin 94–20), just below the thick sandstone formation at Grindstone Creek, that is, low in the bivalve *Buchia uncitoides* Zone (=Uncitoides Zone). They also noted there the occurrence of a UAZ 13 species, *Obesacapsula polyhedral*, in the same profile, calibrating it with the nannofossil "*Nannoconus steinmanni* [*sic*] s.s. Zone: upper Berriasian". This sample (Grin 94–37) with *O. polyhedra* is 50 metres above the base of Pessagno's Zone 5, just above the thick sandstone formation (mid Uncitoides Zone). The Bralower *et al.* (1990) nannofossil assemblage is probably Berriasian, but no more accuracy can be achieved than that.

A rare instance where radiolarians have been collected in some numbers and directly calibrated with calpionellid zones was given by Mekik *et al.* (1999, fig. 4) from NW Anatolia. Immediately above the base of the Calpionella Zone at Kel, they indicate the appearance of the radiolarians *Acaeniotyle diaphorogona*, *Alievium nodulosum*, *Archaeodictyomitra apiarium*, *Dicerosaturnalis dicranacanthos*, *Deviatius diamphidius*, *Halesium* sp., *Emiluvia pessagnoii*, *Pyramispongia barmsteinensis*, and *Thanarla* sp; and some metres above of *Pantanellium berriasianum*, *Hsuum rari-costatum*, *Tethysetta* cf. *boesii*, *Tricolocapsa campana*, *Podobursa* cf. *multispina*, *Ristola altissima altissima* and *Zhamoidellum* cf. *ventricosum*. Of all these, only *Pantanellium berriasianum* and *Hsuum rari-costatum* are given as indicator species by Baumgartner *et al.* (1995), in unitary as-

semblage 13. However, *Ristola altissima* was used by Pessagno *et al.* (2009) to mark the top of their Zone 4.

Of the many J/K localities documented in detail in recent years, only Grindstone Creek (already mentioned), Fiume Bosso and Torre de' Busi have figured in accounts of radiolarians (Baumgartner *et al.*, 1995). No details have been obtainable on radiolarian results from Torre de' Busi, nor, particularly, on how these might relate to published magnetostatigraphy or the biostratigraphy of other fossil groups. At Fiume Bosso, the base of the calpionellid Alpina Subzone was formerly placed in bed 78 by Houša *et al.* (2004), and Matsuoka *et al.* (2019) sampled that part of the sequence (now placed in the calpionellid Colomi Subzone), and work is ongoing at higher levels to bracket the J/K boundary.

#### 4.8. FORAMINIFERA

Foraminifera are a long-lived group of Protozoa which first appeared in the fossil record in the Ordovician. By latest Jurassic/earliest Cretaceous times, a number of different groups and assemblages of foraminifera had developed, that reflect the different palaeoenvironmental realms existing at the time, including both benthonic and planktonic forms.

One of the significant evolutionary developments in benthonic foraminifera was a symbiotic relationship with algae, which allowed foraminiferal tests to develop significant size. This relationship required high light levels and such "larger foraminifera" typically occurred in shallow, warm-water carbonate platforms during Jurassic-earliest Cretaceous times (as described by many authors, including Hottinger (1967), Bassoulet, Fourcade (1979) and Boudagher-Fadel (2018)). In contrast, cooler, deeper-water settings lack these larger foraminifera, and are also poor in smaller benthonic foraminifera. The result is that although shallow marine (to upper slope) foraminiferal associations are well known, and ages may be inferred for them, it is difficult to precisely correlate key appearances and extinctions of key marker taxa in these groups with the succession of calpionellids, nannofossil or ammonite markers that traditionally define divisions of the Tithonian-Berriasian interval. Therefore, it is difficult to recognise this boundary in shallow marine depositional settings. The challenge in assessing the value of foraminifera to aid the recognition of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary is to find good quality data sets from depositional settings in which both calpionellids and larger foraminifera, which are largely mutually exclusive palaeoenvironmentally, are present. Such settings include upper slope locations where associations of abundant foraminifera and less common calpionellids may both occur.

In Tethyan shallow-marine shelf depositional settings a number of biozonal schemes have been developed based on a similar succession of key larger foraminiferal taxa, spanning the Tithonian-Berriasian boundary interval. These include the species *Anchispirocyclus lusitanica*, *Montsalvia salevensis*, *Pseudocyclamina lituus* and *Protopeneroplis ultragranulata* (senior synonym of *P. trochangulata* Sepfontaine, 1974). They are the most widespread, cosmopolitan taxa that have been recorded by many authors across the Tethyan region, for example, Kuznetsova, Gorbachik (1985, Ukraine), Rojay, Altiner (1998, Turkey), Arkad'ev *et al.* (2006, Ukraine), Olszewska (2010, SE Poland) and Granier (2019, S. France – Middle East), among others.

Altiner and Özkan (1991) studied calpionellids in Anatolia (Turkey), and found foraminifera associated with calpionellids, which had been either transported (approximately contemporaneously) from shallower marine environments in carbonate turbidites or as *in situ* elements. They reported the first occurrence of *Protopeneroplis ultragranulata* (as *P. trochangulata*), in association with calpionellid Subzone A2 (*Crassicollaria*) assemblage. Additionally, in Turkey (central Pontides), Rojay and Altiner (1998) recorded the incoming of *P. ultragranulata* (as *P. trochangulata*) as latest Tithonian by correlation with the work of Altiner and Özkan (1991).

Of the key foraminifera taxa listed above, the shortest ranging form, *Anchispirocyclus lusitanica*, has been considered in several studies to be Tithonian restricted (see references below). However, it has been recorded in a supposed ammonite Jacobi Zone (?earliest Berriasian) of Portugal (Granier, Bucur, 2011) (in association with magnetozone M18). The species' extinction has been recognised as high as the top of the Jacobi Zone by Granier (2019), who defined an *A. lusitanica* biozone for the Tithonian to earliest Berriasian (based on the total range of the nominate taxon), succeeded by a *P. ultragranulata* biozone for the Berriasian (representing the lower part of the range of the nominate taxon). The evolutionary appearance of *P. ultragranulata* has been recorded in several biozonal schemes in shallow marine platform areas, across a wide region including France, Italy and Iran. Granier (2019) discussed the record of *P. ultragranulata* by several authors in the latest Tithonian, however, it is uncertain whether these occurrences would now fall in the Berriasian using the new definition of the base of the stage. Bucur (1997) had previously described the species as occurring in the Tithonian of Romania, and other areas, and showed the widespread distribution of the species across Europe, together with records from Tanzania and Pakistan. Bucur and Sasaran (2005) noted that *P. ultragranulata*, though first appearing in the latest Tithonian, became more abundant from Berriasian times onwards in the Trascau Mountains, Romania.

Arkad'ev *et al.* (2006) described the Tithonian-Berriasian succession (with ammonites, foraminifers and ostracods) at Theodosia in eastern Crimea. In this section, the extinction of *A. lusitanica* and the appearance of *P. ultragranulata* coincided with a level they interpreted as the base of the Jacobi Zone. The depositional setting was interpreted as slope, with many of the carbonates (and contained foraminifera) showing signs of contemporaneous resedimentation. In the same Theodosia cliffs, Bakhmutov *et al.* (2018) documented nannofossils and magnetostratigraphy, together with ammonites, foraminifera, calcareous dinocysts and calpionellids. These authors noted the extinction of *A. lusitanica* much higher, in the lowermost part of the Berriasian. Bakhmutov *et al.* recorded *A. lusitanica* in the same samples as *P. ultragranulata*; and interpreted the specimens of *A. lusitanica* to be derived from a shallow marine setting, within lowermost Berriasian deep-water sediments, but that the reworking was contemporaneous, there being no abrasion or damage on the specimens. The authors also recorded rare planktonic foraminifera in the section. Also in southern Ukraine, Krajewski and Olszewska (2007) recorded *A. lusitanica* ranging into the early Berriasian and *P. ultragranulata* occurring in the latest Tithonian.

Olszewska (2010) recorded rich foraminiferal associations, of both smaller and larger taxa, in Tithonian/Berriasian boundary beds (of the Babczyn Formation) in boreholes in south eastern Poland, with limited calibration to calpionellids and calcareous dinocysts (but not to ammonites). She identified a change from her *Andersenolina alpina* Zone to the overlying *Protopeneroplis ultragranulata*-*Protomarsonella kummi* Zone as marking the base of the Berriasian. A key link to other authors' biozonations is the incoming of *P. ultragranulata* at the boundary, correlated with calpionellid biozone B (*Alpina* Subzone). Notably, she did not record the presence of *A. lusitanica* in her studies. She used the extinction of *Protopeneroplis striata* to define the top of the Tithonian and tied this bioevent to a *C. alpina* occurrence in one of the boreholes.

Boudagher-Fadel (2018) defined a biozonation scheme for the Upper Jurassic that included a *Freixialina planispiralis* biozone corresponding to the Tithonian, marked by the presence of *A. lusitanica*, *A. neumanni*, *Everticyclammina virguliana*, *E. praekelleri* and *Pseudocyclamina lituus*. Several of these taxa range into the overlying Berriasian. This author noted that the top of the biozone is marked by the disappearance of the genera *Parurgonina*, *Pseudospirocyclus*, *Kastamonina* and *Labyrinthina*.

Granier (2019) subdivided the Tithonian-Berriasian interval of Tethyan shallow-water carbonates in the unconformity-bounded formations of the Jura (plus Spain, Morocco and offshore Abu Dhabi) into three biozones (zones and subzones), combining mostly large benthic foraminifera and

“calcareous” green algae (Dasycladales). Within this biozonation, however, there are no clear bioevents in the biostratigraphic succession that appear to correlate with the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary. Notably, Granier (2019) considers that the first appearance of *P. ultragranulata* occurs in the latest Tithonian and the extinction of *A. lusitanica* in the earliest Berriasian. The same succession was also documented by Neamtu *et al.* (2019) in the eastern Carpathians, Romania.

In offshore east Canada and north eastern USA, calpionellids, foraminifera and dinoflagellate cysts have been recorded from a number of wells and boreholes. Ascoli *et al.* (1984) and Ascoli (1990), among others, have reported the association of a *Calpionella alpina* acme biozone with the top occurrence of the foraminifer *A. lusitanica* at the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary in multiple hydrocarbon exploration and stratigraphic test wells across a large region from Baltimore Canyon to offshore Nova Scotia and Newfoundland. More recently, in offshore Nova Scotia, the extinction of *A. lusitanica* has been recognised as marking the top of the Tithonian in shallow-marine settings, where it is correlated with the appearance of the nannofossil *Nannoconus steinmannii* (Weston *et al.*, 2012). Calpionellid assemblages defining the base of the Berriasian are recorded by these authors from the Mohican I-100 and Moheida P-15 wells.

Smaller benthonic foraminifera may also be common in Tethyan shallow-water settings: however, their use as regional biostratigraphic markers around the boundary level over a wide region of Tethys is less well established. Olszewska (2010) recorded an abundance of smaller foraminifera both below and above the base of the Berriasian, including species such as *P. kummi* (which ranges as high as the Barremian). It remains to be seen whether any of these taxa, and her local biozonation scheme based on them (which she was able to tie to other parts of Poland, including the Holy Cross Mountains and Kraków areas and attempted a correlation with SW Ukraine), prove to be correlatable with the base of the Berriasian over a wider area.

Planktonic foraminifera occurred in Late Jurassic-Berriasian slope settings along the continental margins of Tethys (Gradstein *et al.*, 2018), but were rare and of low diversity; this contrasts markedly with their abundance and diversity in deep marine settings from Barremian/Aptian times onwards, where they are of great value for biostratigraphic subdivision and correlation. Gradstein *et al.* (2018) defined the appearance of a rich assemblage of planktonic foraminifera, including *Favusella hoterivica*, *Conoglobigerina gulekhensis*, *Lilliputianella eocretacea*, *L. aff. similis* and *Hedbergella aff. handousi*, defining Zone Cr1, from the Tonas road section, in Crimea. This assemblage was interpreted as approximating to the base of the Berriasian and was related to calcareous nannofossils and ammonites (of the Jacobi Zone), though not to calpionellids

Within cosmopolitan foraminiferal assemblages located in shallow-marine settings, the extinction of *Anchispirocyclus lusitanica* and the appearance of *Protopeneroplis ultragranulata* occur in the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary interval. In some sections, this change matches the boundary precisely, whereas in some it occurs in the lowermost Berriasian as defined by rare, co-occurring calpionellids. Other authors claim that the two species overlap in ranges over the boundary interval. However, it is possible that this mixing of shallow marine (larger foraminifera) and calpionellid faunas (slope to deep marine), is affected by reworking of shallow water specimens into deeper water settings – such reworking not being contemporaneous. It is therefore possible that some of the derived occurrences of *A. lusitanica* in lowermost Berriasian sediments represent reworking and erosion of older, late Tithonian sediments rather than genuine earliest Berriasian occurrences reworked contemporaneously. Further work is required to resolve this question.

Smaller benthonic and planktonic foraminifera may have some potential for the definition of the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary in Tethyan deeper marine areas, however, more research is required to define biostratigraphically important assemblages over a wider area.

#### 4.9. MAGNETOSTRATIGRAPHY

Though today it is universally accepted as an essential tool, when integrated with biostratigraphy, promotion of magnetostratigraphy in the Tithonian/Berriasian interval was first systematically undertaken only a little over thirty years ago. The method relies on matching a sequence of magnetozones in a given profile with the unique pattern of magnetic polarity zones of the ocean floor GPTS (Butler, 1992). One of the first studies, fittingly, was on the historical type section at Berrias, in France (Galbrun, 1984, 1985; Galbrun, Rasplus, 1984), followed by another, in Spain, at Sierra de Lugar.

Magnetostratigraphy as a correlative tool has been widely seen as amongst the most significant, and this is very true in the global definition of Jurassic/Cretaceous (J/K) boundary. It must be recognised that not all rocks preserve their primary magnetization (*e.g.*, McCabe, Elmore, 1989) and that magnetostratigraphy must be integrated with other stratigraphical methods. In the context of the lithologically and environmentally varied J/K interval, magnetostratigraphy works equally well in oceanic, shallow marine and non-marine sediments, and may be applied also in radiometrically-dated volcanic rocks. For magnetostratigraphy, fine-grained lithologies (limestones, claystones, and fine siltstones) are generally preferred, which is opportune when studying many Tithonian-Berriasian profiles. Results in recent times have been required to meet at least six out of ten

reliability criteria for palaeomagnetic data in magnetostratigraphic studies (Opdyke, Channell, 1996) and pass the reversal test (McFadden, McElhinny, 1990) for sections containing both normal and reverse polarization. Complete thermal or alternating field demagnetization is performed and analysis of magnetization components (Wilson, 1961; Zijdeveld, 1967). Directions are determined from line-fitting least squares analysis (Kirschvink, 1980), statistical parameters (*e.g.*, Fisher, 1953) should be fully documented, and magnetic mineralogy determined.

These criteria were applied in studies of the French sites (Wimbledon *et al.*, 2020): see Part 2 of this publication.

The distinctive magnetozone pattern around the J/K boundary may be recognised in both marine and non-marine profiles, in different latitudes and basins (Tethys, Panthallasia, austral, boreal, non-marine), and, of course, it is unaffected by biotic provincialism and independent of independent biostratigraphical schemes, as well as providing a constraint on the timing of paleoenvironmental change. In regions where endemism and low diversity have hindered wider correlation, magnetostratigraphy has become a primary indicator in the boundary interval.

Through the 1980s there was an early developmental phase of geomagnetic study in the Tithonian-Berriasian (Nairn *et al.*, 1981; Lowrie, Channell, 1983; Cirilli *et al.*, 1984; Ogg *et al.*, 1984; Lowrie, Ogg, 1986; Ogg, Lowrie, 1986; Márton, 1986; Mazaud *et al.*, 1986; Channell, Grandesso, 1987; Galbrun *et al.*, 1990) on marine strata in North Africa, Spain, Italy, England and Portugal, and on the Purbeck Formation of southern England (Ogg *et al.*, 1991, 1994): all founded on recognition of ocean-floor magnetic anomalies (Vogt, Einwich, 1979). Some studies were allied to limited or still-evolving biostratigraphic data. With more data it became clear that, with integrated close-frequency collecting, a succession of magnetozone boundaries had the potential to give far greater accuracy and certainty, to constrain fossil markers, to help detect deficiencies in the fossil record, and, occasionally, sedimentary phenomena such as condensation and non-sequence. Even so, some key J/K sites have been found to be re-magnetised (*e.g.*, Rio Argos, Mupe Bay), or even where original magnetisation was well developed, calibration with calpionellids could not be attained (*e.g.*, Theodosia).

As ammonite biostratigraphic methods were judged imperfect in providing a comprehensive inter-regional correlation in the Tithonian-Berriasian interval, magnetostratigraphy was developed as a useful constraint and complement to macro- and microfossil biozonations. Houša *et al.* led the way in a new era with precise integration of calpionellid species ranges and magnetozones at Brodno and Bosso (Houša *et al.*, 1996a, b, 1999, 2004). More recent work revises some of the details at these sites (references in Pru-

ner *et al.*, 2010). Studies then moved to the more biotically challenging Arctic, to Siberia, where correlation of that boreal basin to the M-sequence was achieved in one Tithonian and Berriasian sequence (Houša *et al.*, 2007), at Nordvik. As the sole Russian high-latitude site with a reasonably extended magnetostratigraphy in the Tithonian/Berriasian interval, this site, for a time, uniquely fitted with the GPTS and the stratigraphic pattern determined in Tethys (Houša *et al.*, 2007): but see alternative interpretations of Bragin *et al.* (2013) and Schnabl *et al.* (2015). A study was also carried out in Svalbard (Rogov, Guzhikov, 2009). Unfortunately, the hoped-for expansion of magnetic studies in boreal and sub-boreal regions, notably in Russia, where correlation is difficult, have not materialised. New sites with better biostratigraphic control have not been identified: though the often-described, broken siliciclastic sequences at Gorodishche and Kashpir have been subject to preliminary magnetic documentation (Baraboshkin *et al.*, 2015).

Studies on the J/K interval still expand in number (*e.g.*, Guzhikov, Eremin, 1999; Speranza *et al.*, 2005; Grabowski, Pszczółkowski, 2006; Guzhikov, Baraboshkin, 2008; Michalík *et al.*, 2009; Channell *et al.*, 2010; Grabowski, 2011; Guzhikov *et al.*, 2012, 2016; Bragin *et al.*, 2013; Wimbledon *et al.*, 2013, 2020; Grabowski *et al.*, 2017, 2019; Salmiinen *et al.*, 2014; Arkad'ev *et al.*, 2015; Satolli *et al.*, 2015; Schnabl *et al.*, 2015; Michalík *et al.*, 2016; Satolli, Turtu, 2016; Bakhmutov *et al.*, 2018; Elbra *et al.*, 2018a, b)

Exciting new developments and prospects appear: the first North African magnetostratigraphy in a J/K interval at Beni Kleb (tied to a calpionellid biozonation; Schnabl unpublished) and the first magnetostratigraphic records in North America – results obtained from the lower Great Valley Sequence of the Sacramento Valley at Elder Creek. Further, new, ongoing work in Mexico is integrated with recent calpionellids advances, and, most recently, the first palaeomagnetic results have been published for the Andes (Iglesias Llanos *et al.*, 2015, 2017) and the non-marine of NE China (Schnabl *et al.*, 2019).

#### 4.10. RADIO-ISOTOPIC AGE CONSTRAINTS ON THE JURASSIC/CRETACEOUS BOUNDARY

The base of the Berriasian, and thus the Cretaceous, though well-defined biostratigraphically, lacks a clearly defined numerical age. Though radiometric dates have been obtained from several regions with Berriasian strata (N. California, Andes, Tibet, Caribbean, Mexico, Japan and others), the classical sedimentary sequences through the J/K interval in Tethys are mostly without datable materials, as is the case with both the Tithonian Stage below and the Valanginian above. Consequently, though no radiometric data can be cit-

ed from the Vocontian Basin, a discussion is given here of recent dating results in regions where fossils occur that allow a direct correlation with Tethys, and a direct connection to the proposed GSSP. More recent results derived from the application of modern methods are shown in Table 2.

There are some often-quoted instances where useful widespread micro- or nanofossils are associated with datable minerals in the boundary interval: one example of note is Shatsky Rise. Mahoney *et al.* (2005) provided an age of  $144.6 \pm 0.8$  Ma as a minimum estimate for the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary there. This relied in part on nanofossils that suggested Bralower *et al.*'s NK1 zone (*N. steinmannii steinmannii* zone). Bralower *et al.* (1989) placed the base of this zone in magnetozones M17r. (Though this does not cohere with present knowledge: see above). In any case, M17r could not be in the "earliest Berriasian", as mooted by Mahoney *et al.* (2005).

As can be seen, assigning a numerical age for the J-K boundary can be difficult. Over the last twenty-five years, dating has relied on different approaches and on some repeated assumptions, which have yielded a large range of ages: from 135 to 145 Ma (Lowrie, Ogg, 1986; Bralower *et al.*, 1990; Gradstein *et al.*, 1995; Mahoney *et al.*, 2005; Liu *et al.*, 2013; Vennari *et al.*, 2014; López-Martínez *et al.*, 2015a). Currently (2019), the base of the Berriasian in the International Commission on Stratigraphy's chart is given an age of  $\sim 145.0$  Ma. This age has been the most enduring one for the boundary, due to the agreement between of the M-sequence model of Ogg *et al.* (2012) and the Ar-Ar age of Mahoney *et al.* (2005).

The model (Ogg *et al.*, 2012) is based on a spline-fitting model that predicts the ages of stage boundaries from the

Early Cretaceous (Aptian) to the Late Jurassic (Oxfordian). The model is a combination of astronomically calibrated durations (Huang *et al.*, 2010a) for some of the magnetozones and the distances between magnetic anomalies in the NW Pacific (Larson, Hilde, 1975; Tamaki, Larson, 1988; Channell *et al.*, 1995), with the aim of determining a spreading rate for the region

This complex web of correlations has been combined with a astronomically calibrated durations for the Kimmeridgian-Oxfordian and the Aptian to produce a decreasing sea-floor spreading rate for the north-western Pacific (Huang *et al.*, 2010a, b). On this basis, the age of each stage boundary was back-calculated from the base of the Aptian M0 (base age  $126.3 \pm 0.4$  Ma) to the Oxfordian. In the case of the J-K boundary the projected age was placed at  $145.0 \pm 0.8$  Ma. Mahoney *et al.* (2005) measured an Ar-Ar age of  $144.2 \pm 2.6$  Ma from the M19-M20 anomalies in the Shatsky Rise, which was used as a rough estimate for the base of the Cretaceous (Ogg, Lowrie, 1986; it is now more accurately placed in the middle of the M19.2n (Wimbledon, 2017). This was later corrected to  $145.5 \pm 0.8$  Ma, because of the recalibrated  $^{40}\text{K}$  decay constant (Renne *et al.*, 2010). Thus, both of these studies have taken different and independent approaches, but have yielded similar ages

In contrast, when radio-isotopic dating has been directly applied to rocks that were closely associated with boundary markers the results have been significantly different. Bralower *et al.* (1990) dated volcanic horizons in the Great Valley Group at Grindstone Creek (California), placing them in an *Assipetra infracretacea* (Upper Berriasian) nanofossil subzone (Angustiforatus Zone) at *circa* 137.1 Ma (ID-TIMS). The authors back-calculated the age of the Berriasian base

Table 2

## Radiometric dating in the J/K boundary interval

Stage	Biostratigraphy	Geological Context	Numerical Age (Ma)	Dating Method	
Berriasian	?	Infracretacea Zone	Great Valley Sequence, Grindstone Creek, California (12)	$137.1 \pm 0.6$	U-Pb, ID-TIMS
	Lower	early Berriasian nanofossils	Sangxiu Fm., Tibet (11)	140–142	U-Pb, SIMS
		?	Basaltic intrusion in M19 sediments, Shatsky Rise (10)	$145.5 \pm 0.8$	Ar-Ar
		upper Noduliferum Zone	Vaca Muerta Fm., Las Loicas, Argentina (9)	$139.55 \pm 0.03$	U-Pb, CA-ID-TIMS
		upper Noduliferum Zone	Vaca Muerta Fm., Las Loicas (8)	$139.238 \pm 0.049$	U-Pb, CA-ID-TIMS
		Elliptica Subzone	Pimienta Fm., Mexico (7)	$140.512 \pm 0.031$	U-Pb, CA-ID-TIMS
		Ferasini to Alpina Subzones	Pimienta Fm., Mexico (6)	$139.1 \pm 2.6$	U-Pb, LA-ICP-MS
		base Alpina Subzone & base Noduliferum Zone	Vaca Muerta Fm., Las Loicas (5)	$140.22 \pm 0.13$	U-Pb, CA-ID-TIMS
Koeneni Zone & FO R. asper	Vaca Muerta Fm., Las Loicas (4)	$140.6 \pm 0.4$	U-Pb, CA-ID-TIMS		
Tithonian	Upper	Koeneni Zone & FO U. granulosa	Vaca Muerta Fm., Las Loicas (3)	$141.31 \pm 0.56$	U-Pb, CA-ID-TIMS
		Buchia piochii Zone	La Désirade Igneous complex (2)	$143.734 \pm 0.060$	U-Pb, CA-ID-TIMS
	Lower	Andesensis Zone	Vaca Muerta Fm., La Yesera, Argentina (1)	$147.112 \pm 0.078$	U-Pb, CA-ID-TIMS

1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 after Lena *et al.*, 2019; 2 – Pessagno *et al.*, 2009; 6 – López-Martínez *et al.*, 2015a; 9 – Vennari *et al.*, 2014; 10 – Gradstein *et al.*, 2012, modified after Mahoney *et al.*, 2005; 11 – Liu *et al.*, 2013; 12 – Bralower *et al.*, 1990

141.1 Ma, using an arbitrary duration of 4–6 Myr for the biozones and their presumed age in the Upper Berriasian as an anchor. However, the nannofossil assemblage appears, at best, to indicate an age no older than the calpionellid Elliptica Subzone: *C. angustiforatus* occurs at this level in Tunisia, and *A. infractetacea* is a common Lower Berriasian nannofossil. Surpless *et al.* (2006), still in the Great Valley Group, reported depositional ages of 132.6 to 143.6 Ma in a range of J/K strata. Liu *et al.* (2013) dated interbedded bentonites in four sections in the Sangxiu Fm. of Tibet. The ages cluster around 140–142 Ma (U-Pb, SIMS), but the ages violated stratigraphic superposition, and a single age for the boundary is not quoted. López-Martínez *et al.* (2015b) dated a volcanic unit in the Pimienta Formation (Mexico) at  $139.1 \pm 2$  Ma (U-Pb LA-ICP-MS). The unit lies between the calpionellid Colomi (uppermost Tithonian) and the Elliptica subzones (Lower Berriasian), thus bracketing the J-K boundary, though the base of the Alpina Subzone is not present, so that a very precise constraint on the boundary age is not possible. Vennari *et al.* (2014) sampled an ash bed at Las Loicas (Neuquen Basin, Argentina), at a level 20 m above the J-K boundary and within the Andean upper part of the Noduliferum ammonite Zone (placed in the NJK-D nannofossil zone), with an age of  $139.55 \pm 0.03$  Ma (CA-ID-TIMS). The authors used a constant sedimentation rate of 0.5 cm/kyr to back-calculate the age of the boundary to *circa* 140 Ma. Lena *et al.* (2019) presented geochronological constraints (U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS) on Late Tithonian to Early Berriasian deposits at Las Loicas and on the later Berriasian in the Tamaulipas Fm. at Mazatepec (Mexico). The age of the boundary (*i.e.* the base of the calpionellid Alpina Subzone) at Las Loicas was set at  $140.22 \pm 0.14$  Ma (U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS) and the age in the Elliptica Subzone at Mazatepec at  $140.512 \pm 0.036$  Ma (U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS).

One of the drawbacks of studies on Jurassic/Cretaceous radio-isotopic ages is that the dated horizons are often stratigraphically distant from the level of the stage base. This has forced the use of calculations that involve arbitrary constant sedimentation rates, or durations of magnetozones and biozones to back-calculate the age of the boundary, which inevitably introduces unknown errors in the age. Another issue is that geochronological data has seldom been calibrated with magnetozones, and thus the boundary level in magnetosubzone M19n.2n is hard to prove. As a result, the correlation between well-studied J-K sections in western Tethys and radio-isotopic ages around the boundary have been based on biostratigraphic data. However, in many of the radiometrically dated sections, the biotic boundary markers (primary and secondary) are rare. Lastly, the majority of the analytical techniques employed to date the boundary (*e.g.*, SIMS, LA-ICP-MS) lack precision and accuracy, usually within limits of 1–3% of their age, which in the case of the

J-K transition (*circa* 140–145 Ma) yields a precision in the order of 1–3 Myr. Overall, the analytical techniques used to measure the age of the boundary are unsuitable to date the appearance of key taxa in the geological record. In addition, these analytical techniques (U-Pb SIMS and U-Pb LA-ICP-MS) do not address the effects of Pb-loss, thus compromising the accuracy of the measurements. Recently, Lena *et al.* (2019) were able to bracket the primary and secondary markers using U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS at Las Loicas; however, fossil markers occur in low resolution, in addition to some anomalous calpionellids around the putative boundary level; and further investigation is required. Nevertheless, the assemblage of the calpionellids *Crassicollaria parvula* and *C. colomi* and the FO of the nannofossil *Umbria granulosa granulosa* from Las Loicas was dated at  $141.31 \pm 0.56$  Ma, considered to be a valid age for the Late Tithonian. The age from the Lower Berriasian Elliptica Subzone at Mazatepec of  $140.512 \pm 0.031$  Ma is robustly calibrated, since the calpionellid zonation there is closely comparable to that proven in western Tethys. As a result, Lena *et al.* (2019) has suggested a best boundary estimate to be between 140.7 and 141 Ma, though still without magnetostratigraphy. In summary, even given the issues and concerns described above, radio-isotopic ages tend to broadly cluster around 140–141 Ma, differing by *circa* 4 Ma from Ogg *et al.* (2012).

The comparison between studies that have calibrated the age of the base of the Berriasian and the M-sequence (Ogg *et al.*, 2012) is not a simple one. The absence of integrated radio-isotopic ages, biostratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy is a major challenge for dateable global correlations. Geochronological studies that lack magnetostratigraphy effectively prevent dating of Tithonian-Berriasian magnetozones, and thus an accurate age assessment for the base of the Berriasian (*i.e.* the base of the calpionellid Alpina Subzone) and magnetosubzone M19.2n is not possible. Nevertheless, it is worth pointing out that the accuracy of the M-sequence age model of Ogg *et al.* (2012) is ultimately dependent on the quality of available radio-isotopic ages and cyclostratigraphic data at and/or around stage boundaries between the Oxfordian and the Aptian. New geochronological data from the late Jurassic to early Cretaceous suggest that the ages of the stage boundaries in this interval could be younger than those used in the M-sequence model of Ogg *et al.* (2012). For instance, Zhang *et al.* (2018) provided magnetostratigraphic data allied to the U-Pb ages of Midtkandal *et al.* (2016) from Svalbard cores, which suggest that the age of the M0 (Aptian base) is 121–122 Ma, rather than ~126 Ma. Aguirre-Urreta *et al.* (2015) presented a high-precision U-Pb age of  $127.24 \pm 0.03$  Ma for the highest Hauterivian Agrio Fm. (Neuquén Basin, Argentina): which Martínez *et al.* (2015) used to anchor cyclostratigraphic studies at Río Argos, and calculate an age for the base of the



Hauterivian at  $131.96 \pm 1$  Ma, and the base of the Barremian at  $126.02 \pm 1$  Ma. Aguirre-Urreta *et al.* (2017) later reported a U–Pb high-precision age for the Lower Hauterivian at  $130.394 \pm 0.037$  Ma, which is fairly close to that of Martinez *et al.* (2015). Therefore, new geochronological constraints in the Early Cretaceous suggest an apparent consistent offset and younger ages: that is, of  $\sim 3$ – $4$  Myr less than those predicted by the M-sequence age model. Thus, future updates of the M-sequence model are likely to predict younger numerical ages for Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous stage boundaries, and there is likely to be a change in the crucial apparent agreement between the M-sequence model of Ogg *et al.* (2012) and that of Mahoney *et al.* (2005).

#### 4.11. SEA LEVEL

Information on sea-level change calibrated to the detailed biostratigraphy of the Berriasian is limited.

Previous widely-quoted global accounts have not always been closely related to either traditional biozonal schemes or accurate biostratigraphy: *e.g.*, with the base of the Cretaceous in some unusual positions, such as within M16n (Haq *et al.*, 1987, fig. 3).

Hoedemaeker (1987, 2002) related sea-level fluctuations to ammonite diversity. He recognised the lowest stands of sea level during the earliest Cretaceous in (1) the uppermost Grandis Subzone (Jacobi Zone), (2) upper Boissieri Zone – the uppermost Berriasella picteti Subzone – in the latest Berriasian. The highest sea-level stands were correlated with (1) the Jacobi Subzone, and (2) approximately with the Berriasella paramimounum / Berriasella picteti subzonal boundary. A relatively rapid sea-level rise approximately coincided with the base of the Paramimounum Subzone.

Correlation of ammonite and calpionellid biostratigraphy presented in Hoedemaeker *et al.* (2016; fig. 1A) shows that periods of ammonite and calpionellid diversification coincided, confirming the results of Reháková (1998, 2000b). Reháková (1998, 2000b), tried to correlate bioevents, of calcareous dinoflagellates and calpionellids, in pelagic West Carpathian deposits with sea-level fluctuations *sensu* Haq *et al.* (1987). Radiation and diversification phases in calpionellids coincided with intervals of the ongoing transgression: whereas stagnant phases in their evolution coincided with sea-level falls and siliciclastic inputs. The acme concentrations of some cyst taxa were controlled by sea-level highstand phases, or perhaps with increasing surface-water temperatures (Jach, Reháková, 2019).

The latest general account of sea-level variations (Haq, 2017) takes the base of the Berriasian to be in mid M19n.2n, at the base of the Alpina Subzone. In the Late Tithonian to Early Berriasian, sea-level fluctuations are plotted against

parallel scales – with a western Tethyan ammonite and English ammonite biozonations: the latter being composite, and based on the more expanded Portland units of the Dorset sequence together with the broken and condensed siliciclastic interval in the North Sea basin (Wimbledon, 1980).

Haq (2017) notes five successive highstand events, tied to magnetozones:

1. Middle Microcanthum Zone (=Kerberus Zone) – mid M20n.2n.
2. Base Jacobi/ “Durangites” Zone (shown as equivalent) (=base Preplicomphalus Zone) – lowest M19n.2n.
3. Middle Jacobi/ “Durangites” Zone (= base Lamplughii Zone) – M18r.
4. Upper Jacobi/ “Durangites” Zone (= lowest Runctoni) – base M17r.
5. Lowest Boissieri Zone (= base Icenii Zone) – low M16r.

The supposed equivalence (item 1) of the Kerberus Zone to the Microcanthum Zone in M20n is undermined by the presence of earlier ‘English’ ammonites, Fittoni/Albani zone, associated with *Chitinoidea* in Poland: *Chitinoidea* marks M20n in Tethys. Of course, the Jacobi and “Durangites” ammonite zones are in no part equivalent: the Andreaei Zone (=“Durangites” Zone) underlies the Jacobi Zone (see Ammonite chapter). Therefore, it is not clear how the lower, middle and upper Jacobi Zone of Haq (2017) might be interpreted. However, event two is apparently closest to the base of the calpionellid Alpina Subzone, notwithstanding the application of ammonite zones.

Of the English biozones, only the lower ammonite zones in Dorset (up to the Anguiformis Zone) have been subjected to a magnetostratigraphic study, so the higher cited zones (Preplicomphalus-Icenii) are not relatable to magnetozones (nor to the Tethyan ammonite zones mentioned by Haq). No publications are cited by Haq (2017) which elucidate this matter: nor on how the assumed equivalence of the Tethyan and two English ammonite stratigraphies was derived. Therefore, it is not possible, with any degree of certainty, to relate the sea-level curve to the fossil markers in magnetozones M19n which define and constrain the base of the Berriasian – ammonite, calpionellid, nannofossil *etc.* A Jurassic-Cretaceous sea-level curve matched accurately to key biotic markers would be an interesting topic for investigation, if it took into account both regional tectonic and eustatic effects.

#### 4.12. STABLE ISOTOPES

##### 4.12.1. Tethys: carbon isotopes and cyclostratigraphy

Carbon-isotope ratios measured on bulk carbonate rocks (*e.g.*, Föllmi *et al.*, 1994; Weissert, Mohr, 1996), calcitic shells and tests of foraminifera, bivalves or brachiopods

(*e.g.*, Carpenter, Lohmann, 1995; Pellenard *et al.*, 2014), pedogenic nodules (*e.g.*, Cerling *et al.*, 1989) and dispersed organic carbon and wood fragments (*e.g.*, Yans *et al.*, 2010) are widely used chemostratigraphic tools. They can help to better correlate sedimentary successions in combination with a bio- and magnetostratigraphic scheme. This is because carbon isotopes trends and anomalies through time may reflect the ocean–atmosphere reservoir via their connection to the global carbon cycle. Alternatively, carbon isotope ratios measured on marine and continental materials may also (at least partly) reflect local/regional palaeoenvironmental controls. Thanks to their global or near global character, some major carbon isotope anomalies (positive or negative peaks) are well known in the geological record, and correspond to major, geologically, short-lived palaeoenvironmental/palaeoclimatic events that can be precisely pinpointed in various sections. This is the case, as an example, for the negative peak event recorded globally at the base of the Toarcian (Jenkyns *et al.*, 2002). Some isotopic events match major geological boundaries and are used as markers for those boundaries, *e.g.*, the Paleocene-Eocene boundary, defined by the onset of a negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  anomaly (Aubry, 2002).

This carbon isotope approach for long-distance correlations can be tested around the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. In this time-interval, a steady carbon isotopes decrease is recorded from the ammonite Microcanthum Zone (Upper Tithonian) to the calpionellid Alpina Subzone (basal Berriasian) in the Vocontian Basin (*e.g.*, Le Chouet road section, Wimbledon *et al.*, 2020). This represents a large scale (global?) trend that has been recorded in Tethys, boreal regions and in the Middle Atlantic (Weissert, Mohr, 1996; Price, Rogov, 2009; Žák *et al.*, 2011; Price *et al.*, 2016). However, some regional differences may occur. As an example, the amplitude of the carbon isotope decrease is roughly of 1.00‰ at the Lókút Hill section in Hungary (see review in Price *et al.*, 2016), whereas the amplitude is only 0.40‰ at Le Chouet. Having probably interesting palaeoceanographic/palaeoclimatic roots, this Late Jurassic steady carbon isotope trend is, however, of no use currently for stratigraphic correlation purposes in the Tithonian to Berriasian, and more studies are needed to build a fine understanding of carbon isotope evolution in the Vocontian Basin, and elsewhere.

In recent years, efforts have been made to increase the number of studies dealing with carbon isotopes in Russian boreal basins and a distinct positive carbon isotope excursion has been mooted. However, it is still unclear if this isotopic event can be properly recognised in Tethyan regions. As an example, it is not evidenced in the Vocontian Basin, although this may be due to a lack of high resolution isotopic data. Our preliminary work in the Vocontian Basin suggests a smooth evolution of carbon isotope ratios through Berriasian time, which is recognised to be of regional/global

significance. Therefore, it is of major importance to increase detailed records in Tethys, in order to help build global isotopic stratigraphic correlations: and this includes the area of the proposed GSSP, St Bertrand, Charens, *etc.*, where a detailed biostratigraphic and magnetostratigraphic scheme is already available.

Furthermore, a preliminary cyclostratigraphic study (by Schnyder and Galbrun) has been undertaken using magnetic susceptibility (MS) variations in several J/K sections in the Vocontian Basin. However, though a cyclostratigraphic study generally gives good results in a succession with marl-limestone alternations, this is not often the case for well bedded/massive bedded limestone successions, such as at Le Chouet. MS is a palaeoclimatic proxy used to identify astronomical-paced cycles. First results have revealed astronomical cycles of 20, 40, 100 or 400 kyrs duration (Milankovitch cycles). This establishment of astronomical forcing paves the way for an estimation of the duration of biostratigraphic intervals and magnetozones in the Vocontian sections. It is hoped to apply this cyclostratigraphic approach, particularly, to the Tré Maroua and Saint Bertrand profiles.

#### 4.12.2. Isotope records across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in boreal regions: carbon and oxygen isotopes

Reconstructions of carbon-, oxygen- and strontium-isotope curves for boreal palaeobasins are based on the analysis of sedimentary organic matter or molluscan shell material, especially belemnites, which are best preserved in northern sections. Near the J/K boundary, thus far, the most detailed chemostratigraphic data have been obtained from belemnites. These data, taking into account their calibration with bio- and magnetostratigraphic data, allow us to recognise event levels for a correlation of J/K boundary beds across some boreal basins, and between boreal regions and Tethys.

Stable isotope data come from a number of boreal sections. In most of these, the J/K transition beds are poorly represented: Voskresensk in the Russian Platform basin and an uncertain locality in northern Germany (Podlaha *et al.*, 1998); Gorodishche, Kashpir and Marievka on the Russian Platform (Ruffell *et al.*, 2002; Gröcke *et al.*, 2003; Price, Rogov, 2009); Yatriya in Western Siberia (Price, Mutterlose, 2004); Janusfjellet and Knorringsfjellet in central Spitsbergen (Hammer *et al.*, 2012). Nevertheless, in Siberia there are two sites characterized by a continuous sedimentary succession across the J/K boundary. Both Nordvik on the Laptev Sea coast (Eastern Siberia) and Maurynya in the foothills of the Northern Urals (Western Siberia) have detailed carbon and oxygen isotope records (Žák *et al.*, 2011; Dzyuba *et al.*, 2013).

Based on the data from the Tithonian-Berriasian (“Upper Volgian”) and Upper Berriasian (“Ryazanian”) in the Nordvik, Maurynya and other Siberian sections, a composite boreal  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  curve has been recently created (Dzyuba *et al.*, 2013). This curve is well-correlated with bio- and magnetostratigraphic zones. In the J/K boundary interval, two positive  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  excursions were recorded. A significant positive  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  shift is defined in the middle part of the ammonite *Craspedites okensis* (=Okensis) Zone at Nordvik, confined to the upper part of magnetozone M20n. This shift correlates well with a positive excursion found close to the base of the *Boreioteuthis explorata* belemnite beds at Maurynya. Furthermore, it is coeval with a positive excursion in the *Kachpurites fulgens* (=Fulgens) – *Craspedites subditus* (=Subditus) ammonite zone transition in the Gorodishche section (Gröcke *et al.*, 2003; Dzyuba *et al.*, 2013), and with a positive excursion in the lower part of the “Upper Volgian” in the Janusfjellet section, Spitsbergen (Hammer *et al.*, 2012). A positive excursion has also been observed in the composite Tethyan  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  curve (Weissert *et al.*, 2008) within the uppermost part of M20n. The second positive  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  excursion is most pronounced in the upper part of the *Craspedites taimyrensis* (=Taimyrensis) ammonite Zone in the Maurynya section, and it is observed in the Nordvik section in the same interval, high in magnetozone M19n, confined to the co-interval of the *Arctoteuthis tehamaensis* belemnite Zone and the nominal Taimyrensis Zone. The same excursion has been observed within the upper part of the *Craspedites nodiger* (=Nodiger) Zone in the Marievka section (Price, Rogov, 2009; Dzyuba *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, the J/K boundary is located in boreal sections between these two positive  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  excursions, but closer to the upper one. Both excursions are interpreted as records of increased rates of organic carbon burial (Dzyuba *et al.*, 2013).

The intensive accumulation of organic-rich sediments at that time is evident from a wide, almost global, distribution of black organic-rich shales in the Upper Jurassic and part of the Berriasian interval (Föllmi, 2012).

The composite Tethyan  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  curve that is based on bulk carbonate analyses is relatively smooth in the Upper Tithonian–Berriasian interval (*cf.* Weissert *et al.*, 2008). This is probably the result of the mixing of different biogenic components in a given sample, and the fact that such a sample could span tens or hundreds of years, which averages out natural variations in habitat, vital effects, time and preservation (*cf.* Nunn *et al.*, 2009, 2010; Dzyuba *et al.*, 2013). In the case of belemnites, individuals that are used for isotope analysis commonly have an estimated age of no more than two years.

A comparison of the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  curves obtained from the Maurynya and Nordvik sections show an agreement with the general trend in oxygen isotopic composition in the J–K

boundary interval (Žák *et al.*, 2011; Dzyuba *et al.*, 2013). The negative trend in the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  curves established for both these sections had previously been recorded in the Russian Platform Basin (Price, Rogov, 2009). The same trend is expressed more or less prominently in Deep Sea Drilling Project Hole 534A section in the central Atlantic Ocean (Tremolada *et al.*, 2006) and in the Puerto Escaño section of southern Spain (Žák *et al.*, 2011), where  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  data were obtained from bulk carbonates. This negative  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  trend is associated with a gradual climatic warming (mid Oxfordian to the mid Berriasian – “early Ryazanian”) (*e.g.*, Abbink *et al.*, 2001a; Price, Rogov, 2009; Zakharov *et al.*, 2014). According to Dera *et al.* (2011), the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  decrease during the Late Jurassic corresponded approximately to a period of prolonged and intense magmatism in the northeast Asian igneous provinces (Wang *et al.*, 2006) that could have caused high  $\text{pCO}_2$  levels, which in turn could have maintained warmer climatic conditions.

Galloway *et al.* (2019) have recently identified a positive excursion at two localities in Canada’s Arctic islands, on Axel Heiberg Island. It forms the top of a prominent negative excursion (VOICE), with a minor positive reversal within it. The excursion occupies a stratigraphic interval that previously produced “mid-Volgian” dorsoplanitid ammonites and bivalve *Buchia fischeriana*, and it is overlain by a newly collected Berriasian sequence containing the ammonite *Borealites* (*Pseudocraspedites*) and *Buchia okensis*.

#### 4.12.3. Strontium isotopes

The majority of sections in Tethys where integrated methods have been successfully applied tend to be in hemipelagic to pelagic, deeper-water environments, often suggesting sedimentation below the calcitic CCD. Documented belemnite-yielding sites with shallow-water sediments (or having originated above the calcitic CCD) and with stratigraphically important bio-markers – *i.e.* ammonites, calcareous nannofossils – are quite rare. There are several localities where they are present together with calpionellids (*e.g.*, France, Turkey, Spain – Puerto Escaño).

The majority of published data used for the global Sr isotope ratio curve close to the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary are from boreal regions and not Tethys and elsewhere. The global  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  curve (summarized in Price, Gröcke, 2002; Price *et al.*, 2016; Kuznetsov *et al.*, 2017) used data obtained from various regions, including localities at higher latitudes: Falkland Plateau, New Zealand, Great Britain and the Russian Platform, as well as western Siberia.

The Late Jurassic and earliest Early Cretaceous are characterized by a global increase in the  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  ratio in the ocean (*e.g.* Howarth, McArthur, 1997; Jones, Jenkyns, 2001;

Price *et al.*, 2016). Late Jurassic data have been published (Jones *et al.*, 1994; Podlaha *et al.*, 1998, and others) and also for the Early Cretaceous (Van de Schootbrugge *et al.*, 2000; McArthur *et al.*, 2004, 2007a, b; Vaňková *et al.*, 2019, and others), whereas, the immediate Tithonian–Berriasian boundary interval before 2017 was poorly supported by relevant data (Jones *et al.*, 1994; Podlaha *et al.*, 1998; Price, Gröcke, 2002). For a long time, the Sr isotopic characteristics of the uppermost Tithonian and Berriasian were based only on data from sections in NW Europe and the Russian Platform, representing the boreal palaeobasins (Jones *et al.*, 1994; Veizer *et al.*, 1999; Gröcke *et al.*, 2003), as well as on results from the Tethyan Upper Berriasian sections of Spain and France (McArthur *et al.*, 2007a).

Strontium isotope data recently obtained from belemnites from the Maurynya section (Western Siberia) filled the data gap of the  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  variation curve in the ocean at the J/K boundary (Kuznetsov *et al.*, 2017).

Judging by the fact that the Maurynya section encompasses the Upper Tithonian–Upper Berriasian (“Upper Volgian” – lowermost “Ryazanian”), up to the lower part of the ammonite *Hectoroceras kochi* (=Kochi) Zone, the Sr isotope values obtained (0.707172–0.707242) characterize an interval from the upper part of the magnetozone M20n to the lower part of the M16r, and hence the interval, in Mediterranean ammonite terms, from the upper part of the Microcanthum Zone to the Occitanica Zone, and probably the lowest horizons of the Boissieri Zone (Kuznetsov *et al.*, 2017).

Within the “Upper Volgian”, the  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  ratio of the Maurynya belemnites varies from 0.707172 to 0.707222, whereas near a level which corresponds approximately to the J/K boundary, the ratios are in the range 0.707189–0.707195 (Kuznetsov *et al.*, 2017), which can serve as a useful characteristic for the boundary. New Sr data obtained by Rud’ko *et al.* (2017) from the Crimean carbonate platform, on the northern periphery of Tethys, fit the trend established at Maurynya. Despite the fact that these authors noted a decrease in the resolution of the method within the Tithonian–Lower Berriasian due to the relatively small number of well-dated determinations and the general flattening of the profile of the  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  curve, they obtained close  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  values (0.70717–0.70722) approximately in the J/K boundary interval.

The gradual increase in the  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  ratio observed in the Maurynya section coincides with the general increase in this ratio in the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous world ocean. This indicates large-scale geodynamic causes that led to an increase in the content of radiogenic  $^{87}\text{Sr}$  during the Jurassic–Cretaceous transition. In general, the analysis of available Sr isotope data shows that during the Late

Tithonian and Berriasian the  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  ratio increased from 0.70716 to 0.70730 (Kuznetsov *et al.*, 2017).

## 5. WIDER STRATIGRAPHICAL CORRELATIONS

Here are considered some of the possibilities for correlations between the Alpina Subzone’s base in Tethys and wider geographical regions in Patalallassa, and boreal basins, noting opportunities and limitations. This examines the distribution and availability of Tethyan markers and their co-occurrences with endemic biotic elements (such as belemnites), and how the wider application of calpionellids, nannofossils and magnetostratigraphy has improved global correlations.

### 5.1. BOREAL REGIONS

Despite such terms as “Panboreal Realm” or “Superrealm” relating to ammonites, each boreal or subboreal region has its own independent ammonite biozonal scheme: there is no such thing as a boreal realm with one zonal ammonite fauna that has a uniform distribution: the faunal uniformity lost in earlier Tithonian times only reappears in the later Berriasian times (with some taxa widespread, *e.g.*, *Hectoroceras kochi* in Greenland, England and Siberia), and the same is true of *Buchia* (bivalve) zonations (*e.g.*, Inflata Zone).

Previously, low diversity, sparse and regionally restricted ammonites were almost the only tool being used to achieve a correlation with other regions, but this now changes somewhat. In Siberia and Svalbard the boundary interval yields few ammonites, and the same is even more the case in Arctic Canada. Much reliance has been placed on a single ammonite taxon, or the inferred presence of zone when ammonites are absent. With *Buchia* species being long-ranging (see below) attention has moved to more indicative belemnite species, as well as palynology and calcareous dinocysts.

#### 5.1.1. Eastern England/North Sea basin

Little can be added to accounts of the Spilsby and Sandringham formation by Casey (1973), as the few outcrops are in a state of decay. Of relevance here, Casey recorded the Preplicomphalus Zone’s fauna as *Subcraspedites* (*S.*) *so-werbyi*, *S.* (*S.*) *preplicomphalus*, *S.* (*S.*) *cf. claxbiensis*, *S.* (*S.*) spp. nov., *Craspedites plicomphalus* and *C. thurrelli* sp. nov. He correlated this Preplicomphalus Zone with the Nodiger Zone (Russian Platform) and the Taimyrensis Zone (Siberia).

Rogov and Zakharov (2009) record *Subcraspedites* species, including *S. sowerbyi* and *S. cf. preplicomphalus* in the Nikitini Zone at Gorodishche, apparently indicating a correlation with the Preplicomphalus Zone. These authors also drew a comparison between *Craspedites* of the *nodiger* group morphology and the remarkable nodes near the umbilical seam in one English species, *C. preplicomphalus*. The occurrence of *C. pseudonodiger* in the middle part of the Nodiger Zone at Kashpir was seen as supporting a correlation between the Taimyrensis and the Nodiger zones, and indicating an upper limit for the eastern English Preplicomphalus Zone.

### 5.1.2. Greenland

The Tithonian/Berriasian boundary interval is absent in East Greenland. A Tenuicostatus Zone (Upper Tithonian) fauna sits within a large hiatus between the Vogulicus and Chetaites ammonite zones (Callomon, Birkelund, 1982).

### 5.1.3. Siberia and Russian Platform

The biostratigraphic literature depends much on the NE Siberian section at Nordvik, which has become a kind of standard for Russian colleagues, with its magnetostratigraphic account (Bragin *et al.*, 2013). Only the interpreted match with Tithonian-Berriasian magnetostratigraphy fixes the boundary interval (Houša *et al.*, 2007), by means of the identification of upper M19r, M19n and lower M18 magnetic zones in the Taimyrensis Zone. The profile's ammonite record is sparse (Schnabl *et al.*, 2015), and, even with a subsequent update of finds from higher zones (Rogov *et al.*, 2015), it remains almost barren near the boundary. However, finds of the belemnite *Arctoteuthis tehamaensis*, a Californian species, in the ammonite Taimyrensis Zone at Nordvik allows a more precise correlation of the middle of magnetozone M19n with levels in California and Japan (Dzyuba, 2012; Haggart, Matsukawa, 2019). Thus, the base of the Tehamaensis Zone approximates to the base of the calpionellid Alpina Subzone. Further, Dzyuba (2013) equates the *Arctoteuthis tehamaensis* Zone with the *Simnobelus compactus* Zone on the River Maurynya (W. Siberia), expanding possibilities for correlation in Russia.

That notwithstanding, a very great deal hinges on the putative numbering of magnetozones at Nordvik. The three reversed intervals (identified as M19n.1r, M18r and M17r) were numbered with no calibration with fossils that could afford a wider correlation. All three are similarly thinly developed (Schnabl *et al.*, 2015). With a fresh mind and no

preconceptions, the original magnetozone notation of Houša *et al.* (2007) might warrant reconsideration.

### 5.1.4 Svalbard

Palynology from Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary units in Svalbard has proven to have limited utility for wider correlation (Dalseg *et al.*, 2016). The most recent assessment of ammonite biostratigraphy near the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary in Svalbard is that of Rogov (2010). At Festningen, discontinuous occurrences of Upper Tithonian ammonites were recorded, and later faunas are limited to three horizons near the putative Tithonian/Berriasian boundary. The Okensis Zone appears to be truncated, and, above, the 1 m assigned to the Taimyrensis Zone has only one faunal horizon with one species, *Craspedites cf. mosquensis*. At Myklegardfjellet ("AD" profile), an interval of 7 m has no ammonites, then a monospecific *Craspedites okensis* fauna is overlain, above a gap, by 1m of strata with *C. agardensis* and *C. cf. canadensis*. These last two species were used to identify a putative Taimyrensis Zone. The remaining interval (4 m), though it was assigned to the Taimyrensis Zone, is unexposed. Thus, the Taimyrensis Zone, as at Nordvik, does not contain the zonal index. Guzhikov (Rogov, Guzhikov, 2009) gave preliminary results on magnetostratigraphy at Myklegardfjellet ("AB" section). Ammonites are absent in most of the interval assigned to the Okensis Zone and through the entirety of the Taimyrensis and Chetae zones. Nevertheless, Guzhikov interpreted a 'normal' magnetozone (with a short reversed subzone near its top) overlain by a short reversal (<1 m), placing these in a Taimyrensis Zone, and identifying magnetozones M19n and M18r, respectively.

The isotopic studies of Koevoets *et al.* (2016) in Svalbard offer new precise data that should reinforce the limited biostratigraphy. In the Agardhfjellet Formation of central Spitsbergen, two  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  excursions have been recorded in the mid to late Tithonian. The extension of this study into the Berriasian is anticipated (Maayke Koevoets pers. comm.)

### 5.1.5. Canada

Considering the difficulties in correlating between the various boreal regions, Canadian usage has been to correlate Canadian zones with relative confidence only with northern Siberia ("high boreal"). Long-ongoing questions about correlations of the late Jurassic-early Cretaceous endemic ammonite zones among the various boreal and sub-boreal sub-provinces are highlighted by the juxtaposition of the various "standard" columns (Ogg *et al.*, 2016).

Tithonian (“Middle Volgian”) dorsoplanitid ammonites have been reported from several localities on Ellesmere and Axel Heiberg Islands (Friebold, 1961; Jeletzky, 1966, 1984; Callomon, 1984; Schneider *et al.*, 2018). The ammonites *Dorsoplanites* ex gr. *panderi* Michalski and associated *Pavlovia* were figured from northern Ellesmere Island by Friebold (1961); the latter re-interpreted as *Pavlovia* (?*Paravirgatites*) by Callomon (1984), and as *Taimyrosphinctes* (Rogov, 2019, unpublished). Schneider *et al.* (2018) reported the co-occurrence of *Dorsoplanites maximus* Spath and *D. sachsi* Michailov, confirming the presence of the boreal Maximus Zone on Ellesmere Island. Galloway *et al.* (2019) suggested that Jeletzky’s (1984) report of specifically unidentified dorsoplanitids, occurring with large *Buchia fischeriana* (d’Orbigny), provides a “Middle Volgian” age for a newly discovered Arctic regional <sup>13</sup>C negative excursion.

The ammonites *Craspedites* (*Subcraspedites*) cf. *sowerbyi* Spath and *C. (Craspedites)* n. sp. aff. *subditus* (Trautschold) were illustrated from northern Ellesmere Island by Jeletzky (1984). They were re-assigned (Rogov, Zakharov, 2009) to *Subcraspedites sowerbyi* Spath and *Craspedites* cf. *thurrelli* Casey, respectively. A higher fauna in the same section with *Craspedites (Subcraspedites)* n. sp. aff. *praeplicomphalus* [sic] Swinnerton and *C. (Craspedites)* n. sp. aff. *subditus* illustrated by Jeletzky (1984), has been updated to *Subcraspedites* cf. *praeplicomphalus* and *C. cf. thurrelli* (Rogov, 2019). These faunas were interpreted as corresponding to the regional Preplicomphalus and Okensis zones of eastern England and Siberia, respectively (Jeletzky, 1984; Rogov, 2019).

Presumed Early Berriasian (“Late Volgian”) *Craspedites (Taimyroceras) canadensis* Jeletzky (1966) from Slidre Fiord, northern Ellesmere Island, appear to indicate a correlation with the *Craspedites taimyrensis* (Taimyrensis) Zone of northern Siberia (Jeletzky, 1984; Rogov, Zakharov, 2009).

Canadian *Subcraspedites-Craspedites* ammonite assemblages and the overlying single taxon *Craspedites canadensis* (Jeletzky, 1984) appear to correspond to the northern Siberian Okensis – Taimyrensis zones, and the central Russian Fulgens – Nodiger zones (Rogov, Zakharov, 2009). The depiction (Ogg *et al.*, 2016) of their mainly older age compared with the Preplicomphalus Zone in eastern England is particularly interpretive, however, and Wimbledon (2017) placed the Russian Taimyrensis Zone (and equivalent Nodiger Zone) almost entirely within the Cretaceous.

### 5.1.6. Buchia Zones

Species of the bivalve genus *Buchia* have been used for correlation in the J-K interval, within the constraint of their zones encompassing very long stratigraphic intervals and

thus having comparatively low resolution. Biozonations are well established in boreal regions such as Siberia (Zakharov, 1987, 1990), as well as in subboreal NE China (Sha, Fürsich, 1993) and the Great Valley of California [Jones *et al.*, 1969: see Zakharov, Rogov (2020) on Grindstone Creek], western and Arctic Canada (Jeletzky, 1984) and the Russian Far East (Urman *et al.*, 2014). Formerly, the *Buchia unshensis* (=Unshensis) Zone was said to have a base exactly coincident with the base of the ammonite Taimyrensis Zone (Rogov, Zakharov, 2009). The Unshensis Zone (and its equivalent zones in other regions) now has a slightly greater vertical extent, covering the latest Tithonian and a large part of Berriasian time: in terms of Siberian ammonite zones, from the Okensis Zone to the Kochi Zone (Schnabl *et al.*, 2015). Thus the J/K boundary would fall in the lower Unshensis Zone.

## 5.2. THE AMERICAS (EXCLUDING ARCTIC CANADA)

In the Americas, the resolution of correlations in the Tithonian-Berriasian interval, notably in Mexico and the Andes, has been improved beyond recognition in recent years. However, the great potential of thick marine sequences in California and western Canada is not yet realised (Jones *et al.*, 1969; Jeletzky, 1984). Recent work on palynology, nannofossils, belemnites and magnetostratigraphy of the Great Valley sequence at Elder, Thomes, Watson and Grindstone creeks has still to be published.

In Mexico, early studies had been characterized and dominated by work of endemic ammonites, as with California and the Andes. However, pioneering research on calpionellids by Bonet (1956) was followed by that of Trejo (1960, 1975, 1980) and Adatte *et al.* (1994). More recently, a crop of results from López-Martínez *et al.* (2013b, 2015a, b), from Apulco, Tamazunchale and San José de Iturbide have revealed a full complement of biozones across the Tithonian-Berriasian boundary, with calcareous dinocysts, as well as accessory data on radiometric dates, and, most recently, calcareous nannofossils (Lena *et al.*, 2019). This widespread evidence refutes uninformed criticisms of the work of Bonet and Trejo, and provides unequivocal correlations with Tethys and the Crassicollaria and Calpionella zones of other regions.

In western Cuba, calpionellids were described two decades ago from the Guaniguanico terrane (Pszczółkowski, 1999; Pszczółkowski, Myczyński, 2010), and both calpionellids and nannoconids from the Sierra del Infierno (Pszczółkowski *et al.*, 2005). Latterly, López-Martínez *et al.* (2013a) has given an account of further calpionellid finds in the Sierra Los Organos, debated by Pszczółkowski (2013) and López-Martínez *et al.* (2013c).

The biostratigraphy of the Jurassic-Cretaceous interval in Argentina has been fully discussed by Riccardi (2015). The potential there for the application of calcareous nannofossils in the Neuquén Basin was earlier demonstrated (Concheyro, Bown, 2004), but it has not yet been realized extensively. Early calpionellids finds by Fernandez Carmona and Riccardi (1999) were key, and they were followed by those of Kietzmann (2017: Chitinoidella/Crassicollaria zones), and identification of the Alpina Subzone at Las Loicas (López-Martínez *et al.*, 2017). Those last authors identified both the Crassicollaria and Calpionella zones, with the appearance of predominant small *Calpionella alpina* marking the base of the latter. They noted the same nannofossil taxa bracketing the base of the Alpina Subzone as Vennari *et al.* (2014), and they made that level exactly coincident with the base of the ammonite Noduliferum Zone. More work is anticipated, on longer productive intervals, with more beds yielding calpionellids, and the same is necessary for nannofossils. At Las Loicas, Vennari *et al.* (2014) suggested that calcareous nannofossils in the *Substeueroceras koeneni* (=Koeneni) Zone could be zoned (zones NJK-A, NJK-B, NJK-C, and lower NJK-D), but this was based on impersistent occurrences of nannofossils (see Nannofossil chapter, and Andes).

Recent key work on palaeomagnetism at Arroyo Loncoche (Argentina) by Iglesia Llanos *et al.* (2017) is discussed immediately below.

### 5.2.1. Andes

A Tithonian-Berriasian marine succession is well developed in the foothills of the Andes in west central Argentina, consisting of about 200 to 1,700 metres of fossiliferous black shales and micritic limestones, *i.e.* the Vaca Muerta Formation. Ammonites present there were used during the first half of the XXth century (Burckhardt, 1900, 1903; Gerth, 1925; Krantz, 1928; Weaver, 1931; Leanza, 1945; see Riccardi, 2015) to develop a biostratigraphic scheme comprising eight biozones. The most complex study being that of Weaver (1931), based on ammonites collected from different sections along almost 500 km of outcrop.

Since then, new studies on the ammonites have been mainly focused on selected parts of the stratigraphic succession and on specific localities. Thus modern systematic studies on the Upper Tithonian-Berriasian, based on collections coming from carefully sampled sections, distributed through the entire exposed marine succession, are wanting. These circumstances and the provinciality of the ammonite fauna have made it difficult to make a proper correlation with elements of the standard southern European ammonite biozonation.

In recent years, however, new studies have resulted in the finding of chitinoidellids, calpionellids, nannofossils, dinoflagellates and radiolarians, which together with magnetostratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy, all tied to ammonite biostratigraphy, have introduced new possibilities for improving correlations with western Tethys (see Riccardi, 2015).

Most recent studies were carried out on only two sections: Las Loicas (Vennari *et al.*, 2014; López Martínez *et al.*, 2017) and Arroyo Loncoche (Kietzmann *et al.*, 2011, 2018b; Iglesia Llanos *et al.*, 2017; Ivanova, Kietzmann, 2017; Kietzmann, 2017), although subsequently other sections were also added (Kietzmann *et al.*, 2018a; Aguirre Urreta *et al.*, 2019). These studies have resulted in some conflicting conclusions on the proposed correlation of the Andean-Tethyan ammonite zones, especially on those assigned to the Tithonian-Berriasian transition (see Kietzmann, Iglesia Llanos, 2018).

This is most probably related to the fact that there have been no modern regional studies of the entire ammonite fauna, and the ammonite identifications for most sections are not backed up by proper systematic studies, and thus the recognition of faunal stratigraphic ranges and biozones is not accurate enough. This comment is valid for the definition of the *Argentiniceras noduliferum* biozone in the Las Loicas and Loncoche sections and the conflicting correlation between them. That is, with the biozone in magnetozone M19 at the former and M16 at the latter. At Las Loicas, the base of the Noduliferum Zone was defined (Vennari *et al.*, 2014) by an occurrence of *Argentiniceras cf. fasciculatum* (Steuer) – material not figured – 3.4 m above the base of the authors' nannofossil zone NJK-D (see below), although *A. noduliferum*, with material figured, was recorded *circa* 13 m above that base

Later López-Martínez *et al.* (2017, fig. 1) placed the first record of *A. noduliferum* (material not figured) *circa* 6–7 m below the previous record of *A. cf. fasciculatum* and the lower boundary of the biozone was moved down to coincide with the base of nannofossil subzone NJK-D. Further, a previous record of *A. noduliferum?* from a level *circa* 66 m above base was deleted and the lower boundary of the *Spiticeras damesi* (=Damesi) Zone was moved down to a level *circa* 58 m above base. Here it is relevant that referral of the base of the Noduliferum Zone at Las Loicas to the middle of magnetozone M19n (López Martínez *et al.*, 2017, fig. 4) was not based on direct magnetostratigraphic studies, but on nannofossil correlation of the supposedly lower boundary of an ammonite biozonal boundary, whose definition needs to be clarified by a proper study of the ammonite fauna.

In the Loncoche section, the Noduliferum Zone was defined on the occurrence of *A. noduliferum*: this was apparently a single record, not illustrated, and one of two co-occurring long-ranging species (Iglesia Llanos *et al.*, 2017,

fig. 2). Here the base and the whole zone were placed in magnetozone M16r, but, again, the ammonite zone was poorly defined.

Further, the associated microfossil evidence is too patchy to be certain of vertical distributions and the recognition of Tethyan bioevents. It is therefore evident, that more detailed studies are needed in order to arrive at uncontroversial conclusions on the correlation of both macro- and microfauna with J/K sections with better resolution in Tethys, Mexico *etc.*

## 6. BERRIASIAN WORKING GROUP METHODS, DECISIONS AND VOTES

The background geological facts that allow comparisons of prospective GSSP sites are laid out here, and we summarise below the progression towards making a decision on a GSSP locality. The Berriasian Working Group has held fifteen meetings for the purposes of comparing results, reaching a consensus and making decisions on preferred markers and a GSSP locality (See Appendix 2)

In the traditional J/K boundary interval, there existed several salient prospects for the primary stage marker. The working group in early discussions, considered prospects for fieldwork and the potential of various biotic and magnetic events, essentially in and at the top of magnetozone M19n – where all earlier suggested boundary levels had been located. Their usefulness would depend on their being consistently developed and widespread, and if there were supporting markers to calibrate the prospective level. Those with no concentration of markers and no possibilities for calibration would be disregarded.

At the Milan WG meeting in 2009, the following horizons were considered as salient prospects for study:

- base of magnetozone M18r;
- base of ammonite Grandis Subzone (Symposium decision 1963);
- base of magnetosubzone M19n.1r;
- base of calpionellid Alpina Subzone;
- base of ammonite Jacobi Subzone (Symposium decision 1973);
- base of magnetosubzone M19n.2n.

In addition, a suite of calcareous nannofossil events had been suggested as marking the levels of most of the biozone and magnetozone boundaries listed above. These also were subject to examination and testing.

As an aside, the base of the ammonite Occitanica Zone (base of Subalpina Subzone) was previously suggested by Hoedemaeker (1987) as an alternative to the Jacobi Zone. This idea was picked up by some (particularly Russian) authors on the basis that Tethyan taxa of this age had been re-

corded in Russia. However, the base of the Occitanica Zone, as seen in the Vocontian Basin, for instance, could not be considered as a leading contender because of the impersistent development of its basal subzone, the Subalpina Subzone, in Tethys, not to mention its geographically limited range. This rejection of the Occitanica Zone (and Subalpina Subzone) has latterly been endorsed by Hoedemaeker (Hoedemaeker *et al.*, 2016). In the Russian region most closely bordering Tethys, the Caucasus, the Cretaceous is interpreted as starting with a sub-Occitanica unconformity (Sey, Kalacheva, 2000), but with no Subalpina assemblage present, and on the Russian Platform ammonites have thus far not been proven convincingly to have precise affinities with French or other Tethyan Occitanica Zone taxa (Frau *et al.*, 2016b, in press).

In our early Working Group discussions, the magnetic subzone M19n.1r was ruled out as a prospective primary marker because it is such a very short subzone, and thus difficult to locate. It was agreed that the bases of M19n and M18r would be assessed as candidates, and biotic markers sought that coincided with them. The result of this would remain unclear until a reasonable number of localities had been documented. At the outset it appeared that no calpionellid zone or event coincided with either magnetozone, but that had to be shown for nannofossils and ammonites. To date, site documentation has proven that at only two sites (Puerto Escaño – Pruner *et al.*, 2010; Le Chouet – Wimbledon *et al.*, 2013) is the Jacobi Subzone's base demonstrably close to or at the base of magnetozone M19n. Further, it has been shown that no nannofossil has its FO at the base of either M19n or M18r (summarised Wimbledon, 2017; see nannofossil zones). Species of nannofossil previously recorded at or close to the base of M18r are now seen to have their earliest FOs in M19n (Fig. 2).

Salient amongst fossil markers were the two levels based on ammonites, selected by successive international conferences, the Grandis Subzone (Colloquium, 1965) and the Jacobi Subzone (Colloquium, 1975), and the base of the calpionellid Alpina Subzone, which in recent decades has largely replaced any ammonite datum as the primary marker in multiple publications. These have already been discussed above. The problems with definition of the base of the Jacobi Zone, and the identification and vertical range of the nominal index (*Strambergella jacobi*) have been fully discussed (Frau *et al.*, 2016b). The Grandis Subzone is discussed in the Ammonite chapter herein. Suffice it to say that, in documenting dozens of localities (and literature sources), we have not found it possible to easily define either subzone in a clear-cut fashion and in the traditional way. This only discusses sequences that yield ammonites: the lack of ammonites in multiple key sections across Tethys has made them even less of an option for stage definition.

At multiple WG meetings we have re-affirmed our early decision that the group should seek a GSSP that yielded, at



least, ammonites, calpionellids, nannofossils and magnetostratigraphy, so as to give the greatest correlation potential. This opinion was last confirmed during our meeting at the Vienna Cretaceous Symposium in 2017.

These investigations, results and conclusions led the Working Group to the determination that the consensus of recent years was correct: that a calpionellid datum linked to magnetostratigraphy, and supported by nannofossils and ammonites, where present, was the best option to define the stage base. The fact that the group had documented and assessed so many localities gave the assurance that this conclusion was founded on the strongest factual basis. By the time of our 2014 WG meeting in Warsaw, there was a clear consensus that *Calpionella alpina* was the best candidate as a primary stage marker (Wimbledon, 2014).

In summary, the correlative framework for the base of the Berriasian can be shown as in Figure 5. This is the latest iteration of the table, the earliest (2011) version of which was used by Gradstein *et al.*, 2012. In the latest version, a range of fossil groups are used for definition of the bound-

dary: calpionellids, supported by calcareous nannofossils, ammonites, belemnites and radiolaria. The widespread level chosen as the primary marker for the stage base is the base of the Alpina Subzone (and the calpionellid turnover it indicates) in mid M19n.2n, and it is closely matched by the FO of *Nannoconus steinmannii minor*. Several taxa of nannofossil (*N. wintereri*, *H. strictus*, *C. cuvillieri* and *N. globulus globulus*) make their first appearances just below, in M19n.2n. The base of the subzone is precisely dated in the Andes, at 140.22 ± 0.14 Ma. In boreal and subboreal basins the *Arctoteuthis tehamaensis* Zone has its base in the middle of M19n.2n. This and the base of radiolarian zone UZ 14, close above the base of the Alpina Subzone, provide widespread key proxies for the primary stage marker. And though the basal ammonites of the Jacobi Zone cannot be helpful in defining a mid-M19n.2n boundary, the first appearance of *Delphinella* there already provides a useful surrogate for the Alpina base in western Tethys; and it is hoped this observation may be extended to other regions where the genus has been recorded.




Fig. 5. Correlative framework for the Upper Tithonian to Berriasian interval, with directly correlatable primary and secondary markers for the boundary level indicated, as well as proxies

### 6.1.1. Selection of the primary marker for the base of the Berriasian Stage – June 2016

In 2016, after documentation and consideration of sites in Europe, North Africa, North and South America and Asia, the Berriasian Working Group held a formal vote. The proposal was that the base of the *Calpionella alpina* Zone be selected as the primary marker for the Tithonian/Berriasian boundary. This level was proposed on the judgement that it provided the best marker, and one that it allowed correlation over the greatest part of the globe. 75 people voted: with 2 abstaining, 16 voting “no” and 57 voting “yes”. That is, a 76% majority.

### 6.1.2. Kroměříž meeting – May 2018

The purpose of this meeting was to consider a shortlist of contender GSSP candidates. After individual presentations on particular sites, consideration of the International Commission on Stratigraphy’s guidelines for selection of chronostratigraphic units, and mention of the already agreed parameters for a preferred Berriasian GSSP, there followed a discussion of localities showing a good magnetostratigraphic record and the calpionellid primary marker, (small orbicular) *C. alpina*, with supporting nanofossil and ammonite datums. Key documented localities were demonstrated in Tithonian/Berriasian correlation charts. The discussion, in particular, included mention of the locality of Berrias, and the more useful localities of Puerto Escaño, Brodno, Fiume Bosso, the Drôme/Hautes-Alpes (Vocontian Basin) plexus of complementary sites (Tré Maroua, Le Chouet, Font de St Bertrand, Haute Beaume, and Charens), Torre de’ Busi, Rio Argos, and Kurovice. The pros and cons of these localities, some of which had been recently published, were discussed, and are (briefly) as follows.

The locality of Berrias was earlier ruled out as a contender: the outcrop ends before the base of either the Alpina Subzone or the ammonite Jacobi Zone is reached: though the site is still being studied by the Working Group for the upper Lower, Middle and Upper Berriasian. The adverse *rosso ammonitico* facies at Puerto Escaño were noted, as were earlier comments (C. Casellato) about the condensation of the FADs of nanofossil marker species, supported in the discussion (K. Stoykova), though the very good palaeontological record still was emphasised (M. Košťák). Brodno is well documented, but had been understood since our earliest WG meetings to lack much of magnetozone M18r, and to have a weaker record of nanofossils in the upper part of M19n. Fiume Bosso remained a strong contender: there calpionellids have been re-collected and revised in the Chiti-

noidella-Ferasini interval described by Houša *et al.* (2004), and a nanofossil revision was at the time still in progress. The top and base of the Alpina Subzone show slight changes in position from those published previously. Torre de’ Busi appeared to be a good prospect in 2010, but its calpionellid zonation shows some aberrant features, notably the very high placing of the base of the Alpina Subzone. The Vocontian plexus of sites are geographically closely spaced and show a detailed composite record, with good calpionellid preservation, essentially showing the same Tithonian-Berriasian facies succession and overlapping stratigraphic intervals within the pre-Chitinoidella Zone to Oblonga Subzone biozonal range so far documented. The need for description of the local sedimentary context was stressed (J. Grabowski), and the fact that Haute Beaume (near to Le Chouet) lacks breccias, as does most of the more distant Tré Maroua section was noted (C. Frau).

Two sites where great hopes were entertained in earlier times have proved to be a considerable disappointment: Theodosia, because, though it has ammonites, nanofossils and magnetostratigraphy, no coherent calpionellid zonation can be constructed; whereas Rio Argos yields ammonites, nanofossils and calpionellids, but is entirely remagnetised (Hoedemaeker *et al.*, 1998). Kurovice shows a good fossil record, though it has three tectonised intervals which closely bracket the calpionellid Alpina zonal base, and it lacks ammonites (M. Košťák); calpionellid preservation is poorer than, for instance, France (D. Reháková).

Velikiy Kamianets, then being documented, was also mentioned (J. Grabowski): though some uncertainty over the position of the Alpina/Ferasini subzonal boundary meant that it did not qualify as a contender site.

Therefore, in summary, Berrias (France), Theodosia (Ukraine), Torre de’ Busi (Italy), Brodno (Slovakia) and Rio Argos (Spain) were considered less suitable; Fiume Bosso (Italy) and the Vocontian Basin sites (France) were judged to be better candidates; and question marks remained over the localities of Puerto Escaño and Kurovice. This is tabulated below in Table 3.

Subsequent to the group discussion, J. Grabowski wrote suggesting we should include mention of a site not proposed and discussed at the Kroměříž business meeting – Lókút, and P. Pruner suggested another – Nutzhof. And these additional notes in the same format were circulated to the Working Group (see Table 4).

In the consultation of the whole group that followed, no adverse comment was lodged on the shortlisting of Fiume Bosso and the Vocontian Basin sites as the rival GSSP contenders. In conclusion, it is perhaps worth stating that during the consultation on the GSSP vote, no other site from any other region was suggested or proposed.

Table 3

## Comparisons of contender GSSP sections – 1

	Pros	Cons
Theodosia	nannos, ammonites, magnetostrat (M19n.1r, M18r)	No calpionellid zonation, base of Alpina Sbz. and M19n not detected
Rio Argos	calpionellids, nannos, ammonites	No magnetostrat. – remagnetised
Torre de' Busi	nannos, calpionellids, magnetostrat. (M19r, M19n.1r and M18r)	Alpina Sbz. base in M19n.1n is anomalous. No ammonites
Brodno	calpionellids, nannos, magnetostrat. (M19r, M19n.1r)	Incomplete M18R, Limited nannofossil record
Puerto Escaño	ammonites, nannos, calpionellids magnetostrat. (M19r, M19n.1r and M18r)	Rosso Ammonitico facies. Compressed nannofossil FADs
Kurovice	calpionellids, nannos, magnetostrat. (M19r, M19n.1r and M18r)	Tectonics in Alpina Sbz. No ammonites. Limited outcrop
Fiume Bosso	calpionellids, nannos, magnetostrat (M19r, M19n.1r and M18r)	No ammonites. Nannofossil revision in progress
Drôme/ Hautes-Alpes composite	calpionellids, nannos, ammonites, magnetostrat. (M19r, M19n.1r and M18r)	M19r unproved at Tré Maroua, but M19n.1r is present

Table 4

## Comparisons of contender GSSP sections – 2

	Pros	Cons
Lókút	calpionellids, nannos, magnetostrat. (M19r, M19n.1r)	Top of Alpina sbz. not detected. Incomplete M18r. No ammonites. Late FADs for key nannofossil markers. Poor outcrop.
Nutzhof	calpionellids, nannos, magnetostrat. (M19r, M19.1r, M18r)	Abnormally thin M19n.2n. No ammonites. Abnormal level of base of Elliptica Sbz. in M18r. Late FADs for key nannofossil markers.

## 6.1.3. GSSP vote

By May 2019 documentation of Fiume Bosso and Tré Maroua (with complementary local sites) had been completed, and a one-month consultation was held within the Working Group to consider the site data that had been circulated. Next followed a one-month voting period. In the ballot, 52 people voted: with 5 abstaining, 9 voting for Fiume Bosso and 38 voting for Tré Maroua. That is, a 73% majority.

The documentation available on Tré Maroua will be presented in Part 2 of this work.

**Acknowledgements.** Though this proposal focuses on a particular chosen site, the conclusions drawn here are based on work over the course of ten years, at many localities by many friends in the Berriasian WG and outside. It is the greatest pleasure to acknowledge their indispensable contributions and to sincerely thank them for this and the many publications cited below. It is impossible to put into words the debt owed to so many dear colleagues for their positive comradeship in discussion, in the writing of publications, in the lab and in the field.

Many thanks go to Jean-Pierre Masse, Annie Arnaud-Vanneau, Miroslav Bubik and Eric Blanc for help with sources, guidance and discussion about foraminifera; and also to Jens Lehmann, Luc Bulot and Camille Frau for allowing us to liberally quote and adapt their work on ammonite palaeogeography. And sincere thanks to all good col-

leagues and friends, inside and outside the working group, who contributed to a chapter on their particular specialism and/or regional expertise. Last, but by no means least, sincere thanks to Daniela Reháková, Jim Ogg, Terry Poulton, Mohamed Benzaggagh, Alberto Riccardi and Beatriz Aguirre-Urreta for their suggestions on the draft of the proposal document put to the Cretaceous Subcommittee.

## REFERENCES

- ABBINK O., TARGARONA J., BRINKHUIS H., VISSCHER H., 2001a – Late Jurassic to earliest Cretaceous palaeoclimatic evolution of the Northern Sea. *Global and Planetary Change*, **30**: 231–256.
- ABBINK O.A., CALLOMON J.H., RIDING J.B., WILLIAMS P.D.B., WOLFARD A., 2001b – Biostratigraphy of Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary strata in the Terschelling Basin, The Netherlands. *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, **53**: 275–302.
- ADATTE T., STINNESBECK W., REMANE J., 1994 – The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in northwestern Mexico. Confrontation and correlations by microfacies, clay mineralogy, calpionellids and ammonites. *Geobios*, **27**: 37–56.
- AGUIRRE-URRETA B., LESCANO M., SCHMITZ M.D., TUNIK M., CONCHEYRO A., RAWSON P.F., RAMO V.A., 2015 – Filling the gap: new precise Early Cretaceous radioisotopic ages from the Andes. *Geological Magazine*, **152**: 557–564.
- AGUIRRE-URRETA B., SCHMITZ M., LESCANO M., TUNIK M., RAWSON P.F., CONCHEYRO A., BUHLER M., RAMOS V.A.,

- 2017 – A high precision U–Pb radioisotopic age for the Agrio Formation, Neuquén Basin, Argentina: Implications for the chronology of the Hauterivian Stage. *Cretaceous Research*, **75**: 193–204.
- AGUIRRE-URRETA B., NAIPAUER M., LESCANO M., RAMOS V.A., 2019 – The Tithonian chrono-biostratigraphy of the Neuquén Basin and related Andean areas: A review and update. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **92**: 350–367.
- AITA Y., OKADA H., 1986 – Radiolarians and calcareous nannofossils from the Uppermost Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous strata of Japan and Tethyan Regions. *Micropaleontology*, **32**: 97–128.
- ALLEMANN F., CATALANO R., FARES F., REMANE J., 1971 – Standard calpionellid zonation (Upper Tithonian-Valanginian) of the Western Mediterranean Province: 1337–1340. *In*: Proceedings II Plankton Conference. Roma, 1970.
- ALLEMANN F., GRÜN W., WIEDMANN L., 1975 – The Berriasian of Caravaca (Prov. of Murcia) in the Subbetic zone of Spain and its importance for defining this stage and the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. *Mémoires du Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières*, **86**: 14–22.
- ALTINER D., ÖZKAN S., 1991 – Calpionellid zonation in North-Western Anatolia (Turkey) and calibration of the stratigraphic ranges of some benthic foraminifers at the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. *Geologica Romana*, **27**: 215–235.
- ANDREINI G., CARACUEL J.E., PARISI G., 2007 – Calpionellid biostratigraphy of the Upper Tithonian-Upper Valanginian interval in Western Sicily (Italy). *Swiss Journal of Geosciences*, **100**: 179–198.
- ANDRUSOV D., KOUTEK J., 1927 – Contribution à la connaissance des calcaires à *Calpionella alpina* dans les Carpathes occidentales. *Vest. Stet. Geol. Ustavu, Ceskoslovakia*. 2/3. Prague.
- ARKAD'EV V.V., BOGDANOVA T.N., 2004 – Genus *Berriasella* (Ammonoidea) and ammonite zonation in the Berriasian of the Crimean Mountains. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **12**: 367–379.
- ARKAD'EV V.V., BOGDANOVA T.N., LOBACHEVA S.V., 2005 – The new data on biostratigraphy of the Berriasian deposits of the Tonas river basin (Mountain Crimea): 111–135. *In*: The Cretaceous system of Russia: problems of stratigraphy and palaeogeography (Eds. V.V. Arkadiev, V.A. Prozorovsky). Saint Petersburg.
- ARKAD'EV V.V., FEDOROVA A.A., SAVEL'EVA Y.N., TESAKOVA E.M., 2006 – Biostratigraphy of Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary sediments in Eastern Crimea. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **14**: 302–330.
- ARKAD'EV V.V., BOGDANOVA T.N., GUZHIKOV A.Y., LOBACHEVA S.V., MISHKYNA N.V., PLATONOV E.S., SAVEL'EVA Y.N., SHUREKOVA O.V., YANIN B.T., 2012 – Berriasian stage of the Mountainous Crimea. LEMA, Saint Petersburg.
- ARKAD'EV V., BARABOSHKIN E., BAGAEVA M.I., BOGDANOVA T.N., GUZHIKOV A.Y., MANIKIN A.G., PISKUNOV V.K., PLATONOV E.S., SAVEL'EVA Y.N., FEDOROVA A.A., SHUREKOVA O.V., 2015 – New data on Berriasian biostratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy and sedimentology in the Belogorsk area (Central Crimea). *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **23**: 155–191.
- ARKELL W.J., 1933 – The Jurassic system in Great Britain. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- ARKELL W.J., 1956 – Jurassic geology of the World. Oliver and Boyd, London.
- ARNOULD-SAGET S., 1953 – Les Ammonites pyriteuses du Tithonique Supérieur et du Berriasien de Tunisie Centrale. *Annales des Mines et de la Géologie*, **10**: 1–133.
- ASCOLI P., 1990 – Foraminiferal, ostracode and calpionellid zonation and correlation of 42 selected wells from the north Atlantic margin of North America. *Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology*, **38**: 485–492.
- ASCOLI P., POAG C.W., REMANE J., 1984 – Microfossil zonation across the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary on the Atlantic margin of North America. *In*: Jurassic-Cretaceous biochronology and paleogeography of North America (Ed. G.E.G. Westerman). *Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper*, **27**: 31–48.
- ATROPS F., BENEST M., Le HÉGARAT G., 1983 – Caractérisation du Tithonien supérieur au Djebel Recheiga (avant-pays tellien de la région de Tiaret, Algérie: milieu de dépôt. *Geobios*, **16**: 387–390.
- AUBRY M.-P., 2002 – The Paleocene/Eocene boundary global standard stratotype-section and point (GSSP): criteria for characterisation and correlation. *Tertiary Research*, **21**: 57–70.
- AZIMI R., SEYED-EMAMI K., SADEGHI A., 2008 – Introduction of Calpionellid zonation at the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the Shal Section (South-East of Khalkhal). *Geosciences Scientific Quarterly Journal*, **68**: 16–25.
- BAKALOVA D., 1977 – La succession à Calpionelles de la coupe près du village de Ginci, Bulgarie du Nord-Ouest. *Compte Rendu Académie Bulgare de Sciences*, **30**: 423–426.
- BAKALOVA-IVANOVA D., 1986 – Peculiarities of the Calpionella Zone in Bulgaria. *Acta Geologica Hungarica*, **29**: 89–92.
- BAKHMUTOV V.G., CASELLATO C.E., HALÁSOVÁ E., IVANOVA D.K., REHÁKOVÁ D., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2016 – Bio- and magnetostratigraphy of the Upper Tithonian–Lower Berriasian in southern Ukraine: 97–100. *In*: Proceedings Jurassica Conference (Eds. M. Michalík, K. Fekete). Slovak Academy of Science.
- BAKHMUTOV V.G., HALÁSOVÁ E., IVANOVA D.K., JÓZSA Š., REHÁKOVÁ D., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2018 – Biostratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy of the uppermost Tithonian–Lower Berriasian in the Theodosia area of Crimea (southern Ukraine). *Geological Quarterly*, **62**: 197–236.
- BARABOSHKIN E.Y., 1999 – Berriasian-Valanginian (Early Cretaceous) sea-ways of the Russian platform basin and the problem of Boreal/Tethyan correlation. *Geologica Carpathica*, **50**: 1–16.
- BARABOSHKIN E.Y., 2002 – Early Cretaceous seaways of the Russian platform and the problem of Boreal/Tethyan correlation. *In*: Tethyan/Boreal Cretaceous correlation. Mediterranean and Boreal Cretaceous paleobiogeographic areas in Central and Eastern Europe (Ed. J. Michalík). Publishing House of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava.
- BARABOSHKIN E.Y., GUZHIKOV A.Y., MANIKIN A.G., PIMENOV M.V., 2015 – Bio- and magnetostratigraphic data on the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary of the Kashpir and Gorodishchi sections (Volga region, Russia): 25–31. *In*: The Inter-

- national Scientific Conference on the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. September 7–13, 2015, Samara (Russia).
- BASSOULET J.-P., FOURCADE E., 1979 – Essai de synthèse de répartition de Foraminifères benthiques du Jurassique carbonaté mésogéen. *Compte Rendu Sommaire des Séances de la Société Géologique de France*, **2**: 69–71.
- BAUMGARTNER P.O., BARTOLINI A., CARTER E.S., CONTI M., CORTESE G., DANELIAN T., DE WEVER P., DUMITRICA P., DUMITRICA-JU R., GORICAN S., GUOX J., HU D.M., KITOL N., MARCUCCI M., MATSUOKA A., MURCHEY A.B., O'DOHERTY L., SAVARY J., VISHNEVSKAYA V., WIDZ D., YAO A., 1995 – Middle Jurassic to Early Cretaceous radiolarian biochronology of Tethys based on unitary associations. In: Middle Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous Radiolaria of Tethys: Occurrence, Systematics, Biochronology (Eds. P.O. Baumgartner et al.). *Mémoires de Géologie, Lausanne*, **23**: 1013–1043.
- BEN ABDESSELAM-MAHDAOUI S., BENZAGGAGH M., BOUHLEL S., RAZGALLAH S., 2010 – Nouvelle données biostratigraphiques sur les niveaux de passage Jurassique-Crétacé et les calcaires du Campanien dans le secteur de Hammam Zriba-Jebel Guebli (Tunisie septentrionale). *GeoEco-Trop*, **34**: 113–126.
- BEN ABDESSELAM-MAHDAOUI S.B., BENZAGGAGH M., RAZGALLAH S., REBAH A., RAKIA B., 2011 – Les associations des calpionelles du Berriasien et du Valanginien inférieur de la Tunisie septentrionale. Comparaison avec les associations du Rif externe (Maroc). *Comptes Rendus Paevol*, **10**: 527–535.
- BENZAGGAGH M., ATROPS F., 1995a – Les zones à *Chitinoïdella* et à *Crassicollaria* (Tithonien) dans la partie interne du Prérif (Maroc). Données nouvelles et a corrélation avec les zones d'ammonites. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris*, **320**: 227–234.
- BENZAGGAGH M., ATROPS F., 1995b – Données nouvelles sur la succession des calpionelles du Berriasien dans le Prérif interne et le Mésorif (Rif, Maroc). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris*, série Ila, **321**: 681–688.
- BENZAGGAGH M., ATROPS F., 1996 – Répartition stratigraphique des principales espèces de «microproblématiques» dans le Malm supérieur et le Berriasien du Prérif interne et du Mésorif (Rif, Maroc). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris*, série Ila, **322**: 661–668.
- BENZAGGAGH M., ATROPS F., 1997 – Stratigraphie et associations de faune d'ammonites des zones du Kimméridgien, Tithonien et Berriasien basal dans le Prérif interne (Rif, Maroc). *Newsletters on Stratigraphy*, **3**: 127–163.
- BENZAGGAGH M., CECCA F., ROUQUET I., 2010 – Biostratigraphic distribution of ammonites and calpionellids in the Tithonian of the internal Prerif (Msila area, Morocco). *Palaontologische Zeitschrift*, **84**: 301–315.
- BENZAGGAGH M., CECCA F., SCHNYDER J., SEYED-EMAMI K., REZA MAJIDIFARD M., 2012 – Calpionelles et microfaunes pélagiques du Jurassique supérieur – Crétacé inférieur dans les Formations Shal et Kolor (Montagnes du Talesh, chaîne de l'Elbourz, Nord-Ouest Iran). Répartition stratigraphique, espèces nouvelles, révision systématique et comparaisons régionaux. *Annales de Paléontologie*, **98**: 253–301.
- BERMUDEZ P.J., RODRIGUEZ D., 1962 – Notas sobre la presencia de Tintinnidos Calpionelas en Venezuela. *Asoc. Venez. Geol. Miner. Pétrol.*, **5**: 51–57.
- BIRKELUND T., CLAUSEN C.K., HANSEN H.N., HOLM L., 1983 – The Hectoceras kochi Zone (Ryazanian) in the North Sea Central Graben and remarks on the late Cimmerian unconformity. *Dansk Geol. Unders.*, **1982**: 53–72.
- BOGDANOVA T.N., ARKADIEV V.V., 1999 – The genus *Dalmasiceras* (Ammonoidea) from the Berriasian of the Mountainous Crimea. *Paleontological Journal*, **4**: 20–28.
- BOGDANOVA T.N., ARKADIEV V.V., 2005 – Revision of species of the ammonite genus *Pseudosubplanites* from the Berriasian of the Crimean mountains. *Cretaceous Research*, **26**: 488–506.
- BONET F., 1956 – Zonification microfaunistica de Cretácicas del Este de las calizas Mexico. *Boletín de la Asociación Mexicana de Geólogos Petroleros*, **8**: 389–488.
- BORZA K., 1965 – Das Vorkommen der Gattung *Chitinoïdella* Doben 1962 im Oberjura der Westkarpaten. *Geologický Sborník – Geologica Carpathica*, **16**: 3–5.
- BORZA K., 1966 – Neue Arten der Gattung *Chitinoïdella* Doben, 1962 in den Westkarpaten. *Geologický Sborník Slovenskej Akademie*, **17**: 259–265.
- BORZA K., 1984 – The Upper Jurassic – Lower Cretaceous parabiostatigraphic scale on the basis of Tintinninae, Cadosinidae, Stomiosphaeridae, Calcisphaerulidae and other microfossils from the West Carpathians. *Geologický Sborník – Geologica Carpathica*, **35**: 539–550.
- BORZA K., MICHALÍK J., 1986 – Problems with delimitation of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Western Carpathians. *Acta Geologica Hungarica*, **29**: 133–149.
- BOUDAGHER-FADEL M.K., 2018 – Evolution and geological significance of larger benthic foraminifera. Second Edition. University College London Press.
- BOUGHDIRI M., SALLOUHI H., MAALAOUI K., SOUSSI M., CORDEY F., 2006 – Calpionellid zonation of the Jurassic – Cretaceous transition in north Atlasic Tunisia. Updated Upper Jurassic stratigraphy of the “Tunisian Trough” and regional correlations. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, **338**: 1250–1259.
- BOUGHDIRI M., SALLOUHI H., HADDAD S., CORDEY F., SOUSSI M., 2009 – Integrated biostratigraphy and regional correlations of Upper Jurassic–lowermost Cretaceous series in northern Tunisia. *GFF*, **131**: 71–81.
- BOWN P.R. (Ed.), 1998 – Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy. British Micropalaeontological Society Publication Series. Chapman & Hall.
- BOWN P.R., COOPER M.K.E., 1998 – Jurassic: 34–85. In: Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy (Ed. P.R. Bown). Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- BRAGIN V.Y., 2011 – Radiolarians of the Volgian and Berriasian Stages of the North of Central Siberia. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **19**: 173–187.
- BRAGIN V.Y., DZYUBA O.S., KAZANSKY A.Y., SHURYGIN B.N., 2013 – New data on the magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary interval, Nordvik Peninsula (northern East Siberia). *Russian Geology and Geophysics*, **54**: 335–348.
- BRALOWER T.J., MONECHI S., THIERSTEIN H.R., 1989 – Calcareous nannofossil zonation of the Jurassic-Cretaceous

- boundary interval and correlation with the geomagnetic polarity timescale. *Marine Micropaleontology*, **14**: 153–235.
- BRALOWER T.J., LUDWIG K.R., OBRADOVICH J.D., 1990 – Berriasian (Early Cretaceous) radiometric ages from the Grindstone Creek Section, Sacramento Valley, California. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **98**: 62–73.
- BREISTROFFER M., 1964 – Sur la position stratigraphique des ammonites du berriasien de Berrias. *Travaux du Laboratoire de Géologie de Grenoble*, **40**: 275–286.
- BRONGNIART A., 1829 – Tableau des terrains qui composent l'écorce du globe: ou, Essai sur la structure de la partie connue de la terre. Levrault, Paris.
- BRÖNNIMANN P., 1953 – On the occurrence of Calpionellids in Cuba. *Eclogae Geologicae Helveticae*, **46**: 263–268.
- BRÖNNIMANN P., 1955 – Microfossils incertae sedis from the Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous of Cuba. *Micropaleontology*, **1**: 28–51.
- BRUN B., 1963 – Quelques tintinnoidiens du Berriasien associés à une macrofaune du Sud-Ouest Marocien. *Notes et Mémoires du Service Géologique*, **21**: 101–103.
- BRUNNSCHWEILER R.O., 1960 – Marine fossils from the Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous of Dampier Peninsula, Western Australia. *Bureau of Mineral Resources Australia Bulletin*, **58**: 3–53.
- BUCUR I.I., 1992 – Calpionellids and calcispheres from the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous deposits in the Resita-Moldova Noua zone, Southern Carpathians, Romania. *Cretaceous Research*, **13**: 565–576.
- BUCUR I.I., 1997 – Representatives of the genus *Protopenneroplis* (foraminifera) in the Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous deposits in Romania. Comparisons with other regions of the Tethyan area. *Acta Palaeontologica Romaniaae*, **1**: 65–71.
- BUCUR I.I., SASARAN E., 2005 – Micropalaeontological assemblages from the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous deposits of Trascau Mountains and their biostratigraphic significance. *Acta Palaeontologica Romaniaae*, **5**: 27–38.
- BULOT L.G., 1996 – The Valanginian stage. In: Proceedings second international symposium on Cretaceous stage boundaries (Eds. P.F. Rawson *et al.*). Brussels 8–16 September 1995. *Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre*, Suppl., Brussels.
- BULOT L.G., FRAU C., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2014 – New and poorly known Perisphinctoidea (Ammonitina) from the Upper Tithonian of Le Chouet (Drôme, SE France). *Volumina Jurassica*, **12**: 113–128.
- BURCKHARDT C., 1900 – Profils géologiques transversaux de la Cordillère Argentino-Chilienne. Stratigraphie et tectonique. *Anales del Museo de La Plata, Sección geológica y mineralógica*, **2**: 1–136.
- BURCKHARDT C., 1903 – Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Jura- und Kreideformation der Cordillere. *Palaeontographica*, **50**: 1–143.
- BUSNARDO R., Le HÉGARAT G., MAGNE J., 1963 – Le stratotype du Berriasien. Colloque sur le Crétacé inférieur (Lyon, septembre 1963). *Mémoires du Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières*, **34**: 5–33.
- BUTLER R.F., 1992 – Palaeomagnetism: magnetic domains to geologic terranes. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston.
- CADISCH J., 1932 – Ein Beitrag zum Calpionellen problem. *Geologische Rundschau*, **23**: 241–257.
- CALLOMON J.H., 1984 – A review of the biostratigraphy of the post-Lower Bajocian Jurassic ammonites of western North America. In: Jurassic-Cretaceous biochronology and paleogeography of North America (Ed. G.E.G. Westermann). *Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper*, **27**: 143–174.
- CALLOMON J.H., BIRKELUND T., 1982 – The ammonite zones of the Boreal Volgian (Upper Jurassic) in East Greenland. In: Arctic Geology and Geophysics (Eds. A.F. Embry, H.R. Balkwill). *Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists Memoir*, **8**: 348–369.
- CANTU CHAPA A., 1996 – ¿Qué hacer con las Calpionelas del límite Jurásico-Cretácico? *Revista Española de Micropaleontología*, **28**: 57–65.
- CAREVIC I., TAHERPOUR-KHALLIL M., MIRKOVIC M., JOVANOVIC V., MOJTAHEDIN E., VOSKOVIC D., 2018 – Calpionellid biostratigraphy and microfacies of the Upper Tithonian pelagic carbonates in northeastern Serbia (Carpatho-Balkanides). *Geologica Carpathica*, **69**: 301–311.
- CARPENTER S.J., LOHMANN K.C., 1995 –  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of modern brachiopods shells. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **59**: 3749–3764.
- CASELLATO C.E., 2010 – Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of Upper Callovian-Lower Berriasian successions from Southern Alps, North Italy. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, **116**: 357–404.
- CASEY R., 1973 – A review of the boreal Lower Cretaceous. In: The Boreal Lower Cretaceous (Eds. P.F. Rawson, R. Casey). *Geological Journal, Special Issue*, **5**: 415–430.
- CATALANO R., 1965 – Calpionelle di Calabianca (Castellammare, Sicilia). *Atti della Società Toscana Scienze Naturali*, **72**: 485–507.
- CATALANO R., LIGUORI V., 1971 – Facies a Calpionelle della Sicilia Occidentale: 167–209. In: Proceedings of the II Planktonic Conference. Roma, 1970 (Ed. A. Farinacci).
- CATALANO R., LIMA N., 1964 – Distribuzione di alcune Calpionelle nel Malm e nel Neocomiano di Castellammare del Golfo. *Rivista Mineraria Siciliana*, **14**: 1–9.
- CELESTINO R., WOHLWEND S., REHÁKOVÁ D., WEISERT H., 2017 – Carbon isotope stratigraphy, biostratigraphy and sedimentology of the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous Rayda Formation, Central Oman Mountains. *Newsletters on Stratigraphy*, **50**: 91–109.
- CERLING T.E., QUADE J., WANG Y., BOWMAN J.R., 1989 – Carbon isotopes in soils and palaeosols as ecology and palaeoecology indicators. *Nature*, **341**: 138–139.
- CHANNELL J.E.T., GRANDESSO P., 1987 – A revised correlation of Mesozoic polarity chrons and calpionellid zones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **85**: 222–240.
- CHANNELL J.E.T., ERBA E., NAKANISHI M., TAMAKI K., 1995 – Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous time scales and oceanic magnetic anomaly block models. In: Geochronology, time scales and global stratigraphic correlation (Eds. W.A. Berggren *et al.*). SEPM Special Publication, **54**: 51–63.
- CHANNELL J.E.T., CASELLATO C.E., MUTTONI G., ERBA E., 2010 – Magnetostratigraphy, nannofossil stratigraphy and ap-

- parent polar wander for Adria-Africa in the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary interval. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **293**: 51–75.
- CIRILLI S., MÁRTON P., VIGLI L., 1984 – Implications of a combined biostratigraphic and palaeomagnetic study of the Umbrian Maiolica Formation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **69**: 203–214.
- COLLIGNON M., 1962 – Atlas de fossiles caractéristiques de Madagascar (Ammonites). Fascicule 8. Berriasien, Valanginien, Hauterivien, Barremien. *Service Géologique, Tanarive*, **96**: 176–214.
- COLLOQUIUM, 1965 – Colloque sur la Crétacé inférieur, 1963, Lyon, 1965. *Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières Memoires*, **34**: 1–840.
- COLLOQUIUM, 1975 – Colloque sur la limite Jurassique-Crétacé, 1973, Lyon-Neuchâtel, 1975. *Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières Memoires*, **86**: 1–393.
- COLOM G., 1934 – Estudios sobre las Calpionelas. *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Española de Historia Natural*, **34**: 379–389.
- COLOM G., 1939 – Tintinnidos fosiles (Infusorios Oligotricos). *Las Ciencias*, **4**: 815–825.
- COLOM G., 1948 – Fossil tintinnids: loricated Infusoria of the order of the Oligotricha. *Journal of Paleontology*, **22**: 233–263.
- COLOM G., 1950 – Los Tintinnidos fosiles. Infusorios del orden de los Oligotricos. *Estudios Geológicos*, **6**: 105–171.
- COLOM G., 1965 – Essais sur la biologie, la distribution, géographique et stratigraphique des Tintinnoidiens fossils. *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae*, **58**: 319–334.
- CONCHEYRO A., BOWN P., 2004 – Lower Cretaceous calcareous nannoplankton from the Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *Marine Micropaleontology*, **52**: 51–84.
- COQUAND H., 1871 – Sur le Klippenkalk des départements du Var et des Alpes Maritimes. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, **28**: 208–234.
- CRESTA S., MONECHI S., PARISI G., 1989 – Stratigraphia di mesozoico e cenozoico nell'area Umbro Marchigiana. *Memoria Descrittore della Carta Geologica d'Italia*, **39**: 125–129.
- DALSEG T.S., NAKREM H.A., SMELROR M., 2016 – Dinoflagellate biostratigraphy, palynofacies, depositional environment and sequence stratigraphy of the Agardhfjellet Formation (Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous) in Central Spitsbergen (Arctic Norway). *Norwegian Journal of Geology*, **96**: 119–133.
- DAVEY R.J., 1979 – The stratigraphic distribution of dinocysts in the Portlandian (Latest Jurassic) to Barremian (Early Cretaceous) of Northwest Europe. *American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists, Contributions Series*, **5B**: 49–81.
- DEFLANDRE G., 1936 – Tintinnoidiens et Calpionelles. Comparaison entre les Tintinnoidiens, Infusorios loriqués pélagiques des mers actuelles, et les Calpionelles, microfossiles de l'époque secondaire. *Bulletin de la Société Française de Microscopie*, **5**: 112–122.
- DERA G., BRIGAUD B., MONNA F., LAFFONT R., PUCÉAT E., DECONINCK J.-F., PELLENARD P., JOACHIMSKI M.M., DURLET C., 2011 – Climatic ups and downs in a disturbed Jurassic world. *Geology*, **39**: 215–218.
- DESOR E., 1854 – Quelques mots sur l'étage inférieur du groupe néocomien. *Bulletin de la Société des Sciences Naturelles de Neuchâtel*, **3**: 172–177.
- DOBEN K., 1962 – Paläontologisch-stratigraphische und fazielle Untersuchungen an der Jura/Kreide-Grenze in den bayrischen Kalkalpen zwischen Inn und Salzach [Univ. München. Diss.].
- DOBEN K., 1963 – Über Calpionelliden an der Jura/Kreide-Grenze. *Mitteilungen der Bayerischen Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und historische Geologie*, **3**: 35–50.
- DODEKOVA L., 2004 – Dinoflagellate cysts from the Bathonian-Tithonian (Jurassic) of North Bulgaria. III Tithonian dinoflagellate cysts. *Geologica Balcanica*, **24**: 11–46.
- DONZE P., Le HÉGARAT G., MEMMI L., 1975 – Les formations de la limite Jurassique-Crétacé en Tunisie septentrionale (Djebel Oust). Série lithologique; résultats biostratigraphiques et paléogéographiques d'après les ammonites, les calpionelles et les ostracodes. *Géobios*, **8**: 147–151.
- DOYLE P., KELLY S.R.A., 1988 – The Jurassic and Cretaceous belemnites of Kong Karls Land, Svalbard. *Norsk Polarinstitutt Skrifter*, **189**: 1–77.
- DRAGASTAN O., 2011 – Early Cretaceous foraminifera, algal nodules and Calpionellids from the Lapoş Valley, Bicz Gorges (Eastern Carpathians, Romania). *Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii "Al. I. Cuza" din Iasi Seria Geologie*, **57**: 91–113.
- DREYFUS M. (Ed.), 1956 – France, Belgique, Pays-Bas, Luxembourg: Lexique stratigraphique international. Vol. 1 Europe, fasc. 4a.
- Du CHENE R.J., BUSNARDO R., CHAROLLAIS J., CLAVEL B., DECONINCK J.-F., EMMANUEL M.L., GARDIN S., GORIN G., MANIVIT H., MONTEIL E., REYNAUD J.-F., REYNARD M., STEFFEN D., STEINHAUSER N., STRASSER A., STROHMENGER C., VAIL P.R., 1993 – Sequence stratigraphic interpretation of Upper Tithonian-Berriasian reference sections in south-east France: a multidisciplinary approach. *Bulletin des Centres de Recherches Exploration – Production Elf-Aquitaine*, **17**: 151–181.
- DURAND DELGA M., 1957 – Une nouvelle forme de Calpionelles. *Publications du Service de la Carte Géologique de l'Algérie (N.S.)*, **13**: 165–170.
- DURAND DELGA M., 1973 – Les Calpionelles du golfe de Gascogne, témoins de l'ouverture de l'Atlantique Nord. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, **7**: 22–24.
- DURAND DELGA M., REY J., 1982 – Découvertes de Calpionelles dans le Jurassique terminal et la Crétacée de l'Algarve oriental (Portugal). *Comptes Rendus Academie des Sciences Paris*, **295**: 237–242.
- DZYUBA O.S., 2010 – Cylindroteuthid belemnite correlation of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary strata in Northern Siberia and Northern California. *Earth Science Frontiers*, **17**: 79–80.
- DZYUBA O.S., 2012 – Belemnites and biostratigraphy of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary deposits of northern east Siberia: new data on the Nordvik Peninsula. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **20**: 53–72.
- DZYUBA O.S., 2013 – Belemnites in the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary interval of the Maurya and Yatriya River Sections, Western Siberia: biostratigraphic significance and dynamics of taxonomic diversity. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **21**: 189–214.
- DZYUBA O.S., IZOKH O.P., SHURYGIN B.N., 2013 – Carbon isotope excursions in Boreal Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary

- sections and their correlation potential. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **381/382**: 33–46.
- EDGEHILL H., 1971 – Calpionellid stratigraphy of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in south-east Iran. In: Jurassic Colloquium Luxembourg 1967. *Mémoires du Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières*, **75**: 231–247.
- ELBRA T., SCHNABL P., ČÍŽKOVÁ K., PRUNER P., KDÝR Š., GRABOWSKI J., REHÁKOVÁ D., SVOBODOVÁ A., FRAU C., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2018a – Palaeo- and rock magnetic investigations across Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary at St Bertrand's Spring, Drôme, France – Applications to magnetostratigraphy. *Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica*, **62**: 323–338.
- ELBRA T., BUBÍK M., REHÁKOVÁ D., SCHNABL P., ČÍŽKOVÁ K., PRUNER P., KDÝR Š., SVOBODOVÁ A., ŠVÁBENICKÁ L., 2018b – Magneto- and biostratigraphy across the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the Kurovice section, Western Carpathians, Czech Republic. *Cretaceous Research*, **89**: 211–223.
- ELIÁŠ M., MARTINEC P., REHÁKOVÁ D., VAŠÍČEK Z., 1996 – Geology and stratigraphy of the Kurovice Limestone and Tlumačov Marl Formation at the Kurovice quarry (Upper Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous, Outer Western Carpathians, Czech Republic). *Věstník Českého Geologického Ústavu*, **71**: 259–275 [in Czech].
- EMBERGER J., MAGNE J., 1956 – Présence de Calpionelles dans les séries néritiques du Berriasien et du Néocomien supérieur des Monts des Oulad-Nail (Atlas Saharien, Algérie). *Compte Rendu Sommaire des Seances de la Société Géologique de France*, **11**: 190–193.
- FATMI A.N., 1977 – Neocomian ammonites from northern areas of Pakistan. *Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History, Geology*, **28**: 255–296.
- FERASIN F., RIGATO G., 1957 – Studi sui Tintinnididi fossili delle Prealpi Venete. *Memorie dell'Accademia Patavina di Scienze Lettere ed Arti*, **69**: 1–26.
- FERNANDEZ-CARMONA J., RICCARDI A.C., 1998 – Primer hallazgo de *Chitinoidea* Dohrn en el Tithoniano de la Argentina. X Congreso Latinoamericano de Geología y VI Congreso Nacional de Geología Económica (Buenos Aires).
- FERNANDEZ-CARMONA J., RICCARDI A.C., 1999 – Primer reporte de calpionélidos calcáreos del Cretácico inferior – Berriasiano de la provincia del Tethys en la República Argentina: conexión Tethys-Pacífico: 465–466. In: 5th Simposio sobre o Cretaceo do Brasil, Boletim.
- FILIPESCU M., DRAGASTAN O., 1963 – Restes de Tintinnidae dans les dépôts Tithoniques et Néocomiens de là R.P. Roumanie. *Academiei Republicii Populare Romine Sectia Geologie-Geografie*, **8**: 333–356.
- FISHER R., 1953 – Dispersion on a sphere. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, **217**: 295–305.
- FÖLLMI K.B., 2012 – Early Cretaceous life, climate and anoxia. *Cretaceous Research*, **35**: 230–257.
- FÖLLMI K.B., WEISSERT H., BISPING M., FUNK H., 1994 – Phosphogenesis, carbon-isotope stratigraphy, and carbonate-platform evolution along the Lower Cretaceous northern Tethyan margin. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **106**: 729–746.
- FORBES E., 1851 – On the succession of strata and distribution of organic remains in the Dorsetshire Purbecks. *Report of the British Association for the Advancement of Science for 1850*: 79–81.
- FRAU C., BULOT B.G., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2015 – The Upper Tithonian Himalayitidae Spath, 1925 (Perisphinctoidea, Ammonitina) from Le Chouet (Drome, France): implications for the systematics. *Geologica Carpathica*, **66**: 117–132.
- FRAU C., BULOT B.G., REHÁKOVÁ D., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2016a – Revision of the ammonite index species *Berriasella jacobii* Mazenot, 1939 and its consequences for the biostratigraphy of the Berriasian Stage. *Cretaceous Research*, **66**: 94–114.
- FRAU C., BULOT B.G., WIMBLETON W.A.P., IFRIM C., 2016b – Systematic palaeontology of the Perisphinctoidea in the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary interval at Le Chouet (Drome, France), and its implications for biostratigraphy. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **66**: 157–177.
- FRAU C., BULOT B.G., WIMBLETON W.A.P., IFRIM C., 2016c – Late Tithonian Himalayitidae Spath, 1925 and Neocomitidae Salfeld, 1921 (Perisphinctoidea, Ammonoidea) from Charens (Drôme, France). *Geologica Carpathica*, **67**: 543–559.
- FRAU C., WIMBLETON W.A.P., IFRIM C., BULOT L.G., POHL A., in press – Berriasian ammonites of supposed Tethyan origin from the type “Ryazanian”, Central Russia: a systematic re-interpretation. *Palaeoworld*.
- FREBOLD H., 1961 – The Jurassic faunas of the Canadian Arctic. Middle and Upper Jurassic ammonites. *Geological Survey of Canada Bulletin*, **74**: 1–43.
- FURRAZOLA-BERMÚDEZ G., 1965 – Tres nuevas especies de tintinidos del Jurásico Superior de Cuba. *Instituto Cubano de Recursos Minerales, Publicacion Especial*, **2**: 3–39.
- FURRAZOLA-BERMÚDEZ G., KREISEL K., 1973 – The fossil tintinnids of Cuba. *Revista Tecnologia*, **11**: 27–45 [in Spanish].
- GALBRUN B., 1984 – Magnetostratigraphic de la limite Jurassique-Crétacé. Proposition d'une échelle de polarité à partir du stratotype du Berriasien (Berrias, Ardèche, France) et de la Sierra de Lugar (Province de Murcie, Espagne) [These troisième cycle]. Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris.
- GALBRUN B., 1985 – Magnetostratigraphy of the Berriasian stratotype section (Berrias, France). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **74**: 130–136.
- GALBRUN B., RASPLUS L., 1984 – Magnetostratigraphic du stratotype du Berriasien. Premiers résultats. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris*, **298**: 219–222.
- GALBRUN B., BERTHOUS P.Y., MOUSSIN C., AZEMA J., 1990 – Magnetostratigraphie de la limite Jurassique-Cretace en facies de plate-forme carbonatee; la coupe de Bias do Norte (Algarve, Portugal). *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, **6**: 133–143.
- GALLOWAY J.G., VICKERS M., PRICE G.D., POULTON T.P., GRASBY S.E., HADLARI T., BEAUCHAMP B., SULPHUR K., 2019 – Finding the VOICE: organic carbon isotope chemostratigraphy of the Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous of Arctic Canada. *Geological Magazine*. Doi: 10.1017/S0016756819001316.
- GERASIMOV P.A., MIKHAILOV N.P., 1966 – Volgian Stage and the geostatigraphical for the upper series of the Jurassic System. *Izvestia Akademii Nauk SSSR Series Geology*, **1977**, **7**: 14–33.
- GERTH H., 1925 – Contribuciones a la estratigrafía de los Andes argentines. I: Estratigrafía y distribución de los sedimentos mesozoicos en los Andes Argentinos. *Actas de la Academia Nacional de Ciencias en Córdoba (Rep. Argentina)*, **9**: 7–55.



- GRABOWSKI J., 2011 – Magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary interval in the Western Tethys and its correlations with other regions: a review. *Volumina Jurassica*, **9**: 105–128.
- GRABOWSKI J., PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 2006 – Magneto- and biostratigraphy of the Tithonian–Berriasian pelagic sediments in the Tatra Mountains (central western Carpathians, Poland): sedimentary and rock magnetic changes at the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. *Cretaceous Research*, **27**: 398–417.
- GRABOWSKI J., HAAS J., MARTON E., PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 2010 – Magneto- and biostratigraphy of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Lokút section (Transdanubian Range, Hungary). *Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica*, **54**: 1–26.
- GRABOWSKI J., HAAS J., STOYKOVA K., WIERZBOWSKI H., BRAŃSKI P., 2017 – Environmental changes around the Jurassic/Cretaceous transition: new nannofossils, chemostratigraphic and stable isotope data from the Lók'ut section (Transdanubian Range, Hungary). *Sedimentary Geology*, **360**: 54–72.
- GRABOWSKI J., BAKHMUTOV V., KDYR S., KROBICKI M., PRUNER P., SCHNABL P., STOYKOVA K., WIERZBOWSKI H., 2019 – Integrated stratigraphy and palaeoenvironmental interpretation of the Upper Kimmeridgian to Lower Berriasian pelagic sequences of the Velykyi Kamianets section (Pieniny Klippen Belt, Ukraine). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **532**: DOI: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2019.05.038.
- GRADSTEIN F.M., AGTERBERG F.P., OGG J.G., HARDENBOL J., VEEN P.V., THIERRY J., HUANG Z., 1995 – Comparison of Cretaceous Time Scales. *Geochronology Time Scales and Global Stratigraphic Correlation*, **54**: 95–126.
- GRADSTEIN F.M., OGG J.G., SCHMITZ M., OGG G. (Eds.), 2012 – The geologic time scale. Elsevier.
- GRADSTEIN F.M., WASKOWSKA A., KOPAEVICH L., WATKINS D.K., FRIIS H., PEREZ PANERA J., 2018 – Berriasian planktonic foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils from Crimea Mountains, with reference to microfossil evolution. *Swiss Journal of Palaeontology*, **138**: 213–236. doi: 10.1007/s13358-018-0175-8.
- GRANDESSO P., 1977 – Gli strati a Precalpcionellidi del Titoniano e i loro rapporti con il Rosso Ammonitico Veneto. *Memoire di Scienze Geologiche*, **32**: 1–15.
- GRANIER B., 2019 – Dual biozonation scheme (benthic foraminifera and “calcareous” green algae) over the Jurassic–Cretaceous transition. Another plea to revert the system boundary to its historical Orbigny’s and Oppel’s definition. *Cretaceous Research*, **93**: 245–274.
- GRANIER B., BUCUR I.I., 2011 – Stratigraphic ranges of some Tithonian–Berriasian benthic foraminifers and Dasycladales. Re-evaluation of their use in identifying this stage boundary in carbonate platform settings. *Boletín del Instituto de Fisiografía y Geología*, 79–81.
- GRÖCKE D.R., PRICE G.D., RUFFELL A.H., MUTTERLOSE J., BARABOSHKIN E., 2003 – Isotopic evidence for Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous climate change. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **202**: 97–118.
- GRÜN B., BLAU J., 1997 – New aspects of calpionellid biochronology: proposal for a revised calpionellid zonal and subzonal division. *Revue de Paléobiologie*, **16**: 197–214.
- GRÜN B., BLAU J., 1999 – On *Praecalpionellites* POP (Calpionellidae BONET) and description of *Praecalpionellites hillebrandti* n. sp. (Lower Cretaceous, Italy). *Neues Jahrbuch Für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, **211**: 201–212.
- GUZHIKOV A.Y., BARABOSHKIN E.Y., 2008 – New magnetostratigraphic data on boreal Neocomian of the Bojarka River key section (northern Siberia): 66–69. In: Cretaceous System of Russia and adjacent countries: problems of stratigraphy and palaeogeography. Proceedings of the Fourth All-Russian meeting Novosibirsk.
- GUZHIKOV A.Y., EREMIN V.N., 1999 – Regional magnetic zonation scheme for the Berriasian–lower Aptian from the North Caucasus. In: Peri-Tethys: stratigraphic correlations 3 (Eds. S. Crasquin-Soleau, P. De Wever). *Geodiversitas*, **21**: 387–406.
- GUZHIKOV A.Y., ARKADIEV V.V., BARABOSHKIN E.Y., BAGAEVA M.I., PISKUNOV V.K., RUD’KO S.V., PERMINOV V.A., MANIKIN A.G., 2012 – New sedimentological, bio-, and magnetostratigraphic data on the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary interval of Eastern Crimea (Feodosiya). *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **20**: 261–294.
- GUZHIKOV A.Y., ARKADIEV V.V., BARABOSHKIN E.Y., FEODOROV, A.A., SHUREKOVA O.V., BARABOSHKIN E.E., MANIKIN A.G., GOLOZUBOV V.V., KASATKIN S.A., NECHAEV V.P., 2016 – New bio- and magnetostratigraphic data at the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary of the Chigan Cape (Vladivostok Region, Russia): 101–104. In: XXII Jurassica Conference Smolenice, Slovakia. Field Trip Guide and Abstracts Book. Slovak Academy of Sciences. Bratislava, 2016.
- HAGGART J.W., MATSUKAWA M., 2019 – New belemnite records from the Mitarai Formation, Tetori Group, Japan: delimitation of the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary in the Japanese Islands. *Cretaceous Research*, **111**, doi: 10.1016/j.cretres.2019.104281.
- HAMMER Ø., COLLIGNON M., NAKREM H.A., 2012 – Organic carbon isotope chemostratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy in the Volgian of Svalbard. *Norwegian Journal of Geology*, **92**: 103–112.
- HAQ B.U., 2017 – Jurassic Sea-Level Variations: A Reappraisal. *GSA Today*, **1**: 1–7. doi:10.1130/GSATG359A.1.
- HAQ B.U., HARDENBOL J., VAIL P.R., 1987 – Chronology of fluctuating sea levels since the Triassic. *Science*, **235**: 1156–1167.
- HARDING I.C., SMITH G.A., RIDING J.B., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2011 – Inter-regional correlation of Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary strata based on the Tithonian–Valanginian dinoflagellate cyst biostratigraphy of the Volga Basin, western Russia. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, **147**: 82–116.
- HEIM A., GANSSER A., 1939 – Central Himalaya. Geological Observations of the Swiss Expedition, 1936. Gebrüder Fretz.
- HOEDEMAEKER P.J., 1981 – The Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary near Miravetes (Caravaca, SE Spain); arguments for a position at the base of the Occitanica Zone. *Cuadernos Geológicos*, **10**: 235–247.
- HOEDEMAEKER P.J., 1982 – Ammonite biostratigraphy of the uppermost Tithonian, Berriasian, and lower Valanginian along the Río Argos (Caravaca, SE Spain). *Scripta Geologica*, **65**: 1–81.
- HOEDEMAEKER P.J., 1987 – Correlation possibilities around the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. *Scripta Geologica*, **84**: 1–64.

- HOEDEMAEKER, P.J., 1990 – The Neocomian boundaries of the Tethyan Realm based on the distribution of ammonites. *Cretaceous Research*, **11**: 331–342.
- HOEDEMAEKER P.J., 2002 – Correlating the uncorrelatables: a Tethyan-Boreal correlation of Pre-Aptian Cretaceous strata. 235–284. *In*: Tethyan/Boreal Cretaceous Correlation (Ed. J. Michalik). Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava.
- HOEDEMAEKER P.J., KRS M., MAN O., PARÉS J.M., PRUNER P., VENHODOVA D., 1998 – The Neogene remagnetization and petromagnetic study of the Early Cretaceous limestone beds from the Río Argos (Caravaca, Province of Murcia, SE Spain). *Geologica Carpathica*, **49**: 15–32.
- HOEDEMAEKER P.J., JANNSEN N.M.M., CASELLATO C.E., GARDI S., REHÁKOVÁ D., JAMRICOVA M., 2016 – Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the Río Argos succession (Caravaca, SE Spain). *Revue de Paleobiologie*, **35**: 111–247.
- HOTTINGER L., 1967 – Foraminifères imperforés du Mésozoïque marocain. *Notes et Mémoires du Service Géologique*, **209**: 1–130.
- HOUŠA V., KRS M., KRISOVÁ M., PRUNER P., 1996a – Magnetostratigraphy of Jurassic–Cretaceous limestones in the Western Carpathians. *In*: Palaeomagnetism and tectonics of the Mediterranean region (Eds. A. Morris, D.H. Tarling). *Geological Society London Special Publication*, **105**: 185–194.
- HOUŠA V., KRS M., KRISOVÁ M., PRUNER P., 1996b – Magnetostratigraphic and micropaleontological investigations along the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary strata, Brodno near Žilina (Western Slovakia). *Geologica Carpathica*, **47**: 135–151.
- HOUŠA V., KRS M., KRISOVÁ M., MAN O., PRUNER P., VENHODOVÁ D., 1999 – High-resolution magnetostratigraphy and micropaleontology across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary strata at Brodno near Žilina, western Slovakia: summary of results. *Cretaceous Research*, **20**: 699–717.
- HOUŠA V., KRS M., MAN O., PRUNER P., VENHODOVÁ D., CECCA F., NARD G., PISCITELLO M., 2004 – Combined magnetostratigraphic, palaeomagnetic and calpionellid investigations across Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary strata in the Bosso Valley, Umbria, Central Italy. *Cretaceous Research*, **25**: 771–785.
- HOUŠA V., PRUNER P., ZAKHAROV V.A., KOSTAK M., CHADIMA M., ROGOV M.A., SLECHTA S., MAZUCH M., 2007 – Boreal-Tethyan correlation of the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary interval by magneto- and biostratigraphy. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **15**: 297–309.
- HOWARTH M.K., 1992 – Tithonian and Berriasian ammonites from the Chia Gara Formation in northern Iraq. *Palaeontology*, **35**: 597–655.
- HOWARTH R.J., McARTHUR J.M., 1997 – Statistics for strontium isotope stratigraphy: a robust LOWESS fit to the marine Sr-isotope curve for 0 to 206 Ma, with look-up table for derivation of numeric age. *The Journal of Geology*, **105**: 441–456.
- HUANG C., HESSELBO S.P., HINNOV L., 2010a – Astrochronology of the late Jurassic Kimmeridge Clay (Dorset, England) and implications for Earth system processes. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **289**: 242–255.
- HUANG C., HINNOV L., FISCHER A.G., GRIPPO A., HERBERT T., 2010b – Astronomical tuning of the Aptian Stage from Italian reference sections. *Geology*, **38**: 899–902.
- HUDSON R.G.S., CHATTON M., 1959 – The Masandam Limestone (Jurassic and lower Cretaceous) of Oman, Arabia. *Notes et Memoires sur le Moyen-Orient*, **7**: 69–93.
- HUNT C.O., 1985 – Miospores from the Portland Stone Formation and the lower part of the Purbeck Formation (Upper Jurassic/Lower Cretaceous) from Dorset, England. *Pollen et Spores*, **27**: 419–451.
- HUNT C.O., 2004 – Palynostratigraphy of the classic Portland and Purbeck sequences of Dorset, Southern England, and the correlation of Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary beds in the Tethyan and Boreal realms. *In*: The palynology and micropalaeontology of boundaries (Eds. A.B. Beaudoin, M.J. Head). *Geological Society, London, Special Publication*, **230**: 175–186.
- IGLESIA LLANOS M.P.I., RAPALINI A.E., KIETZMANN D.A., VASQUEZ C.A., PALMA R., 2015 – Palaeomagnetism of the Jurassic-Cretaceous Vaca Muerta Formation, Neuquén basin, Argentina. Proceedings São Paulo, Brasil. Special Issue B16. *Latinmag Letters*, **6**: 1–3.
- IGLESIA LLANOS M.P.I., KIETZMANN D.A., MARTINEZ M.H., PALMA R.M., 2017 – Magnetostratigraphy of the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous from Argentina: implications for the J-K boundary in the Neuquén Basin. *Cretaceous Research*, **70**: 189–208.
- IMLAY R.W., JONES D.L., 1970 – Ammonites from the Buchia Zones in Northwestern California and Southwestern Oregon. *United States Geological Survey Professional Paper*, **647B**: B1–B59.
- IVANOVA D., 1997 – Upper Jurassic zonation on cadosinids, stomiosphaerids and calpionellids of the Central Forebalkan, Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica*, **27**: 33–47.
- IVANOVA D.K., KIETZMANN D.A., 2016 – Calcareous dinoflagellate cysts from the Tithonian – Valanginian Vaca Muerta Formation in the southern Mendoza area of the Neuquén Basin, Argentina. Conference abstract: 20. *In*: VI Simposio Argentino del Jurásico, Malargüe.
- IVANOVA D.K., KIETZMANN D.A., 2017 – Calcareous dinoflagellate cysts from the Tithonian – Valanginian Vaca Muerta Formation in the southern Mendoza area of the Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **77**: 150–169.
- IVANOVA D., STOYKOVA K., LAKOVA I., 2000 – New microfossil data on the age relationship between Slivnitsa and Salash Formation in Dragoman region, Western Bulgaria. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie Bulgare des Sciences*, **53**: 77–81.
- IVANOVA D., LAKOVA I., POLISHINA P., KOLEVA-REKALOVA E., 2002 – Joint biostratigraphy and lithofacies of Berriasian and Valanginian limestones from subsurface sections in NE Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica*, **32**: 63–67.
- JACH R., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2019 – Middle to Late Jurassic carbonate-biosiliceous sedimentation and paleoenvironment in the Tethyan Patricum domain, Křižna Nappe, Tatra Mts., Western Carpathians. *Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae*, **89**: 1–46.
- JAKUBOWSKI M., 1987 – A proposed Lower Cretaceous calcareous nannofossil zonation scheme for the Moray Firth Area of the North Sea. *Abhandlung Geologisches Bundesanstalt*, **39**: 99–119.

- JANSA L.F., REMANE J., ASCOLI F., 1980 – Calpionellid and foraminiferal-ostracod biostratigraphy at the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary, offshore Eastern Canada. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, **86**: 67–126.
- JELETZKY J.A., 1965 – Late Upper Jurassic and Early Lower Cretaceous fossil zones of the Canadian western Cordillera, British Columbia. *Geological Survey of Canada Bulletin*, **103**: 1–70.
- JELETZKY J.A., 1966 – Upper Volgian (latest Jurassic) ammonites and buchias of Arctic Canada. *Geological Survey of Canada Bulletin*, **128**: 1–51.
- JELETZKY J.A., 1984 – Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary beds of western and Arctic Canada and the problem of the Tithonian–Berriasian stages in the Boreal Realm. In: Jurassic and Cretaceous biochronology and paleogeography of North America. (Ed. Westermann G.E.G.). *The Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper*, **27**: 175–250.
- JENKYN H.C., JONES C.E., GRÖCKE D.R., HESSELBO S.P., PARKINSON D.N., 2002 – Chemostratigraphy of the Jurassic system: applications, limitations and implications for paleoceanography. *Journal of the Geological Society of London*, **159**: 351–378.
- JONES C.E., JENKYN H.C., 2001 – Seawater strontium isotopes, oceanic anoxic events, and seafloor hydrothermal activity in the Jurassic and Cretaceous. *American Journal of Science*, **301**: 112–149.
- JONES C.E., JENKYN H.C., COE A.L., HESSELBO S.P., 1994 – Strontium isotopic variations in Jurassic and Cretaceous seawater. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **58**: 3061–3074.
- JONES D.L., BAILEY E.H., IMLAY R.W., 1969 – Structural and stratigraphic significance of the Buchia Zones in the Colyear Springs – Paskenta Area, California. *USGS Professional Paper*, **647-A**: 1–24.
- KANUNGO S., YOUNG J., SKOWRON G., 2017 – Microfossils: calcareous nannoplankton (nannofossils). In: Encyclopedia of Petroleum Geoscience. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-02330-4\_4-2.
- KIESSLING W., 1999 – Radiolarian diversity patterns in the latest Jurassic–earliest Cretaceous. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **187**: 179–206.
- KIETZMANN D.A., 2017 – Chitinoideids from the Early Tithonian–Early Valanginian Vaca Muerta Formation in the Northern Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **76**: 152–164.
- KIETZMANN D.A., IGLESIA LLANOS M.P., 2018 – Comment on “Tethyan calpionellids in the Neuquén Basin (Argentine Andes), their significance in defining the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary and pathways for Tethyan–Eastern Pacific connections” by López-Martínez R., Aguirre Urreta B., Lescano M., Concheyro A., Vennari V. & Ramos V.A. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **84**: 444–447.
- KIETZMANN D.A., BLAU J., RICCARDI A.C., PALMA R.M., 2011 – An interesting finding of chitinoideids (Calpionellidea Bonet) in the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary of the Neuquén Basin: 1480–1481. In: 18° Congreso Geológico Argentino, Actas CD: Neuquén.
- KIETZMAN D.A., IGLESIA-LLANOS M.P., KOHAN MARTÍNEZ M., 2018a – Astronomical calibration of the Upper Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous in the Neuquén Basin, Argentina: a contribution from the Southern Hemisphere to the Geologic Time Scale. In: Stratigraphy & Timescales 3 (Ed. M. Montanari). Elsevier.
- KIETZMANN D., IGLESIA LLANOS M.P., IVANOVA D.K., KOHAN MARTÍNEZ M., STURLESÍ M.A., 2018b – Toward a multidisciplinary chronostratigraphic calibration for the Jurassic–Cretaceous transition in the Neuquén Basin. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina*, **75**: 175–187.
- KILIAN W., 1889 – Études paléontologiques sur les terrains secondaires et tertiaires de l’Andalousie. In: (Ed. M. Bertrand, W. Kilian). Mission d’Andalousie. *Mémoires de l’Académie des Sciences de l’Institut National de France, Paris*, **30**, 2, 601–739.
- KIRSCHVINK J.L., 1980 – The least-squares line and plane and the analysis of palaeomagnetic data. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **62**: 699–718.
- KOEVOETS M.J., ABAY T.B., HAMMER Ø., OLAUSSE S., 2016 – High-resolution organic carbon-isotope stratigraphy of the Middle Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous Agardhjellet Formation of central Spitsbergen, Svalbard. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **449**: 266–274.
- KOTETISHVILI E.V., 1988 – Distribution globale des ammonites éocétacées du Caucase. In: Cephalopods–Present and Past (Eds. J. Wiedmann, J. Kullmann). Schweizerbart, Stuttgart.
- KOWAL-KASPRZYK J., 2018 – Calpionellid zones of the Tithonian–Berriasian exotic limestone clasts from the Outer Carpathians, Poland. *Cretaceous Research*, **81**: 36–50.
- KOWAL-KASPRZYK J., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2019 – A morphometric analysis of loricae of the genus Calpionella and its significance for the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary interpretation. *Newsletters on Stratigraphy*, **52**: 33–54.
- KRAJEWSKI M., OLSZEWSKA B., 2007 – Foraminifera from the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous carbonate platform facies of the southern part of the Crimea Mountains, southern Ukraine. *Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae*, **77**: 291–311.
- KRANTZ F., 1928 – La fauna del Titono superior y medio de la parte meridional de la provincia de Mendoza. *Actas de la Academia Nacional de Ciencias en Córdoba* (Rep. Argentina), **10**: 1–57.
- KREISEL K., FURRAZOLA-BERMÚDEZ G., 1971 – Notas preliminares sobre la distribución de Tintínidos en Cuba. *Departamento de Geología, Ministerio de Minería, Combustible y Metalurgia, Publicación Especial*, **5**: 1–24.
- KUZNETSOV A.B., IZOKH O.P., DZYUBA O.S., SHURYGIN B.N., 2017 – Sr isotope composition in belemnites from the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary section (Maurynya River, Western Siberia). *Doklady Earth Sciences*, **477**: 1408–1413.
- KUZNETSOVA K.I., GORBACHIK T.N., 1985 – Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous Stratigraphy and Foraminifers of the Crimea. Nauka, Moscow [in Russian].
- KVANTALIANI I.V., 1989 – Early Cretaceous ammonitids of the Crimea and Caucasus and their biostratigraphic significance. Trudy Geologicheskogo Instituta AN Gruzii, Moscow.
- KVANTALIANI I.V., 1999 – Berriasian Cephalopoda of the Crimea and Caucasus. *Proceedings of the Geological Institute of the Georgian Academy of Sciences*, **112**: 1–284.
- LAFITTE R., 1937 – Sur les Calpionelles en Algérie. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, **10**: 113–115.

- LAKOVA I., 1993 – Middle Tithonian to Berriasian praecalpionellid and calpionellid zonation of the Western Balkanides, Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica*, **23**: 3–24.
- LAKOVA I., 1994 – Numerical criteria of precise delimitation of the calpionellid Crassicolonia and Calpionella Zones in relation to the Jurassic/Cretaceous system boundary. *Geologica Balcanica*, **24**: 23–30.
- LAKOVA I., PETROVA S., 2013 – Towards a standard Tithonian to Valanginian calpionellid zonation of the Tethyan Realm. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **63**: 201–221.
- LAKOVA I., STOYKOVA K., IVANOVA D., 1999 – Calpionellid, nannofossil and calcareous dinocyst events and integrated biochronology of the Tithonian to Valanginian in the Western Balkanides, Bulgaria. *Geologica Carpathica*, **50**: 151–168.
- LAKOVA I., TCHOUMATCHENCO P., IVANOVA D., KOLEVA-REKALOVA E., 2007 – Callovian to Lower Cretaceous pelagic carbonates in the West Balkan Mountain (Komshtitsa and Barlya sections): integrated biostratigraphy and microfacies. *Geologica Balcanica*, **36**: 81–89.
- LAKOVA I., PETROVA S., ANDREEVA P.V., MELODIEV L., REHÁKOVÁ D., MICHALIK J., 2017 – Notes on the stratigraphy and microfacies of Tithonian and Berriasian carbonate sequence around Dragovishtitsa Village (Western Srednogie, Bulgaria). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie Bulgare des Sciences: Sciences Mathématiques et Naturelles*, **70**: 1429–1436.
- LARSON R.L., HILDE T.W.C., 1975 – A revised time scale of magnetic reversals for the Early Cretaceous and Late Jurassic. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **80**: 2586–2594.
- Le HÉGARAT G., 1973 – Le Berriasien du sud-est de la France. *Université Claude Bernard, Lyon, Thèse*, **149**: 1–576.
- Le HÉGARAT G., REMANE J., 1968 – Tithonique supérieur et Berriasien de l'Ardèche et de l'Herault correlation des ammonites et des calpionelles. *Geobios*, **1**: 7–70.
- LEANZA A.F., 1945 – Ammonites del Jurásico superior y del Cretácico inferior de la Sierra Azul en la parte meridional de la provincia de Mendoza. *Anales del Museo de La Plata (NS)*, **1**: 1–99.
- LEEREVELD H., 1997 – Upper Tithonian-Valanginian (Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous) dinoflagellate cyst stratigraphy of the western Mediterranean. *Cretaceous Research*, **18**: 385–420.
- LEHMANN J., IFRIM C., BULOT L.G., FRAU C., 2015 – *Paleobiogeography of Early Cretaceous ammonites*. In: Ammonoid Paleobiology: From macroevolution to paleogeography. Topics in Geobiology, Springer
- LENA L., LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., LESCANO M., AGUIRE-URRETA B., CONCHEYRO A., VENNARI V., NAIPAUER M., SAMANKASSOU E., PIMENTEL M., RAMOS V.A., SCHALTEGGER U., 2019 – High-precision U–Pb ages in the early Tithonian to early Berriasian and implications for the numerical age of the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary. *Solid Earth*, **10**: 1–14.
- LINETSKAYA L.V., 1968 – Mesozoic tintinnids of the Crimea. *Transactions Academy of Sciences of Ukrainian SSSR, Series B*, **4**: 308–310.
- LINETSKAYA L.V., 1969 – Significance of Tintinnoidea (Infusoria) for the stratigraphy of the Mesozoic of the European part of Tethys. *Soviet Geology*, **10**: 39–47.
- LIU G.F., 1988 – Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous ammonites from Nyalam–Gucuo area, Xizang (Tibet). Professional Papers of Palaeontology. Geological Publishing House, Beijing.
- LIU Y.-Q., JI Q., JIAN X.-J., KUANG H.-W., JI S., GAO L.-F., ZHANG Z.-G., PENG N., YUAN C.-X., WANG X.-R., XU H., 2013 – U–Pb Zircon Ages of Early Cretaceous Volcanic Rocks in the Tethyan Himalaya at Yangzuoyong Co Lake, Nagarze, Southern Tibet, and Implications for the Jurassic/Cretaceous Boundary. *Cretaceous Research*, **40**: 90–101.
- LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., BARRAGÁN R., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2013a – Calpionellid distribution and microfacies across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in western Cuba (Sierra Los Organos). *Geologica Carpathica*, **64**: 195–208.
- LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., BARRAGÁN R., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2013b – The Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Apulco area by means of calpionellids and calcareous dinoflagellates: an alternative to the classical Mazatepec section in eastern Mexico. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **47**, 142–151.
- LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., BARRAGÁN R., REHÁKOVÁ D., COBIELLA-REGUERA J.L., 2013c – Reply to the comment of A. Pyszczółkowski on “Calpionellid distribution and microfacies across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in western Cuba (Sierra de los Organos)” by Lopez-Martinez *et al.* *Geologica Carpathica*, **64**: 499A501.
- LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., BARRAGÁN R., REHÁKOVÁ D., MARTINI M., EGUILEZ de ANTUNANO S., 2015a – Calpionellid biostratigraphy, U–Pb geochronology and microfacies of the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous Pimienta Formation (Tamazunchale, San Luis Potosi, central-eastern Mexico). *Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana*, **67**: 75–86.
- LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., BARRAGÁN R., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2015b – Calpionellid biostratigraphy across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in San José de Iturbide, Nuevo León, north eastern Mexico. *Geological Quarterly*, **59**: 581–592
- LÓPEZ-MARTÍNEZ R., AGUIRE-URRETA B., LESCANO M., CONCHEYRO A., VENNARI V., RAMOS V.A., 2017 – Tethyan calpionellids in the Neuquén Basin (Argentine Andes), their significance in defining the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary and pathways for Tethyan-Eastern Pacific connections. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **78**: 1–10.
- LORENZ T., 1902 – Geologische Studien im Grenzgebiet zwischen helvetischer und Ost-alpiner Fazies. II. Der Südliche Rhetikon. *Berichte der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft zu Freiburg i. Br.*, **12**: 35.
- LOWRIE W., CHANNELL J.E.T., 1983 – Magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the Maiolica limestone (Umbria, Italy). *Geology*, **12**: 44–47.
- LOWRIE W., OGG J.G., 1986 – A magnetic polarity timescale for the early Cretaceous and late Jurassic. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **76**: 341–34.
- LUKENEDER A., HALASOVA E., KROH A., MAYRHOFER S., PRUNER P., REHÁKOVÁ D., SCHNABL P., SPROVIERI M., WAGREICH M., 2010 – High resolution stratigraphy of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary interval in the Gresten Klippen Belt (Austria). *Geologica Carpathica*, **61**: 365–381.
- LUPPOV N.P., 1967 – Substantiation for establishing a Berriasian Stage in the lower series of the Cretaceous System – Resolutions of the Interdepartmental Stratigraphic Committee and

- proceedings of its Permanent Commissions. Moscow [in Russian].
- MAALAOUI K., ZARGOUNI F., 2015 – The Lower and Middle Berriasian in Central Tunisia: Integrated ammonite and calpionellid biostratigraphy of the Sidi Kralif Formation. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **66**: 43–58.
- MAALAOUI K., ZARGOUNI F., 2016 – Biozones de calpionelles et d'ammonites du Berriasien inférieur et moyen de la Formation Sidi Khalif au Jebel Meloussi, Tunisie centrale. *Revue de Paléobiologie*, **35**: 373–384.
- MAALAOUI K., ZARGOUNI F., 2017 – Biostratigraphical studies around the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in Central Tunisia: zonal schemes and correlation. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, **127**: 166–176.
- MAGNE J., 1965 – Le stratotype du Berriasien. III. La microfaune. *Mémoire du Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières*, **34**: 17–24.
- MAGNE J., SIGAL J., 1965 – Les Calpionelles du Cretace inferieur. In: Colloque sur le Cretace inferieur (Lyon, septembre, 1963). *Mémoire du Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières*, **34**: 461–478.
- MAHONEY J.J., DUNCAN R.A., TEJADA M.L.G., SAGER W.W., BRALOWER T.J., 2005 – Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary age and mid-ocean-ridge-type mantle source for Shatsky Rise. *Geology*, **33**: 185–188.
- MAKARIEVA S.F., 1974 – Significance of fossil Tintinnidae (Infusoria) for substantiation of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the Chechnya-Ingushetia SSR (Assa river). *Geology and oil-and-gas bearing of Eastern Peri-Caucasus*, **20**: 15–20 [in Russian].
- MAKARIEVA S.F., 1976 – Subdivision of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary beds of Northern Caucasus by Tintinnida. In: *Perspectives of oil-and-gas bearing of Eastern Peri-Caucasus in the light of new geological data*, **25**: 8–12 [in Russian].
- MAKARIEVA S.F., 1979 – Detailed stratigraphic scheme of the Upper Oxfordian-Valanginian of the Northern Caucasus according to Tintinnina. *Questions of Micropalaeontology*, **22**: 50–63 [in Russian].
- MARTINEZ M., DECONINCK J.-F., PELLENARD P., RIQUEL L., COMPANY M., REBOULET S., MOIROUD M., 2015 – Astrochronology of the Valanginian-Hauterivian stages (Early Cretaceous): Chronological relationships between the Paraná-Etendeka large igneous province and the Weissert and the Faraoni events. *Global and Planetary Change*, **131**: 158–173.
- MÁRTON E., 1986 – The problems of correlation between magnetostratigraphic zones and calpionellid zones in Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous sections. *Acta Geologica Hungarica*, **29**: 125–131.
- MATSUOKA A., O'DOGHERTY L., GORICAN S., BAUMGARTNER P.O., LI X., CHIARI M., BERTINELLI A., 2019 – Radiolarian phylogeny around the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary and radiolarian biostratigraphy in the Bosso Valley section, central Italy. XIVth Jurassic & Workshop of the ICS Berriasian Group, Bratislava.
- MAZAUD A., GALBRUN B., AZEMA J., ENAY R., FOURCADE E., RASPLUS L., 1986 – Données magnetostratigraphiques sur le Jurassique supérieur et le Berriasien du ne des Cordilleres Betiques. *Compte Rendus Sommaire des Séances de la Société Géologique de France*, **302**: 1165–1170.
- MAZENOT G., 1939 – Les Palaeohoplitidae tithoniques et berriasiens du sud-est de la France. *Mémoires de la Société Géologique de France*, Nouvelle série, **41**: 1–303.
- McARTHUR J.M., MUTTERLOSE J., PRICE G.D., RAWSON P.F., RUFFELL A., THIRLWALL M.F., 2004 – Belemnites of Valanginian, Hauterivian and Barremian age: Sr-isotope stratigraphy, composition ( $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ , Na, Sr, Mg), and palaeoceanography. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **202**: 253–272.
- McARTHUR J.M., JANSSEN N.M.M., REBOULET S., LENG M.J., THIRLWALL M.F., Van de SCHOOTBRUGGE B., 2007a – Palaeotemperatures, polar ice-volume, and isotope stratigraphy (Mg/Ca,  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ): The Early Cretaceous (Berriasian, Valanginian, Hauterivian). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **248**: 391–430.
- McARTHUR J.M., LENG M.J., DOYLE P., REEVES T., WILLIAMS T., GARCIA-SANCHEZ R., 2007b – Testing palaeoenvironmental proxies in Jurassic belemnites: Ca/Mg, Sr/Mg, Na/Mg,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ . *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **252**: 464–480.
- McCABE C., ELMORE R.D., 1989 – The occurrence and origin of late Paleozoic remagnetisation in the sedimentary rocks of North America. *Reviews of Geophysics*, **27**: 471–494.
- McFADDEN P.L., McELHINNY M.W., 1990 – Classification of the reversal test in palaeomagnetism. *Geophysical Journal International*, **103**: 577–776.
- MEKIK F.A., LING H.Y., ÖZKAN-ALTINER S., 1999 – Preliminary radiolarian biostratigraphy across the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary from northwestern Turkey. *Geodiversitas*, **21**, 715–738.
- MEMMI L., DONZE P., COMBÉMOREL R., Le HÉGARAT G., 1989 – The transition from Jurassic to Cretaceous in northeast Tunisia: biostratigraphic details and distribution of facies. *Cretaceous Research*, **10**: 137–151.
- MICARELLIA, POTETTI M., CHIOCHINO M., 1977 – Ricerche microstratigraphiche sulla Maiolica della umbro-marchigiana. *Studi Geologici Camerta*, **5**: 57–86.
- MICHALÍK J., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2011 – Possible markers of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Mediterranean Tethys – A review and state of art. *Geoscience Frontiers*, **2**: 475–490.
- MICHALÍK J., REHÁKOVÁ D., HALÁSOVÁ E., LINTNEROVÁ O., 2009 – The Brodno section – a potential regional stratotype of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary (Western Carpathians). *Geologica Carpathica*, **60**: 213–232.
- MICHALÍK J., REHÁKOVÁ D., GRABOWSKI J., LINTNEROVÁ O., SVOBODOVÁ A., SCHLÖGL J., SOBIEN K., SCHNABL P., 2016 – Stratigraphy, lithological and magnetic proxies of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary interval in the Pieniny Klippen Belt (Western Carpathians Slovakia). *Geologica Carpathica*, **67**: 303–328.
- MIDTKANDAL I., SVENSEN H.H., PLANKE S., CORFU F., POLTEAU S., TORSVIK T.H., FALEIDE J.I., GRUNDVÅG S.-A., SELNES H., KÜRSCHNER W., OLAUSSEN S., 2016 – The Aptian (Early Cretaceous) oceanic anoxic event (OAE1a) in Svalbard, Barents Sea, and the absolute age of the Barremian-Aptian boundary. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **463**: 126–135.
- MONTEIL E., 1990 – Revision and emendation of dinocyst genus *Amphorula* Dodekova 1969. The concept of morphostratigra-

- phy. *Bulletin des Centres de Recherches Exploration-Production Elf-Aquitaine*, **14**: 597–609.
- MONTEIL E., 1992 – Kystes de dinoflagelles index (Tithonique-Valanginien) du sud-est de la France. Proposition d'une nouvelle zonation palynologique. *Revue de Paleobiologie* **11**, 299–306.
- MONTEIL E., 1993 – Dinoflagellate cyst biozonation of the Tithonian and Berriasian of South-East France. Correlation and sequence stratigraphy. *Bulletin des Centres de Recherches Exploration-Production Elf-Aquitaine*, **17**: 249–273.
- d'MONTMOLLIN A., 1835 – Mémoire sur le terrain crétacé du Jura. *Mémoires de la Société des Sciences Naturelles de Neuchâtel*, **1**: 49–65.
- MUTTERLOSE J., ALSEN P., IBA Y., SCHNEIDER S., 2019 – Palaeobiogeography and palaeoecology of Early Cretaceous belemnites from the northern high latitudes. *Proceedings Geologists Association*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pgeola.2019.06.001>.
- MYCZYŃSKI R., PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 1990 – Tithonian stratigraphy in the Sierra de los Organos, Western Cuba: correlation of the ammonite and microfossil zones: 405–415. *In: Fossili, Evoluzione, Ambiente. Atti del secondo convegno internazionale, Pergola*, 25–30 October 1987.
- MYCZYŃSKI R., PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 1994 – Tithonian stratigraphy and microfacies in the Sierra del Rosario, western Cuba. *Studia Geologica Polonica*, **105**: 7–38.
- NAIRN A.E.M., SCHMITT T.J., SMITHWICK M.E., 1981 – A palaeomagnetic study of the upper Mesozoic succession in northern Tunisia. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **65**: 1–18.
- NEAMTU O., BUCUR I.I., UNGUREANU R., MIRCESCU C.V., 2019 – Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous limestones from the Hăghimaş Massif (Eastern Carpathians, Romania): Microfacies, microfossils and depositional environments. *Carnets Geol.*, **19**: 345–368.
- NIKITIN S., 1881 – Die Jura-Ablagerungen zwischen Rybinsk, Mologa un Myschhkin and der oberen Wolga. *Mémoires de l'Académie Imperiale des Sciences de Saint Petersburg*, **28**, 5.
- NIKOLOV T.G., 1966 – New genera and subgenera of ammonites of family *Berriasellidae*. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie Bulgare des Sciences*, **19**: 639–642.
- NIKOLOV T.G., 1982 – Les ammonites de la famille *Berriasellidae* Spath, 1922. Tithonique supérieur-Berriasien. Editions de l'Académie Bulgare des Sciences, Sofia.
- NIKOLOV T.G., MANDOV G., 1967 – Sur quelques nouvelles espèces d'ammonites berriasiennes du Pré-balkan (Bulgarie du Nord). *Buletin de l'Institut Géologique, Série Paléontologie*, **16**: 41–46
- NIKOLOV T.G., SAPUNOV I. G., 1977 – Sur une sous-famille – Pseudosubplanitinae subfam. nov. (*Berriasellidae*). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie Bulgare des Sciences (Geologie, Paléontologie)*, **30**: 101–103.
- NØHR-HANSEN H., PIASECKI S., ALSEN P., 2019 – A Cretaceous dinoflagellate cyst zonation for NE Greenland. *Geological Magazine*. doi: 10.1017/S0016756819001043.
- NORRIS G., 1969 – Miospores from the Purbeck Beds and marine Upper Jurassic of southern England. *Palaeontology*, **12**: 574–620.
- NUNN E.V., PRICE G.D., HART M.B., PAGE K.N., LENG M.J., 2009 – Isotopic signals from Callovian–Kimmeridgian (Middle–Upper Jurassic) belemnites and bulk organic carbon, Staffin Bay, Isle of Skye, Scotland. *Journal of the Geological Society of London*, **166**: 633–641.
- NUNN E.V., PRICE G.D., GRÖCKE D.R., BARABOSHKIN E.Y., LENG M.J., HART M.B., 2010 – The Valanginian positive carbon isotope event in Arctic Russia: evidence from terrestrial and marine isotope records and implications for global carbon cycling. *Cretaceous Research*, **31**: 577–592.
- OGG J.G., LOWRIE W., 1986 – Magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. *Geology*, **14**: 547–550.
- OGG J.G., STEINER M.B., OLÓRIZ F., TAVERA J.M., 1984 – Jurassic magnetostratigraphy, 1. Kimmeridgian–Tithonian of Sierra Gorda and Carcabuey, southern Spain. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **71**: 147–162.
- OGG J.G., HASENYAGER R.W., WIMBLEDON W.A., CHANNELL J.E.T., BRALOWER T.J., 1991 – Magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary interval – Tethyan and English faunal realms. *Cretaceous Research*, **12**: 455–482.
- OGG J.G., HASENYAGER R.W., WIMBLEDON W.A., 1994 – Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary: Portland-Purbeck magnetostratigraphy and possible correlation to the Tethyan faunal realm. *Géobios*, **17**: 519–527.
- OGG J.G., HINNOV L.A., HUANG C., 2012 – Cretaceous. *In: The geologic time scale: 793–853*. Elsevier.
- OGG J.G., OGG F.M., GRADSTEIN F.M., 2016 – A geologic time scale. Elsevier.
- OLÓRIZ F., TAVERA J.-M., 1989 – The significance of Mediterranean ammonites with regard to the traditional Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. *Cretaceous Research*, **10**: 221–237.
- OLÓRIZ F., CARACUEL J.E., MARQUES B., RODRIGUEZ-TOVAR F.J., 1995 – *Asocineones de Tintinnoides en facies Ammonitico Rosso de la Sierra Norte (Mallorca)*. *Revista Española de Paleontología*, **7**: 77–93.
- OLÓRIZ F., VILLASEÑOR A.B., GONZALEZ-ARREOLA C., WESTERMANN G.E.G., 1999 – Ammonite biostratigraphy and correlations in the Upper Jurassic-lowermost Cretaceous la Caja Formation of NorthCentral Mexico (Sierra de Catorce, San Luis Potosí). *In: Advancing research on living and fossil Cephalopods* (Eds. F. Olóriz, F.J. Rodríguez-Tovar). Kluwer/Plenum, New York.
- OLSZEWSKA B., 2010 – Microfossils of the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous formations of the Lublin Upland (SE Poland) based on thin section studies. *Polish Geological Institute Special Papers*, **26**: 1–56.
- OPDYKE N.D., CHANNELL J.E.T., 1996 – *Magnetic Stratigraphy*. London, New York, Academic Press.
- OPPEL A., 1865 – Die tithonische Etage. *Zeitschrift Deutsches Geologischen Gesellschaft*, **17**: 535–565.
- d'ORBIGNY A., 1842–1851 – Paléontologie française, Terrains jurassique. Vol. 1. Cephalopodes. Masson, Paris.
- d'ORBIGNY A., 1850 – Prodrome de Paléontologie stratigraphique universelle des animaux mollusques et rayonnés 2. Masson, Paris.
- ÖZKAN S., 1993 – Calcareous nannofossils from the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous of Northwest Anatolia, Turkey. *Geological Journal*, **28**: 295–307.
- PARENT H., SCHERZINGER A., SCHWEIGERT G., 2011 – The Tithonian-Berriasian ammonite fauna and stratigraphy of Ar-

- royo Cieneguita, Neuquén-Mendoza Basin, Argentina. *Boletín del Instituto de Fisiografía y Geología*, **79–81**: 21–94.
- PAVLISHINA P., FEIST-BURKHARDT S., 2004 – Berriasian and Valanginian dinoflagellate cysts from three boreholes in North-east Bulgaria. *Review of the Bulgarian Geological Society*, **65**: 107–114.
- PELLENARD P., TRAMOY R., PUCEAT E., HURET E., MARTINEZ M., BRUNEAU L., THIERRY J., 2014 – Carbon cycle and sea-water palaeotemperature evolution at the Middle–Late Jurassic transition, eastern Paris Basin (France). *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **53**: 30–43.
- PERCH-NIELSEN K., 1985 – Cenozoic calcareous nannofossils. 427–554. In: *Plankton Stratigraphy* (Eds. H.M. Bolli *et al.*). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- PESSAGNO E.A., CANTU CHAPA A., MATTINSON J.M., MENG X., KARIMIA S.M., 2009 – The Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary: new data from North America and the Caribbean. *Stratigraphy*, **6**: 185–262.
- PESTCHEVITSKAYA E., LEBEDEVA N., RYABOKON A., 2011 – Uppermost Jurassic and lowermost Cretaceous dinocyst successions of Siberia, the Subarctic Urals and Russian Platform and their interregional correlation. *Geologica Carpathica*, **62**: 189–202.
- PETROVA S., RABRENOVIĆ D., LAKOVA I., KOLEVA-REKALOVA E., IVANOVA D., METODIEV L., MALEŠEVIĆ N., 2012 – Biostratigraphy and microfacies of the pelagic carbonates across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in eastern Serbia (Stara Planina–Poreč Zone). *Geologica Balcanica*, **41**: 53–76.
- PETROVA S., KOLEVA-REKALOVA E., IVANOVA D., LAKOVA I., 2019 – Biostratigraphy and microfacies of the pelagic carbonate formations in the Yavorets section (Tithonian–Berriasian), Western Balkan Mts, Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica*, **48**: 51–73.
- PICTET F.-J., 1867 – Études paléontologiques sur la faune à *Terebratulidipyoidea* de Berrias (Ardèche). *Mélanges Paléontologiques*, deuxième livraison: 43–150.
- PLATONOV E., LAKOVA I., PETROVA S., ARKADIEV V., 2014 – Tithonian and Lower Berriasian calpionellid against ammonite biostratigraphy of the Dvuyakornaya Formation in eastern Crimea. *Geologica Balcanica*, **43**: 63–76.
- PODLAHA O.G., MUTTERLOSE J., VEIZER J., 1998 – Preservation of  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  in belemnite rostra from the Jurassic/Early Cretaceous successions. *American Journal of Science*, **298**: 324–347.
- POP G., 1974 – Les zones des Calpionelles Tithonique-Valanginiennes du silon de Reșița (Carpathes Méridionales). *Revue Roumaine de Géologie Géophysique et Géographie, Série Géologie*, **18**: 109–125.
- POP G., 1976 – Tithonian-Valanginian calpionellid zones from Cuba. *Dări de Seamă ale Ședințelor, Institutul de Geologie și Geofizică*, **62**: 237–266.
- POP G., 1986a – Réflexions sur certaines calpionelles Néocomiennes. *Dări de Seamă ale Ședințelor, Institutul de Geologie și Geofizică*, **3**. *Paleontologie* 70–71: 103–108.
- POP G., 1986b – Les zones des calpionelles Tithonique/Néocomiennes de la région de Svinîța (Carpathes méridionales). *Dări de Seamă ale Ședințelor, Institutul de Geologie și Geofizică*. **4**. *Stratigraphie* 70–71: 87–108.
- POP G., 1986c – Calpionellids and correlation of Tithonian-Valanginian formations. *Acta Geologica Hungarica*, **29**: 93–102.
- POP G., 1994 – Calpionellid evolutive events and their use in biostratigraphy. *Romanian Journal of Stratigraphy*, **76**: 7–24.
- POP G., 1997 – Tithonian to Hauterivian praecalpionellids and calpionellids: bioevents and biozones. *Mineralia Slovaca*, **29**, 304–305.
- POP G., 1998a – Nouvelles chitinoïdes Tithoniennes des Carpathes méridionales (Roumanie). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série de la Terre et des Planets*, **326**: 817–822.
- POP G., 1998b – Stratigraphic distribution and biozonation of Tithonian praecalpionellids and calpionellids from the South Carpathians. *Romanian Journal of Stratigraphy*, **77**: 3–25.
- POULSEN N.E., RIDING J.B., 2003 – The Jurassic dinoflagellate cyst zonation of Subboreal Northwest Europe. *Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland Bulletin*, **1**: 115–144.
- POWELL A.J., 1992 – A stratigraphic index of British dinoflagellate cysts. British Micropalaeontological Society Publication Series. Chapman & Hall, London.
- PRICE G.D., GRÖCKE D.R., 2002 – Strontium-isotope stratigraphy and oxygen- and carbon-isotope variation during the Middle Jurassic–Early Cretaceous of the Falkland Plateau, South Atlantic. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **183**: 209–222.
- PRICE G.D., MUTTERLOSE J., 2004 – Isotopic signals from the late Jurassic–early Cretaceous (Volgian–Valanginian) sub-Arctic belemnites, Yatria River, Western Siberia. *Journal of the Geological Society of London*, **161**: 959–968.
- PRICE G.D., ROGOV M.A., 2009 – An isotopic appraisal of the Late Jurassic greenhouse phase in the Russian platform. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **273**: 41–49.
- PRICE G.D., FÖZ, I., PÁLFY J., 2016 – Carbon cycle history through the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary: A new global  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  stack. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **451**: 46–61.
- PRUNER P., HOUŠA V., OLORIZ F., KOŠŤÁK M., KRS M., MAN O., SCHNABL P., VENHODOV D., TAVERA J.M., MAZUCH M., 2010 – High-resolution magnetostratigraphy and biostratigraphic zonation of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary strata in the Puerto Escano section (southern Spain). *Cretaceous Research*, **31**: 192–206.
- PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 1996 – Calpionellid stratigraphy of the Tithonian-Berriasian pelagic limestones in the Tatra Mts (Western Carpathians). *Studia Geologica Polonica*, **109**: 103–130.
- PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 1999 – New data on the Lower Cretaceous microfossil and nannoconid stratigraphy in the Guaniguanico terrane of western Cuba. *Studia Geologica Polonica*, **114**: 7–33.
- PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 2006 – Tithonian nannoconid zonation. *Scripta (Geology)*, **33/34**: 67–69.
- PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 2009 – The Tithonian-earliest Berriasian *Nannoconus* zones in selected sections of the Pieniny Klippen Belt and the Western Tatra Mountains (southern Poland). *Studia Geologica Polonica*, **132**: 7–38.
- PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., 2013 – Comment on “Calpionellid distribution and microfacies across the Jurassic/Cretaceous bounda-

- ry in western Cuba (Sierra de los Órganos)” by López-Martínez *et al.* *Geologica Carpathica*, **64**: 497–498.
- PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., 2016 – The Tithonian Chitinoideidae and other microfossils from Owadów–Brzezinki quarry (central Poland). *Volumina Jurassica*, **14**: 133–144.
- PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., MYCZYŃSKI R., 2004 – Ammonite supported microfossil and nannoconid stratigraphy of the Tithonian-Hauterivian limestones in selected sections of the Branisko Succession, Pieniny Klippen Belt (Poland). *Studia Geologica Polonica*, **123**: 133–197.
- PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., MYCZYŃSKI R., 2010 – Tithonian–Early Valanginian evolution of deposition along the proto Caribbean margin of North America recorded in Guaniguanico successions (western Cuba). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **29**: 225–253.
- PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI A., GARCIA DELGADO D., GIL GONZALEZ S., 2005 – Calpionellid and nannoconid stratigraphy and microfacies of limestones at the Tithonian–Berriasian boundary in the Sierra del Infierno (western Cuba). *Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae*, **75**: 1–16.
- RAMEIL N., 2005 – Carbonate sedimentology, sequence stratigraphy and cyclostratigraphy of the Tithonian in the Swiss and French Jura Mountains. *Geofocus*, **13**: 1–246
- RAWSON P.F., 1995 – The “Boreal” Early Cretaceous (pre-Aptian) ammonite sequences of NW Europe and their correlation with the western Mediterranean faunas. In: Proceedings 3rd Workshop on Early Cretaceous Cephalopods, 5–8 July 1994, Piobbico (Ed. F. Cecca). *Memorie Descrittive della Carta Geologica d’Italia*, **51**. Ist Poligr Zecca dello Stato, Rome.
- REBOULET S., SZIVES O., AGUIRRE-URRETA B., BARRAGAN R., COMPANY M., 2018 – Report on the 6th International Meeting of the IUGS Lower Cretaceous Ammonite Working Group, the Kilian Group (Vienna, Austria, 20th August 2017). *Cretaceous Research*, **91**: 100–110.
- REES P.M., ZEIGLE A.M., VALDES P.J., 2000 – Jurassic phyto-geography and climates: new data and model comparisons. 297–318 In: Warm Climates in Earth History. (Eds. B.T. Huber *et al.*). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., 1995 – New data on calpionellid distribution in the Upper Jurassic/Lower Cretaceous formations (Western Carpathians). *Mineralia Slovaca*, **27**: 308–318 [in Slovak].
- REHÁKOVÁ D., 1998 – Calpionellid genus *Remaniella* Catalano 1956 in Lower Cretaceous pelagic deposits of Western Carpathians. *Mineralia Slovaca*, **30**: 443–452.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., 2000a – Evolution and distribution of the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous calcareous dinoflagellates recorded in the Western Carpathian pelagic carbonate facies. *Mineralia Slovaca*, **32**: 79–88.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., 2000b – Calcareous dinoflagellates and calpionellid bioevents versus sea-level fluctuations recorded in the West Carpathians (Late Jurassic/Early Cretaceous pelagic environments). *Geologica Carpathica*, **51**: 229–243.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., 2002 – *Chitinoidea* Trejo, 1975 in the middle Tithonian carbonate pelagic sequences of the west Carpathian Tethyan area. *Geologica Carpathica*, **53**: 369–379.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., MICHALÍK J., 1997 – Evolution and distribution of calpionellids – the most characteristic constituent of lower Cretaceous Tethyan microplankton. *Cretaceous Research*, **18**: 493–504.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., ROZIC B., 2019 – Calpionellid biostratigraphy and sedimentation of the Biancone limestone from the Rudnica Anticline (Sava Folds, eastern Slovenia). *Geologija*, **62**: 89–101.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., MICHALÍK J., OŽVOLDOVÁ L., 1996 – New microbiostratigraphical data from several Lower Cretaceous pelagic sequences of the Northern Calcareous Alps, Austria (preliminary results). *Geologisch-Paläontologische Mitteilungen Innsbruck*, **4**: 57–81.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., HALÁSOVÁ E., LUKENEDER A., 2009 – The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the Gresten Klippenbelt (Nutzhof, Lower Austria): Implications for Micro- and Nannofacies analysis. *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien*, **110 A**: 345–381.
- REHÁKOVÁ D., MATYJA B., WIERZBOWSKI A., SCHLÖGL J., KROBICKI M., BARSKI M., 2011 – Stratigraphy and microfacies of the Jurassic and lowermost Cretaceous of the Veliky Kamenets section (Pieniny Klippen Belt, Carpathians, Western Ukraine). *Volumina Jurassica*, **9**: 61–104.
- REMANE J., 1963 – Les Calpionelles dans les couches de passage jurassiques-crétacé de la fosse vocontienne. *Travaux du Laboratoire de Géologie de la Faculté des Sciences de Grenoble*, **39**: 25–82.
- REMANE J., 1964 – Untersuchungen zur Systematik und Stratigraphie der Calpionellen in den Jura-Kreide-Grenzschichten des Vocontischen Troges. *Palaeontographia A*, **123**: 1–57.
- REMANE J., 1971 – Les Calpionelles protozoaires planctoniques des mers mesogéennes de l’époque secondaire. *Annales Guebhard*, **47**: 370–393.
- REMANE J., 1986 – Calpionellids and the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. *Acta Geologica Hungarica*, **29**: 15–26.
- REMANE J., 1989 – Calpionellids: 555–572 In: Plankton Stratigraphy: Volume 1, Planktic foraminifera, calcareous nannofossils and calpionellids (Eds. H.M. Bolli *et al.*). Cambridge Earth Science Series. Cambridge University Press.
- REMANE J., 1991 – The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary: problems of definition and procedure. *Cretaceous Research*, **12**: 447–453.
- REMANE J., BORZA K., NAGY I., BAKALOVA-IVANOVA D., KNAUER J., POP G., TARDI-FILÁČZ E., 1986 – Agreement on the subdivision of the standard calpionellid zones defined at the 2nd Planktonic Conference Roma, 1970. *Acta Geologica Hungarica*, **29**: 5–14.
- REMANE J., STINNESBECK W., ADATTE T., 1999 – What to do with Cantú Chapa’s (1996) definition of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary? *Revista Española de Micropaleontología*, **31**: 149–154.
- RENEVIER E., 1874 – Tableau des terrains sédimentaires formés pendant les époques de la phase organique du globe terrestre avec leurs représentants en Suisse et dans les régions classiques, leurs synonymes et les principaux fossiles de chaque étage. *Bulletin de la Société Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles*, **13**: 218–252.
- RENNE P.R., MUNDIL R., BALCO G., MIN K., LUDWIG K.R., 2010 – Joint determination of 40K decay constants and 40Ar/40K for the Fish Canyon sanidine standard, and im-



- proved accuracy for  $40\text{Ar}/39\text{Ar}$  geochronology. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **74**: 5349–5367.
- RICCARDI A.C., 1988 – The Cretaceous System of Southern South America. *Geological Society of America Memoir*, **168**: 1–161.
- RICCARDI A.C., 2015 – Remarks on the Tithonian–Berriasian ammonite biostratigraphy of west central Argentina. *Volumina Jurassica*, **13**: 23–52.
- RICKWOOD F.K., 1955 – The geology of the western highlands of New Guinea. *Geological Society Australia Journal*, **2**: 63–82.
- RIDING J.B., 1984 – Dinoflagellate cyst range-top biostratigraphy of the uppermost Triassic to lowermost Cretaceous of the Northwest Europe. *Palynology*, **8**: 195–210.
- AL-RIFAIY I.A., LEMONE D., 1987 – Calpionellids and the late Jurassic and early Cretaceous stratigraphy of Kuwait and the Gulf region. *Marine Micropaleontology*, **12**: 383–388.
- ROGOV M.A., 2010 – New data on ammonites and stratigraphy of the Volgian stage in Spitzbergen. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, **18**: 505–531.
- ROGOV M.A., 2019 – Ammonites and infrazonal stratigraphy of the Kimmeridgian and Volgian stages of Panboreal regions. Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow. Unpublished thesis.
- ROGOV M.A., GUZHIKOV A.Y., 2009 – Advances in bio- and magnetostratigraphy of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary beds of Svalbard: 7–78. In: Abstracts. 8th International Symposium on the Cretaceous System. University of Plymouth.
- ROGOV M., ZAKHAROV V., 2009 – Ammonite- and bivalve-based biostratigraphy and Panboreal correlation of the Volgian Stage. *Science in China Series D, Earth Sciences*, **52**: 1890–1909.
- ROGOV M.A., ALIFIROV A.S., IGOLNIKOV A.E., 2015 – Revised ammonite succession of the Upper Volgian of Nordvik section: zonal boundaries and uncertainties: 70–76. In: The International Scientific Conference on the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. September 7–13, 2015, Samara.
- ROJAY B., ALTINER D., 1998 – Middle Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous biostratigraphy in the Central Pontides (Turkey): remarks on paleogeography and tectonic evolution. *Rivista di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, **104**: 167–180.
- ROSTOVTSEV K.O., PROZOROVSKY V.A., 1977 – Information on resolution of standing commissions of the Interdepartmental Stratigraphic Committee (JSC) on the Jurassic and Cretaceous Systems. *Newsletters in Stratigraphy*, **24**: 48–52.
- ROTH P.H., 1978 – Cretaceous nannoplankton biostratigraphy and oceanography of the Northwestern Atlantic Ocean. *Initial Report Deep Sea Drilling Project*, **44**: 731–759.
- RUD'KO S.V., KUZNETSOV, A.B., POKROVSKY B.G., 2017 – Sr chemostratigraphy,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ , and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of rocks in the Crimean carbonate platform (Late Jurassic, northern Peri-Tethys). *Lithology and Mineral Resources*, **52**: 479–497.
- RUFFELL A.H., PRICE G.D., MUTTERLOSE J., KESSELS K., BARABOSHKIN E., GRÖCK D.R., 2002 – Palaeoclimate indicators (clay minerals, calcareous nannofossils, stable isotopes) compared from two successions in the late Jurassic of the Volga Basin (SE Russia). *Geological Journal*, **37**: 17–33.
- SALAZAR SOTO C., 2012 – The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary (Tithonian-Hauterivian) in the Andean Basin of Central Chile: Ammonites, bio- and sequence stratigraphy and palaeobiogeography. Der Naturwissenschaften-Mathematischen Gesamtfakultät Der Rupecht-Karls-Universität Heildelberg, Inaugural Dissertation.
- SALLOUHI H., BOUGHDIRI M., CORDEY F., 2011 – Tithonian Chitinoideidells of the South-Tethyan Margin of the Maghreb: New data from northern Tunisia. *Comptes Rendus Palevol*, **10**: 641–653.
- SALMINEN J., DINIS J., MATEUS O., 2014 – Preliminary magnetostratigraphy for the Jurassic-Cretaceous transition in Porto da Calada, Portugal. 873–877. In: STRATI 2013 First International Congress on Stratigraphy. At the Cutting Edge of Stratigraphy. Springer.
- SANO S.-I., IBA Y., SHINJI I., ASAI H., DZYUBA O.S., 2015 – Preliminary report of earliest Cretaceous belemnites from Japan and their palaeogeographic significance. *Journal of the Geological Society of Japan*, **125**: 71–79.
- SARJEANT W.A.S., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2000 – The terminal Jurassic stage: history of a controversy in stratigraphy. *Modern Geology*, **22**: 1–34.
- SATOLLI S., TURTU A., 2016 – Early Cretaceous magnetostratigraphy of the Salto del Cieco section (Northern Apennines, Italy). *Newsletters on Stratigraphy*, **49**: 361–382.
- SATOLLI S., TURTU A., DONATELLI U., 2015 – Detailed magnetostratigraphy of the Salto del Cieco section (Northern Apennines, Italy) from the Pliensbachian to Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary. *Newsletters on Stratigraphy*, **48**: 153–177.
- SCHERZINGER A., MITTA V., 2006 – New data on ammonites and stratigraphy of the Upper Kimmeridgian and Lower Volgian (Upper Jurassic) of the middle Volga Region (Russia). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie – Abhandlungen*, **241**: 225–251.
- SCHNABL P., PRUNER P., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2015 – A review of magnetostratigraphic results from the Tithonian-Berriasian of Nordvik (Siberia) and possible biostratigraphic constraints. *Geologica Carpathica*, **66**: 489–498.
- SCHNABL P., LI G., KDÝR Š., KLETETSCHKA G., SKUPIEN P., SVOBODOVA A., HLADIKOVA K., CAO M., WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2019 – Comparison of the palaeomagnetic parameters of non-marine Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary sediments in Dorset (SW England), Hebei and Liaoning (NE China) – A Preliminary Study. *Open Journal of Geology*, **9**: 654–657.
- SCHNEIDER A.C., HEIMHOFFER U., HEUNISCH C., MUTTERLOSE J., 2017 – The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary interval in non-marine strata of northwest Europe – New light on an old problem. *Cretaceous Research*, **87**: 42–54.
- SCHNEIDER S., KELLY S.R.A., MUTTERLOSE J., HULSE P., LOPEZ-MIR B., 2018 – Frosty times in the Sverdrup Basin: the Jurassic-Cretaceous transition in the Rollrock section, Canadian Arctic Archipelago. Abstract: 75–76. In: JK2018 International Symposium, December 7–5, 2018, Muséum d'Histoire naturelle de Genève. Carnet de Geologie.
- Van de SCHOOTBRUGGE B., FOLLMÍ K.B., BULOT L.G., BURNS S.J., 2000 – Palaeoceanographic changes during the early Cretaceous (Valanginian–Hauterivian): evidence from oxygen and carbon stable isotopes. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **181**: 15–31.

- SEY I.I., KALACHĚVA E.D., 1996 – Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous biostratigraphy and fauna of South Primorye (Russian Far East). *Geology of the Pacific Ocean*, **12**: 293–312.
- SEY I.I., KALACHĚVA E.D., 2000 – Ammonites: 20–31. In: Berriasian of the Northern Caucasus (Uruk Section). Vses. Nauchno-Issled. Geol. Razved. Inst., St. Petersburg [in Russian].
- SHA J., FÜRSICH F.T., 1993 – Biostratigraphy of the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous bivalves *Buchia* and *Aucellina* of eastern Heilongjiang, northeast China. *Geological Magazine*, **130**: 533–542.
- SISSINGH W., 1977 – Biostratigraphy of Cretaceous calcareous nannoplankton. *Geologie en Mijnbouw*, **56**: 37–65.
- SKOURTSIS-CORONEOU V., SOLAKIUS N., 1999 – Calpionellid zonation at the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary within the Vigla Limestone Formation (Ionian Zone, western Greece) and carbon isotope analysis. *Cretaceous Research*, **20**: 583–595.
- SKUPIEN P., DOUPOVCOVÁ P., 2019 – Dinoflagellates and calpionellids of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary, Outer Western Carpathians (Czech Republic). *Cretaceous Research*, **99**: 209–228.
- SPATH L.F., 1939 – The Cephalopoda of the Neocomian belemnite beds of the Salt Range. *Palaeontologia Indica*, **25**. Geological Survey of India.
- SPERANZA F., SATOLLI S., MATTIOLI E., CALAMITA F., 2005 – Magnetic stratigraphy of Kimmeridgian-Aptian sections from Umbria-Marche (Italy): new details on the M polarity sequence. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **110**, B12109: 1–26.
- STOVER L.E., BRINKHUIS H., DAMASSA S.P., DE VERTEUIL L., HELBY R.J., MONTEIL E., PARTRIDGE A.D., POWELL A.J., RIDING J.B., SMELROR M., WILLIAMS G.L., 1996 – Mesozoic-Tertiary dinoflagellates, acritarchs and prasinophytes: 641–750. In: *Palynology: principles and applications* (Eds. J. Jansonius, D.C. McGregor). American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Foundation, Dallas 2.
- STOYKOVA K., IDAKIEVA V., IVANOV M., REHÁKOVÁ D., 2018 – Calcareous nannofossil and ammonite integrated biostratigraphy across the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary strata of the Kopanitsa composite section (West Srednogie Unit, southwest Bulgaria). *Geologica Carpathica*, **69**: 199–217.
- SURPLESS K.D., GRAHAM S.A., COVAULT J.A., WOODEN J.L., 2006 – Does the Great Valley Group contain Jurassic strata? Reevaluation of the age and early evolution of a classic forearc basin. *Geology*, **34**: 21–24.
- SVOBODOVA A., KOŠŤÁK M., 2016 – Calcareous nannofossils of the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary strata in the Puerto Escaño section (southern Spain) – biostratigraphy and palaeoecology. *Geologica Carpathica*, **67**: 223–238.
- SVOBODOVÁ A., ŠVÁBENICKÁ L., REHÁKOVÁ D., SVOBODOVÁ M., SKUPIEN P., ELBRA T., SCHNABL P., 2019 – The Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary and high resolution biostratigraphy of the pelagic sequences of the Kurovice section (Outer Western Carpathians, the northern Tethyan margin). *Geologica Carpathica*, **70**: 153–182.
- TAJ EDDINE K., 1991 – Le Jurassique terminal et le Crétacé basal dans l'Atlas atlantique (Maroc): Biostratigraphie, sédimentologie, stratigraphie séquentielle et géodynamique [thèse doctoral. Université Cadi Ayyad, Marrakech].
- TAMAKI K., LARSON R.L., 1988 – The Mesozoic tectonic history of the Magellan Microplate in the western central Pacific. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **93**: 28–57.
- TAVERA J.-M., 1985 – Los ammonites del Tithónico superior-Berriasense de la Zona Subbética (Cordilleras Béticas) [tesis doctoral, Universidad de Granada, Granada].
- TAVERA J.-M., AGUADO R., COMPANY M., OLÓRIZ F., 1994 – Integrated biostratigraphy of the Durangites and Jacobi Zones (J/K boundary) at the Puerto Escaño section in southern Spain (Province of Cordoba). *Géobios*, **17**: 469–476
- THIERSTEIN H.R., 1975 – Calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy at the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. Colloque sur la limite Jurassique-Crétacé, Lyon, Neuchâtel, Sept. 1973. *Mémoire du Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières*, **86**: 84–94.
- THOMSON M.R.A., 1979 – Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous Ammonite Faunas of the Ablation Point Area, Alexander Island. *British Antarctic Survey Scientific Reports*, **97**.
- THURMANN J., 1835 – Résumé des travaux de la Société géologique des monts Jura. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, **7**: 207–211.
- TREJO H.M., 1960 – La familia Nannoconidae y su alcance estratigráfico en America. *Asociación Mexicana de Geólogos Petroleros Boletín*, **12**: 259–314.
- TREJO M., 1975 – Los Tintinidos mesozoicos de Mexico. *Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières Mémoires*, **86**: 95–104.
- TREJO M., 1980 – Distribución estratigráfica de los Tintinidos mesozoicos mexicanos. *Revista del Instituto Mexicano del Petroleo*, **12**: 4–13.
- TREMOLADA F., BORNEMANN A., BRALOWER T., KOEBERL C., VAN DE SCHOOTBRUGGE B., 2006 – Paleocceanographic changes across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary: the calcareous phytoplankton response. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **241**: 361–371.
- TURNER H.E., BATENBURG S.J., GALE A.S., GRADSTEIN F.M., 2019 – The Kimmeridge Clay Formation (Upper Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous) of the Norwegian Continental Shelf and Dorset, UK: a chemostratigraphic correlation. *Newsletters on Stratigraphy*, **52**: 1–32.
- URMAN O.S., DZYUBA O.S., KIRILLOVA G.L., SHURYGIN B.N., 2014 – *Buchia* faunas and biostratigraphy of the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary deposits in the Komsomolsk Section (Russian Far East). *Russian Journal of Pacific Geology*, **8**: 346–359.
- URMAN O., SHURYGIN B., DZYUBA O., 2019 – Macrofossil assemblages in the Ryazanian Stage (Lower Cretaceous) of the stratotype region. *Open Journal of Geology*, **9**: 558–561.
- VAŇKOVÁ L., ELBRA T., PRUNER P., VAŠÍČEK Z., SKUPIEN P., REHÁKOVÁ D., SCHNABL P., KOŠŤÁK M., ŠVÁBENICKÁ L., SVOBODOVÁ A., BUBÍK M., MAZUCH M., ČÍŽKOVÁ K., KDÝR Š., 2019 – Integrated stratigraphy and palaeoenvironment of the Berriasian peri-reefal limestones at Štamberk (Outer Western Carpathians, Czech Republic). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **532**: 109256. doi: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2019.109256.
- VEIZER J., ALA D., AZMY K., BRUCKSCHEN P., BUHL D., BRUHN F., CARDEN G.A.F., DIENER A., EBNETH S., GODDERI Y., JASPER T., KORTE C., PAWELLEK F., POD-

- LAHA O.G., STRAUSS H., 1999 –  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  evolution of Phanerozoic seawater. *Chemical Geology*, **161**: 59–88.
- VENNARI V.V., ÁLVAREZ P.P., AGUIRRE-URRETA B., 2012 – A new species of *Andiceras* Krantz (Cephalopoda: Ammonoidea) from the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous of the Neuquén Basin, Mendoza, Argentina. Systematics and biostratigraphy. *Andean Geology*, **39**: 92–105.
- VENNARI V.V., LESCANO M., NAIPAUER M., AGUIRRE-URRETA B., CONCHEYRO A., SCHALTEGGER U., ARMSTRONG R., PIMENTEL M., RAMOS V.A., 2014 – New constraints in the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the High Andes using high-precision U-Pb data. *Gondwana Research*, **26**: 374–385.
- VILLASEÑOR A.B., OLÓRIZ F., 2019 – Mexican *Kossmatia* – Historical review and revision proposal. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*. doi: 10.1016/j.jsames.2019.05.011.
- VISHNEVSKAYA V.S., 2001 – Jurassic and Cretaceous Radiolarian Biostratigraphy of Russia. Moscow, GEOS, Moscow.
- VISHNEVSKAYA V.S., 2013 – Biostratigraphy and paleogeography of the Bazhenovo Formation based on radiolarian analysis (in Russian). In: Jurassic System of Russia: problems of stratigraphy and paleogeography. Fifth All-Russian meeting. September 23–27, 2013, Tyumen.
- VISHNEVSKAYA V.S., 2017 – The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary: radiolarian and calcareous dinoflagellate potential biomarkers. *Geological Quarterly*, **61**: 641–654.
- VISHNEVSKAYA V.S., KOZLOVA G.E., 2012 – Volgian and Santonian–Campanian radiolarian events from the Russian Arctic and Pacific Rim. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, **57**: 773–790.
- VOGT P.R., EINWICH A.M., 1979 – Magnetic anomalies and seafloor spreading in the western North Atlantic, and a revised calibration of the Keathley (M) geomagnetic reversal chronology. In: Initial Reports. DSDP (Eds. B.E. Tucholke *et al.*), **43**: 857–876. Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office).
- WANG F., ZHOU X.-H., ZHANG L.-C., YING J.-F., ZHANG Y.-T., WU F.-Y., ZHU R.-X., 2006 – Late Mesozoic volcanism in the Great Xing'an Range (NE China): timing and implications for the dynamic setting of NE Asia. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **251**: 179–198.
- WEAVER C., 1931 – Paleontology of the Jurassic and Cretaceous of West Central Argentina. *Memoir University of Washington*, **1**: 1–496. Seattle.
- WEISSERT H., CHANNELL J.E.T., 1989 – Tethyan carbonate carbon isotope stratigraphy across the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary: An indicator of decelerated global carbon cycling? *Palaeoceanography*, **4**: 483–494.
- WEISSERT H., MOHR H., 1996 – Late Jurassic climate and its impact on carbon cycling. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **122**: 27–43.
- WEISSERT H., JOACHIMSKI M., SARNTHEIN M., 2008 – Chemostratigraphy. *Newsletters on Stratigraphy*, **42**: 145–179.
- WESTON J.F., MACRAE R.A., ASCOLI P.M., COOPER K.E., FENSOME R.A., SHAW D., WILLIAMS G.L., 2012 – A revised biostratigraphic and well-log sequence-stratigraphic framework for the Scotian Margin, offshore eastern Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **49**: 1417–1462.
- WIEDMANN J., 1975 – The Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary as one of the Mesozoic system boundaries. Colloque sur la limite Jurassique-Crétacé. *Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières Memoires*, **86**: 358–362.
- WIERZBOWSKI A., REMANE J., 1992 – The ammonite and calpionellid stratigraphy of the Berriasian and lowermost Valanginian in the Pieniny Klippen Belt (Carpathians, Poland). *Eclogae Geologicae Helveticae*, **85**: 871–891.
- WILSON R.L., 1961 – Palaeomagnetism in Northern Ireland. Pt. 1. The thermal demagnetization of natural magnetic movements of rocks. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **5**: 45–69.
- WIMBLETON W.A., 1980 – Portlandian. In: A correlation of Jurassic rocks in the British Isles. Part 2. Middle and Upper Jurassic (Ed. Cope J.C.W.). *Geological Society of London Special Report*, **15**: 85–93.
- WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2014 – Warsaw remarks – Berriasian progress. *Volumina Jurassica*, **12**: 107–112.
- WIMBLETON W.A.P., 2017 – Developments with fixing a Tithonian/Berriasian (J/K) boundary. *Volumina Jurassica*, **15**: 107–112.
- WIMBLETON W.A.P., CASELLATO C.E., REHÁKOVÁ D., BULO L.G., ERBA E., GARDIN S., VERREUSSEL R.M.C.H., MUNSTERMAN D.K., HUNT C.O., 2011 – Fixing a basal Berriasian and Jurassic/Cretaceous (J/K) boundary – is there perhaps some light at the end of the tunnel? *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, **117**: 295–307.
- WIMBLETON W.A.P., REHÁKOVÁ D., PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., CASELLATO C.E., HALÁSOVÁ E., FRAU C., BULOT L.G., GRABOWSKI J., SOBIEŃ K., PRUNER P., SCHNABL P., ČÍŽKOVÁ K., 2013 – A preliminary account of the bio- and magnetostratigraphy of the upper Tithonian – lower Berriasian interval at Le Chouet, Drôme (SE France). *Geologica Carpathica*, **64**: 437–460.
- WIMBLETON W.A.P., MOHIALDEEN I.M.J., ANDREINI G., REHÁKOVÁ D., STOYKOV K., 2016 – Jurassic /Cretaceous boundary beds in Kurdistan – a preliminary note on wider correlations. In: Special Issue – GeoKurdistan II. *Journal of Zankoy Sulaimani Part A*: 269–276.
- WIMBLETON W.A.P., REHÁKOVÁ D., HALÁSOVÁ E., LINTNEROVA I., MICHALÍK J., PRUNER P., SCHNABL P., ČÍŽKOVÁ K., KOŠŤÁK M., SVOBODOVÁ A., BULO L.G., FRAU C., BAKHMUTOV V., GRABOWSKI J., WIERZBOWSKI A., PSZCZÓLKOWSKI A., LEANZA H., RICCARDI A., VENNARI V., AGUIRRE-URETTA B., TCHOUMATCHENKO P., STOYKOVA K., IVANOVA D., SHA J., LI G., CAO M., LI J., WAN X., RIDING J., HUNT C.O., RAWSON P., COPESTAKE P., ARNAUD VANNEAU A.M., MOHIALDEEN I.J., ANDREINI G., PARISI G., SPERANZA F., SATOLLI S., LOPEZ-MARTINEZ R., BARRAGAN R., BENZAGGAGH M., VERREUSSEL R.M.C.H., MUNSTERMAN D.K., HOEDEMAEKER P., VAJDA V., ERBA E., CASELLATO C.E., BOWN P., PANDEY K., FÓZY I., BARDHAN S., MOJON P.-O., SAMES B., LAKOVA I., IVANOV M., POULTON T.P., GALLOWAY J., HAGGART J.W., DAVIES E.H., ALSEN P., PIACECKI S., GARDIN S., GALBRUN B., OGG J., LUCAS-CLARK J., PUJANA I., KHAND Y., OLÓRIZ F., 2017 – The Tithonian/Berriasian stage boundary and the base of the Creta-

- ceous System. *In: 10th Int. Symposium on the Cretaceous*, 2017, Vienna. *Berichte der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, Band **120**: 290.
- WIMBLETON W.A.P., REHÁKOVÁ D., SVOBODOVÁ A., SCHNABL P., PRUNER P., ELBRA T., ČÍŽKOVÁ K., KDÝR Š., FRAU C., SCHNYDER J., GALBRUN B., 2020 – Fixing a J/K boundary: a comparative account of key Tithonian-Berriasian profiles in the departments of Drôme and Hautes-Alpes, France. *Geologica Carpathica*, **71**: 24–46.
- WOHLWEND S., CELESTINO R., REHÁKOVÁ D., HUCK S., WEISSERT H., 2016 – Late Jurassic to Cretaceous evolution of the eastern Tethyan Hawasina Basin (Oman Mountains). *Sedimentology*, **64**: 87–110.
- WOOLAM R., RIDING J.B., 1983 – Dinoflagellate cyst zonation of the English Jurassic. *Institute of Geological Sciences Report*, **83**, 2: 1–44.
- WORSLEY T.R., 1971 – Calcareous nannofossil zonation of Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous sediments from the western Atlantic. *In: Proceedings of 2nd Planktonic Conference*, Rome, **2**: 1301–1321.
- YANS J., GERARDS T., GERRIENN P., SPAGNA P., DEJAX J., SCHNYDER J., STORME J.-Y., KEPPENS E., 2010 – Carbon-isotope analysis of fossil wood and dispersed organic matter from the terrestrial Wealden facies of Hautrage (Mons Basin, Belgium). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **291**: 85–105.
- ZÁK K., KOŠTÁK M., MAN O., ZAKHAROV V.A., ROGOV M.A., PRUNER P., DZYUBA O.S., ROHOVEC J., MAZUCH M., 2011 – Comparison of carbonate C and O stable isotope records across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Boreal and Tethyan Realms. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **299**: 83–96.
- ZAKHAROV V.A., 1987 – The bivalve *Buchia* and the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary in the Boreal Province. *Cretaceous Research*, **8**: 141–153.
- ZAKHAROV V.A., 1990 – Definition of Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary on buchias. *Transactions of the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Siberian Branch, Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, **699**: 115–128 [in Russian].
- ZAKHAROV V.A., ROGOV M.A., 2020 – High-resolution stratigraphy of buchiid bivalves and ammonites from the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary beds in the Paskenta area (California). *Cretaceous Research*, **110**. doi: 10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104422.
- ZAKHAROV V.A., BOWN P., RAWSON P.F., 1996a – The Berriasian Stage and the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary. *Bulletin van het Koninklijk Belgisch Instituut voor Natuurwetenschappen Supplement*, **66**: 7–10.
- ZAKHAROV V.A., KURUSHIN N.I., POKHIALINEN V.P., 1996b – Palaeobiogeographic criteria of terrane geodynamics of northeastern Asia in Mesozoic. *Russian Geology and Geophysics*, **37**: 1–22.
- ZAKHAROV V.A., ROGOV M.A., DZYUBA O.S., ŽÁK K., KOŠTÁK M., PRUNER P., SKUPIEN P., CHADIMA M., MAZUCH M., NIKITENKO B.L., 2014 – Palaeoenvironments and palaeoceanography changes across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in the Arctic realm: case study of the Nordvik section (north Siberia, Russia). *Polar Research*, **33**: 19714. doi: 10.3402/polar.v33.19714.
- ZANIN Y.N., ZAMIRAILOVA A.G., EDER V.G., 2012 – Some calcareous nannofossils from the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous Bazhenov Formation of the West Siberian Marine Basin, Russia. *The Open Geology Journal*, **6**: 25–31.
- ZHAMOIDA A.I., PROZOROVSKAYA E.L., 1997 – Resolution verifying the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary position in the Boreal region and status of the Volgian Stage. *Postanovleniâ Mešvedomstvennogo Stratigrafičeskogo Komiteta i ego postojannyh komissij*, **29**: 5–7 [in Russian].
- ZHANG Y.W., MINGUEZ D., OGG J.G., OLAUSSEN S., 2018 – Magnetostratigraphy across U-Pb-dated horizons in Svalbard boreholes to partly resolve the debated age of Barremian/Aptian boundary. *Geological Society America Abstracts*, **50**. doi: 10.1130/abs/2018AM-323068.
- ZIA R., 1955 – Calcari a Calpionella della Toscana. *Bollettino della Società Geologica Italiana*, **74**, 81–93.
- ZIJDERVELD J.D.A.A.C., 1967 – Demagnetization of rocks: analysis of results: 254–286. *In: Methods in paleomagnetism* (Eds. D.W. Collinson, K.M. Creer). Elsevier, Amsterdam.

## Appendix 1

## LOCALITIES STUDIED BY THE BERRIASIAN WG

<b>Argentina</b>	Las Loicas
	Arroyo Loncoche
<b>Bulgaria</b>	Barlya
	Berende
	Kopanitsa
	Gintsi
<b>California</b>	Elder Creek
	Grindstone Creek
	Thomes Creek
	Watson Creek
	Hull Road
<b>Czech Rep.</b>	Kurovice
	Štramberk
<b>France</b>	Le Chouet
	Font de St Bertrand
	Charens
	Haute Beaume
	Tré Maroua
	Berrias
<b>Hungary</b>	Lókút
<b>Iraq</b>	Garagu
	Banik
<b>Iran</b>	Shal
<b>Italy</b>	Torre de' Busi
	Fonte de Giordano
	Fiume Bosso
	Arcevia
	(Col Santino)
	(Cortese quarry)
<b>Mexico</b>	Apulco
	Mazatepec
	San Jose de Iturbide
	Tamazanchule
	St Mateus, Zacatecas
	San Pedro de Gallo

<b>Russia</b>	Ussuri Bay
	Nordvik
	River Maurynya
	River Yatria
	Gorodishche
	Kashpir
<b>Slovakia</b>	Strapkova
	Brodno
	Hlboča
	Snežnica
<b>Spain</b>	Puerto Escaño
	Rio Argos
<b>Tibet</b>	Tingri
	Gyangze
	Nagarze
<b>Tunisia</b>	Beni Kleb
	Sidi Kralif
	Rheouis
<b>Poland</b>	Pośrednie
<b>Ukraine</b>	Theodosia
	Ili Burnu
	Krasnosilivka
	Yuzhnoe
	Velykyi Kamianets
	Balki
<b>Yemen</b>	Wadi Arus
	Mintaq Salt Dome
<b>Australia</b>	Broome peninsula
<b>China</b>	Beipiao, (western Liaoning)
	Pingquan (northern Hebei)
<b>UK</b>	Durlston Bay
	Mupe Bay
	Lulworth Cove
	Portland quarries
	Freshwater Bay

**Appendix 2****LOCATIONS AND DATES OF BERRIASIAN WORKING GROUP MEETINGS**

- 2007 – Bristol (United Kingdom) – working group first convened
- 2008 – Marseille (France)
- 2009 – Milan (Italy) and Plymouth (United Kingdom)
- 2010 – Smolenice (Slovakia) and Paris (France)
- 2011 – Sofia (Bulgaria)
- 2012 – Tunis (Tunisia) and Prague (Czech Republic)
- 2013 – Warsaw (Poland)
- 2014 – Copenhagen (cancelled)
- 2015 – St Privat, Gard (France)
- 2016 – Smolenice (Slovakia)
- 2017 – Vienna(Austria) (part of the Vienna Cretaceous Symposium)
- 2018 – Kroměříž (Czech Republic)
- 2019 – Bratislava (Slovakia)